© APR 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 10 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I10-1717002

Electric Vehicles as a Sustainable Transportation Solution: An Analysis with Focus on the Indian Market

DR. SHARMILA RAO[1], SYED NIHAL[2], S VINAY KUMAR[3], VISHWAS[4], KRISHANG[5]
[1]*Associate Professor, Center for Management Studies, JAIN (Deemed-to-be-University), Bangalore*
[2, 3, 4, 5]*Center for Management Studies, JAIN (Deemed-to-be-University), Bangalore*

Abstract- ***This paper looks at how electric vehicles fit into the broader push for sustainable transportation, especially in a country like India where the challenges are quite different from what Western markets face. Climate change has made it hard to ignore the environmental cost of conventional vehicles, and the transportation sector, which runs almost entirely on fossil fuels, is one of the biggest contributors to that problem. Against this backdrop, EVs have started gaining serious traction as a practical, not just theoretical, alternative. The study draws on secondary data — government reports, industry publications, and academic research — rather than primary fieldwork. The approach is largely qualitative, using a mix of comparative analysis and case-based reasoning. A good portion of the discussion centers on the Indian market, with Tata Motors serving as the main example because it has arguably done more than any other domestic player to push EVs into the mainstream. What the research found is that EVs do genuinely deliver on their environmental promise, particularly when it comes to cutting tailpipe emissions in dense urban areas. The economics also work in their favor over time, since running and maintaining an EV tends to cost significantly less than a petrol or diesel vehicle. That said, real barriers remain. The upfront price is still steep for a large chunk of Indian consumers, charging stations outside major cities are few and far between, and the full lifecycle of a battery — from mining to disposal — carries its own environmental baggage. The study's broader takeaway is that EVs are a genuine step forward, but they're not the finish line. Whether they actually deliver on their sustainability potential depends on things like how clean the electricity grid is, how battery technology evolves, and whether policymakers stay committed to the transition over the long term. At this point, EVs are better understood as part of a shift toward cleaner mobility rather than a complete solution in themselves.***

Keywords: ***Electric Vehicles (EVs), Sustainable Transportation, Environmental Impact, Electric Mobility in India, Green Technology, Renewable Energy Integration***

I. INTRODUCTION

Transportation sits at the heart of how modern economies function. It connects people to jobs, moves goods across supply chains, and has historically been one of the clearest markers of a country's development. But this convenience has come with a cost that has only grown harder to ignore over time. The heavy reliance on petrol and diesel vehicles has pushed the transport sector to the front of the conversation around climate change, urban pollution, and public health — and for good reason.

The numbers are difficult to dispute. Internal combustion engine vehicles pour carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and a range of particulate matter into the air every single day, in every major city. In India, where cities like Delhi and Bengaluru routinely feature among the world's most polluted, this is not an abstract concern. The link between vehicle emissions and respiratory illness is well-documented, and as urban populations keep growing, the problem is not going to solve itself. If anything, without a significant change in direction, it gets worse.

Sustainable transportation has emerged as the response to this crisis — a framework that asks whether it is possible to keep people and goods moving without continuing to degrade the environment in the process. It does not demand that mobility stop; it demands that mobility become smarter and cleaner. Among the various technologies that have been proposed under this banner, electric vehicles have attracted the most attention and, arguably, the most genuine momentum.

EVs operate on rechargeable batteries rather than fossil fuels, which means they produce no emissions at the point of use. In a city, that distinction matters enormously. Beyond the emissions question, improvements in lithium-ion battery technology over the past decade have made EVs considerably more

practical — better range, faster charging, and more competitive pricing than even five years ago. The convergence of renewable energy expansion and EV adoption also opens up the possibility of a transport system that is clean from source to wheel.

The reason this study focuses specifically on India is that the country's EV story does not map neatly onto what has happened in Europe, the United States, or even China. India's market is price-sensitive in a way that most developed economies are not. The infrastructure gaps are more severe. Government policy has been supportive in intent but uneven in execution. And the sheer scale of the country — the diversity of its urban and rural contexts — means that solutions which work in Mumbai may not translate to a mid-sized city in Odisha. Understanding EVs in India requires taking all of that seriously.

The automotive industry globally is in the middle of a genuine transformation. Legacy manufacturers are investing in electrification not just because regulators are pushing them to, but because the market is shifting. New entrants are disrupting the space. Supply chains are being rebuilt around battery components rather than engine parts. In India, this transformation is visible in the rapid rise of companies like Tata Motors and the entry of international players who see the Indian market as both a challenge and an opportunity.

Government policy has played a real role in catalyzing this shift. Incentive schemes, production-linked incentives for domestic EV manufacturing, and investment in charging infrastructure have collectively helped move the market in the right direction. But the work is far from done. High vehicle costs, sparse charging networks, and limited consumer awareness continue to slow what could otherwise be a faster transition.

This paper approaches the subject from a sustainability and green innovation angle. The goal is not to be purely promotional about EVs — they have genuine limitations that deserve honest examination — but to understand what role they can realistically play in building a more sustainable transport system, particularly for a country at India's stage of development.

The study has a few specific objectives. It aims to assess the environmental case for EVs, especially around emissions and air quality. It examines the economic picture — initial costs, long-term savings, market dynamics. It looks seriously at the barriers that are holding adoption back. And it tries to bridge the gap between the theoretical appeal of EVs and the practical realities on the ground.

One thread that runs through the entire paper is the question of electricity sources. An EV charged on coal-fired power is cleaner than a petrol car, but not by as much as the headlines suggest. Real sustainability gains require the electricity grid to clean up as well, which is why the integration of renewables into the EV conversation matters so much. Technologies like smart grids and vehicle-to-grid systems complicate the picture further, but in an interesting and potentially useful way.

The paper is structured as follows: after this introduction, a review of relevant literature maps out what existing research says and where the gaps are. The problem statement then sharpens the specific issues this study addresses. The analysis section takes a close look at Tata Motors as a case, working through financial, market, infrastructure, and environmental dimensions. The conclusion pulls the findings together and points toward what further research would be most valuable.

To be direct about what this paper argues: EVs matter, and the transition toward electric mobility in India is worth taking seriously. But it is not a simple story, and treating it as one does a disservice to the complexity of what a genuine shift to sustainable transportation actually requires.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The academic conversation around electric vehicles has grown substantially over the past two decades, and it now covers a wide range of questions — from the technicalities of battery chemistry to the psychology of consumer decision-making. What follows is an attempt to map out the most relevant threads of that conversation, identify where different researchers agree and disagree, and flag the gaps that this study is trying to address.

Perhaps the most foundational argument in the literature is about decarbonization. Multiple studies have established that road transport is among the leading sources of greenhouse gas emissions globally, and that shifting to EVs can meaningfully reduce that

contribution, provided the electricity used for charging comes from cleaner sources. This conditionality is important and not always sufficiently emphasized. Researchers who have looked at countries with coal-heavy grids found that the emissions advantage of EVs narrows considerably when the full energy chain is accounted for. The implication is that EV adoption and energy transition are not separate policy questions — they need to move forward together.

On the environmental side, the case for EVs is strongest in dense urban environments where the absence of tailpipe emissions directly improves air quality. Several studies have documented reductions in nitrogen oxide and particulate matter concentrations in cities with higher EV penetration, with downstream benefits for public respiratory and cardiovascular health. EVs are also more efficient in converting stored energy into motion, which matters independently of the emissions question. The counterargument, however, is that manufacturing an EV — and in particular, producing its battery — carries a heavier upfront environmental cost than manufacturing a conventional vehicle. This sets up one of the central debates in the field.

Lifecycle analysis has become the standard framework for trying to resolve that debate. LCA studies track environmental impact from raw material extraction through manufacturing, operation, and eventual disposal. The consistent finding is that EVs start life with a larger carbon footprint than ICE vehicles but recoup that deficit over time through lower operational emissions. How quickly depends heavily on the grid mix. In countries with high renewable penetration, the break-even point comes relatively early. In fossil-fuel-dependent grids, it takes longer. There is also genuine concern in the literature about the end-of-life problem — lithium-ion batteries contain hazardous materials and are difficult to recycle at scale. This is acknowledged as an unresolved challenge even by EV advocates.

Diffusion of Innovation Theory, originally developed by Everett Rogers, has been widely applied to understand EV adoption patterns. The theory describes how new technologies move through a population, from a small group of early adopters who are willing to take risks, through an early majority, a late majority, and finally laggards. Applied to EVs, this framework helps explain why adoption has remained relatively concentrated among higher-income, environmentally conscious consumers despite years of policy support. Range anxiety, unfamiliarity with charging infrastructure, and uncertainty about long-term reliability all function as barriers that slow the movement from early adopter to mainstream adoption. Reducing those barriers — through infrastructure investment, better consumer education, and demonstrable reliability — is critical to unlocking broader uptake.

The economics of EV ownership have been analyzed extensively, and the findings are fairly consistent. EVs cost more to buy, partly because batteries are expensive to produce, but they cost significantly less to run and maintain. Fuel savings are meaningful, especially in countries where electricity is cheaper relative to petrol. Maintenance costs are lower because EVs have fewer moving parts and do not require many of the routine services — oil changes, timing belt replacements — that conventional vehicles need. The total cost of ownership argument generally favors EVs over a five-to-ten year horizon, but that calculation is not immediately obvious to a first-time buyer facing a higher sticker price. Financial incentives — subsidies, tax exemptions, reduced registration fees — have been shown to shift purchasing behavior by making the upfront comparison more favorable.

Infrastructure is where much of the practical debate sits. Range anxiety is repeatedly identified in consumer surveys as one of the top reasons people hesitate to buy EVs, and the literature confirms that it is not entirely irrational — charging station density outside major urban centers is genuinely poor in most developing countries, including India. Fast-charging technology has improved considerably, and vehicles that can charge to 80% in under 30 minutes are increasingly common, but the network has not kept pace with the growth in vehicle numbers. Smart grid integration is proposed in several studies as a way to manage the additional electricity demand that widespread EV adoption would create, and vehicle-to-grid technology — which allows parked EVs to feed electricity back into the grid during peak demand — is seen as potentially transformative, though it remains more theoretical than practical in most markets.

Battery technology sits at the center of both the optimism and the concern around EVs. Lithium-ion batteries have improved dramatically since the early commercial EV era, with energy density rising and costs falling substantially over the past decade. But lithium and cobalt, two key materials, are geographically concentrated, subject to supply chain risks, and their extraction carries environmental and ethical concerns. Solid-state batteries are frequently discussed as a potential successor technology that could address several of these limitations — they promise higher energy density, better safety, and potentially lower material costs — but commercial-scale production remains some years away.

Government policy has emerged clearly in the comparative literature as a major determinant of EV adoption rates. Countries that have combined financial incentives with strong infrastructure investment and clear long-term regulatory signals have seen far higher adoption than those that have relied on market forces alone. Norway is the standard reference point at the favorable end of the spectrum; India sits somewhere in the middle, with policy intent that has not always translated into on-the-ground results at the pace needed.

Consumer behavior research adds another dimension to the picture. Awareness of EVs has increased significantly, but awareness and willingness to purchase are different things. Studies in the Indian context specifically find that even consumers who express concern about the environment often prioritize price, reliability, and familiarity when making purchasing decisions. Trust in new technology takes time to build, and word-of-mouth from early adopters plays an outsized role in shaping perceptions among the mainstream. Marketing and public demonstration programs have been found to move the needle, but require sustained commitment.

The literature on renewable energy integration with EVs is growing and largely optimistic. Solar-charged EVs are already a reality in some contexts, and the declining cost of solar panels means the economics are improving. Vehicle-to-grid integration, if it becomes widespread, could actually turn EVs into a distributed energy storage asset, helping to stabilize grids that have high shares of intermittent renewables. This is an area where the research is ahead of the policy and infrastructure, but the direction is encouraging.

Several important gaps stand out in the existing literature. The most striking is the relative shortage of serious, detailed research on developing economy contexts. Most LCA studies, adoption curve analyses, and infrastructure assessments have been conducted in Europe, the United States, or China. India's specific combination of constraints — price sensitivity, infrastructure gaps, grid composition, regulatory patchwork, and the diversity of consumer contexts from urban to rural — is not well captured by existing work. This matters because the factors that drove EV adoption in Norway or California are simply not transferable without substantial modification.

There is also a relative absence of holistic, integrated analyses that combine environmental, economic, and social dimensions. Studies tend to focus on one or two of these dimensions and hold the others constant. The result is a fragmented picture that can be misleading. An analysis that shows EVs are cost-effective may not have fully accounted for their environmental costs in a coal-heavy grid. An analysis that shows environmental benefits may not have addressed the equity dimensions of who can actually afford to participate in the EV transition.

Rural and semi-urban contexts are particularly under-researched. Most existing work concentrates on major cities, where EV infrastructure is more developed and consumers are more likely to be early adopters. But if EVs are going to contribute meaningfully to national sustainability goals in a country the size of India, they have to work beyond the metros. Understanding what would need to be different — in vehicle design, charging infrastructure, financing models, or policy support — to make EVs viable in these settings is an important and largely unanswered question.

Finally, the long-term picture on battery sustainability is still unclear. Battery recycling technology is improving, but it has not yet reached the scale or efficiency needed to handle the volumes that will come as the first generation of commercial EVs reaches end-of-life. This is a real problem that the literature acknowledges but has not resolved, and it deserves more attention than it typically receives in mainstream EV research.

© APR 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 10 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I10-1717002

III. PROBLEM STATEMENT

The core problem this study addresses is fairly straightforward to state, even if it is complicated to solve. Transportation as currently organized — built around private vehicles that run on petrol and diesel — is not environmentally sustainable. The emissions it produces are a major contributor to climate change. The air quality consequences are a public health crisis in many Indian cities. And as urban populations grow and incomes rise, the demand for mobility is only going to increase, which makes an already difficult situation worse if the underlying technology does not change.

Electric vehicles are frequently presented as the answer to this problem, and they do offer real advantages. Zero tailpipe emissions in operation, better energy efficiency, lower long-term running costs — these are genuine benefits, not just marketing claims. But the reality of EV adoption in India tells a more complicated story. Despite years of policy support and genuine enthusiasm from some manufacturers, EVs remain a small fraction of total vehicle sales. Something is preventing the transition from happening at the pace and scale that the environmental urgency demands.

Cost is probably the most immediate barrier. The purchase price of an EV is still substantially higher than a comparable petrol vehicle, and this gap is largely driven by battery costs. For a country where the majority of new vehicle buyers are making a significant financial decision and cannot afford to get it wrong, this matters enormously. Subsidies help, but they have not closed the gap enough to make EVs the obvious choice for most buyers.

Infrastructure is a close second. Outside of a few major cities, the charging network is sparse. Long-distance travel in an EV requires careful planning in a way that a petrol vehicle simply does not. Charging times, even with fast chargers, are still longer than a petrol fill-up. These practical inconveniences are not insurmountable, but they are real, and they feed the range anxiety that consistently shows up as a barrier in consumer research.

Then there are the lifecycle questions that sit underneath the surface-level appeal of EVs. Battery production is resource-intensive. Lithium and cobalt extraction carries environmental and social costs. A significant proportion of India's electricity still comes from coal, which means that "zero emissions" at the tailpipe does not mean zero emissions in the supply chain. Battery disposal, as the first generation of EVs ages, is becoming an increasingly pressing issue without a fully satisfactory solution yet in place.

For the automotive industry, the transition to EVs involves its own set of challenges. Investment requirements are high. Supply chains need to be rebuilt. Workforce skills need to shift. And all of this is happening in an environment of genuine uncertainty about how fast consumer demand will grow and how quickly the policy landscape will evolve. Manufacturers are being asked to make large bets on a future whose timing is uncertain.

What the existing literature has not done particularly well is to integrate all of these dimensions — environmental, economic, infrastructural, and social — in a single coherent analysis, especially in the Indian context. Studies tend to examine one piece of the puzzle at a time, which can produce misleading conclusions. This paper attempts to address that gap by looking at the full picture, using Tata Motors' EV journey as a grounding case study.

IV. ANALYSIS & DISCUSSION

To move beyond the theoretical and get into how EV adoption actually plays out in practice, this section takes a close look at Tata Motors. The choice is deliberate. Tata is not just one of India's largest automakers — it is arguably the company that has done the most to push EVs into the Indian mainstream, and its experience offers a useful lens for understanding both what is working and what is not.

Case Description: Tata Motors and EV Adoption in India

Tata Motors entered the EV space seriously with the Nexon EV, and more recently expanded with the Tiago EV, which brought the entry price for an electric car to a level that began to feel genuinely accessible for middle-income Indian buyers. This was a deliberate positioning decision. Rather than competing for a niche premium market, Tata targeted the volume segment — the part of the market where real scale is possible. That choice reflects an understanding of what Indian consumers actually need, and it has paid off in terms of market share.

But Tata recognized early that selling cars was not enough on its own. An EV without places to charge it is not a practical purchase, and through its collaboration with Tata Power, the company has worked to build out charging infrastructure alongside vehicle sales. This two-pronged approach — vehicle and ecosystem together — is actually a meaningful departure from how the auto industry typically operates, and it points to something important about what successful EV adoption requires.

1. Financial Analysis

The financial story around EVs is genuinely two-sided and the gap between the two sides matters. Up front, an EV costs more — sometimes significantly more — than an equivalent petrol vehicle. Tata has worked hard to narrow this gap through localizing component production and achieving greater scale, and the price of the Tiago EV in particular reflects genuine progress on this front. But the affordability challenge has not been fully resolved, especially for buyers in the Rs. 7–10 lakh segment where a large share of new car purchases happen.

The long-term financial case is more favorable. Electricity is cheaper per kilometer than petrol in India, often by a substantial margin. EVs also have fewer mechanical components that can fail or wear out, which means lower maintenance costs over time. The total cost of ownership, calculated over five to seven years, tends to favor the EV. The problem is that most buyers do not make purchase decisions on a seven-year horizon — they respond to the sticker price. Closing that perception gap, not just the actual financial gap, is part of what needs to happen for adoption to accelerate.

2. Market and Customer Analysis

The Indian EV market has been growing, but it is growing from a small base and the pace has been uneven. Tata has benefited from being among the first movers in the accessible EV space, and its market share in the EV segment is considerable. Rising fuel prices over the past few years have also worked in EVs' favor by making the running cost comparison more stark for ordinary consumers.

Customer behavior, though, reveals that the market is still at an early stage of diffusion. The buyers who have adopted EVs so far tend to be technically curious, relatively affluent, and often have access to home charging — either because they own a parking space or live in a building with charging facilities. The much larger group of potential buyers — people who live in apartments without dedicated parking, who drive significant distances, who are not confident about the charging infrastructure along their regular routes — has not yet moved. This maps onto the early adopter phase in Rogers' diffusion framework, and moving past it requires specifically addressing the concerns of that next, more risk-averse cohort.

3. Infrastructure Analysis

If there is one thing that consistently comes up in conversations about EV barriers in India, it is charging infrastructure. The density of charging stations in cities like Bengaluru, Mumbai, and Delhi has improved meaningfully over the past two or three years. But outside these cities, the picture changes quickly. Tier-2 cities are underserved, and for anything resembling inter-city travel in many parts of the country, planning around charging stations is still a significant hassle.

This infrastructure gap has a direct psychological effect, not just a practical one. Even buyers who would mostly use their EV for short urban commutes worry about edge cases — a long drive for a wedding, a work trip to a different city, a situation where the home charger is not working. Range anxiety is partly rational and partly emotional, and addressing it requires both expanding the physical network and changing the narrative around it. Tata Power's efforts have been real, but the pace of infrastructure build-out needs to accelerate substantially if it is going to stop being a barrier for mainstream buyers.

4. Environmental and Sustainability Analysis

The environmental argument for EVs in Indian cities is strong and fairly straightforward. Tailpipe emissions from EVs are zero, and in cities where air quality regularly breaches safe limits, this matters for public health in a direct and immediate way. The correlation between traffic and pollution spikes is well-established in the Indian urban context, and a serious shift toward EVs would register in air quality data.

The lifecycle picture is more complicated. Battery production requires energy and materials that carry their own environmental costs — lithium mining in

South America, cobalt extraction in the Democratic Republic of Congo, energy-intensive cell manufacturing processes. India's electricity grid still relies heavily on coal, particularly in states that are early in their renewable transition. This means that an EV charged in, say, Jharkhand is drawing on dirtier power than one charged in Rajasthan where solar capacity is higher. The environmental benefit is real but variable, and it will increase as the grid gets cleaner — which is the right direction of travel but not a guarantee.

Battery end-of-life is the other side of this equation that does not get discussed enough. As the first wave of commercial EVs in India begins to age, the question of what happens to the batteries becomes pressing. Recycling technology exists but is not yet operating at the scale or efficiency needed. This is a problem that industry, regulators, and researchers need to take more seriously, and it is one of the areas where the current optimism about EVs needs to be tempered with honest acknowledgment that the solution is not fully in place.

Interpretation of Findings

Pulling together the different strands of this analysis, what emerges is a picture of a technology that is genuinely promising but still in the middle of working through its growing pains. Tata Motors has demonstrated that EVs can find a market in India, that affordability is a solvable problem even if it has not been fully solved yet, and that building an ecosystem rather than just selling vehicles is the right strategic frame. At the same time, the barriers around infrastructure, lifecycle sustainability, and mainstream consumer confidence are real and will not resolve themselves without sustained effort.

The important thing to resist is the temptation to evaluate EVs against some standard of perfection. The question is not whether EVs are perfect, but whether they are better than the alternative and whether they can be made better over time. On both counts, the evidence points toward yes.

Linking with Theory and Literature

The findings here connect reasonably clearly to the theoretical frameworks discussed in the literature review. The decarbonization argument holds up under scrutiny, with the important qualification that grid composition matters. The Diffusion of Innovation framework does a good job of describing where India's EV market currently sits and what it would take to move to the next stage. Lifecycle Analysis confirms that evaluating EVs on operational emissions alone gives an incomplete and overly favorable picture.

What is perhaps most interesting about the Indian case, compared to the existing literature, is how the specific constraints of a developing economy reshape the dynamics. Cost sensitivity is more acute. Infrastructure deficits are more severe. Consumer trust in new technology takes longer to build in the absence of a robust service ecosystem. These are not arguments against EV adoption in India — they are arguments for taking those constraints seriously when designing policy and business strategy.

Overall Discussion

The Tata Motors case illustrates something that is easy to miss when EV discussions stay at the level of technology or policy: successful EV adoption is fundamentally about ecosystem building. Vehicles, charging infrastructure, financing options, service networks, consumer education — all of these pieces need to come together at roughly the same time, or the whole system does not work. Tata has understood this better than most, and that understanding is reflected in its market position.

But there is a limit to what any single company can do. The electricity grid is a public infrastructure problem. The charging network beyond urban centers requires public investment or mandated private investment. The policy incentive structure needs to stay in place long enough for consumer confidence to build. EVs are a good technology in search of the right enabling environment, and creating that environment is fundamentally a collective challenge, not just a market one.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper set out to examine the role of electric vehicles in sustainable transportation, with India as the primary focus, and the honest answer is that EVs represent meaningful progress but not a complete solution. That framing might seem like a hedge, but it reflects what the evidence actually shows.

On the environmental side, the case is clear in urban settings. Eliminating tailpipe emissions in cities that

are struggling badly with air quality has real, measurable health benefits, and this alone would justify taking EVs seriously even if nothing else about them changed. The picture gets more complicated when you look at the full lifecycle, particularly given India's current grid composition and the unresolved questions around battery disposal, but the direction of travel is right and the environmental case gets stronger as the grid decarbonizes.

The managerial implications are fairly direct. Companies that want to succeed in the Indian EV market need to solve for affordability in a market that is genuinely price-sensitive, not just aspirationally price-sensitive. Tata's approach — targeting the mid-range, localizing production, building the charging ecosystem through partnerships — is a template worth paying attention to. But this needs to be sustained, not just as a first-mover strategy but as a long-term commitment to making the technology work at scale across a diverse market.

From a policy perspective, the government's role is not just to provide incentives, though those matter. It is to create the conditions for the whole EV ecosystem to develop coherently. That means coordinating infrastructure investment with vehicle uptake targets, aligning the electricity sector's decarbonization roadmap with EV growth projections, and maintaining a consistent and predictable policy environment that gives manufacturers and consumers the confidence to commit. Inconsistency in policy has historically been one of the bigger constraints on industrial transitions in India, and it is worth taking that risk seriously here.

Consumer perception remains an underappreciated part of the puzzle. Technical improvements in EVs have outpaced public awareness of those improvements. Many potential buyers are still working with mental models of EVs that are several years out of date. Closing that information gap — through direct experience, through peer networks, through honest marketing — is as important as closing the actual cost and infrastructure gaps.

The industry-level significance of the EV shift goes beyond any individual company or product category. It represents a rethinking of what transportation infrastructure means — not just vehicles and roads, but energy networks, digital systems, urban planning, and supply chains. Getting this right has implications that extend well beyond whether a given consumer chooses an EV over a petrol car.

Future research has a lot of useful territory to explore. Battery recycling is perhaps the most urgent — the volumes are going to increase significantly, and the technology and regulatory frameworks for handling that are not yet adequate. Rural and semi-urban adoption dynamics deserve much more attention than they have received. Consumer behavior across different socio-economic groups, particularly as prices come down and the technology becomes less niche, is another area where current understanding is thin.

To close: EVs are worth the investment of attention, policy support, and research effort that they are receiving. They are not magic, and they will not single-handedly solve the transportation sector's environmental problems. But they are probably the most viable path toward a significantly cleaner transportation system that currently exists, and in a country with India's urban pollution crisis and development trajectory, that is a serious claim that deserves to be taken seriously.

REFERENCES

[1] Graham-Rowe, E., Gardner, B., Abraham, C., Skippon, S., Dittmar, H., Hutchins, R., & Stannard, J. (2012). Mainstream consumers driving plug-in battery-electric and plug-in hybrid electric cars: A qualitative analysis of responses and evaluations. Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 46(1), 140–153.

[2] International Energy Agency. (2023). Global EV outlook 2023. IEA Publications.

[3] Niti Aayog. (2022). India's electric mobility transformation: Progress and future roadmap. Government of India.

[4] Sierzchula, W., Bakker, S., Maat, K., & Van Wee, B. (2014). The influence of financial incentives and other socio-economic factors on electric vehicle adoption. Energy Policy, 68, 183–194.

[5] Singh, V., Singh, V., & Vaibhav, S. (2021). Electric vehicles in India: A review on adoption challenges and opportunities. Journal of Cleaner Production, 291, 125–136.

[6] Union of Concerned Scientists. (2020). Cleaner cars from cradle to grave: How electric cars beat gasoline cars on lifetime global warming emissions.