

Enhancing Energy Supply Chain Efficiency: The Exploring of Strategic Challenges and Future Directions

DAMIAN CHIBUIKEM ABRAHAM

Abstract- The push to decarbonize, sudden geopolitical shocks, and the rapid spread of digital tools have made the centralized plants, predictable demand, and cheap fossil inputs look outdated. If we continue to define efficiency as simply “lowest cost per MWh,” we miss the bigger picture. Energy supply chains are being pulled in too many directions at once. This journal explains efficiency as a strategic capability that balances equity, reliability, carbon, and security, and across the whole system. Drawing on the 2016 research in supply chain management, energy systems, and strategy, I identify four core challenges that are holding energy supply chains back: the decarbonisation complexity paradox, the tension between resilience and fragmentation, the gap between digital tools and governance, and misaligned institutions across borders. To move forward, I propose four directions: designing for circularity and decentralization, orchestrating multi-actor ecosystems, using digital twins for shared transparency, and adopting adaptive institutional strategies. The argument here is simple: efficiency is no longer just an operations problem. It’s a leadership and design problem. The paper contributes by linking energy transition debates with supply chain theory and gives practical cues for executives, regulators, and researchers who need to build systems that are clean, secure, and affordable at the same time.

Keywords: Energy Supply Chain; Supply Chain Efficiency; Energy Transition; Decarbonisation; Resilience; Digitalization; Circular Economy; Institutional Complexity

I. INTRODUCTION

Majority of the people running an energy company today share the same frustration. The rules changed mid game. Over the years, energy supply chains were built around rumours like: demand grows steadily, fossil fuels are cheap and dispatch able, infrastructure lasts up to forty years, and geopolitics stays stable enough to ship gas or oil across continents.

The 2020s has stressful. Net-zero targets, mean we are rewiring the grid around wind and solar that don't

show up, on command. The war in Ukraine caused the European Union; to realize how risky it is to dwell solely on one pipeline. Cyberattacks on pipelines and grid operators, exposed how digital everything has become. Customers from factories to households, now expect energy to be clean, reliable, fair, and not just cheap.

In that context, the usual definition of “efficiency” starts to break down. If you optimize a gas supply chain for lowest landed cost, you might end up with stranded assets, when carbon prices bite. If you strip out all redundancy to run lean, one hurricane or sanctions package can take you offline for weeks. So the question becomes, what does efficiency even mean for an energy supply chain in 2026?

The Journal argues, that a strategic view is needed. Efficiency is not just about exerting the compressor even as little as two percent extra, it is all about designing supply chains, that can take carbon constraints, political shocks, digital threats, and social expectations, all at once. This requires new thinking, from both scholars and practitioners.

The collation of data is not from one company or country. Instead, there was a pulling together of insights, from research published between year 2016 and 2025, to map out the key challenges and sketch on where to go next. The goal is to give energy leaders, and researchers, a clearer framework for making decisions.

Four strategic challenges were outlined and four future directions that can unlock a better kind of efficiency were also stated.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Four Strategic Challenges Slowing Energy Supply Chains Down

2.1 The Decarbonisation Complexity Paradox
The truth remains that, going green makes things more complicated. The exchange of coal plants for wind and solar is a good idea, but it drags in a whole new supply chain. You need lithium, cobalt, and rare earths for batteries. You need electrolyzers for hydrogen. You need new transmission lines to reach windy and sunny places, that are often far from cities. Bazilian et al., (2020), calls this the “complexity of clean.” The fuel is free, but the system around it is heavy, land hungry, and coordination intensive.

What does that do to efficiency? He et al., (2020), found that China was curtailing, and basically wasting huge amounts of wind power because the grid couldn't move it to where demand was. Hence the need for more renewables. If the wires, markets, and storage aren't ready, the system level efficiency drops. You save carbon, but lose energy. That's the issue. Olivetti et al., (2017) , adds that critical mineral supply chains are immature, and exposed to ESG risks, from child labour in cobalt mines, to water stress in lithium extraction. So the path to low carbon, can be high friction.

2.2 Resilience and Fragmentation:
After COVID, Suez blockage, and the gas crisis in Europe, “resilience” became the trending word, but resilience costs money. Jaffe (2022), shows how countries and firms are now “friend shoring” LNG terminals, stockpiling uranium, and building duplicate battery plants to avoid being cut off. This is smart for security. Brandon Jones et al., (2016), warned years ago that resilience and lean efficiency, often clash.

In energy, we see it clearly. A global optimized LNG market gives you the lowest price when things are normal. A fragmented market with regional hubs, strategic reserves, and protected shipping lanes gives you security when things break. You can't fully have both. The result is we're building extra regasification terminals that sit idle seventy percent of the year, or hydrogen hubs that don't have enough demand yet. They improve resilience metrics, but tank asset utilization. That's a strategic challenge. How much redundancy can we afford before the system becomes too expensive for consumers?

2.3 Digitalization Is Ahead of Governance
Everyone's talking about AI for grid balancing, block chain for energy trading, and digital twins for predictive maintenance. The tech is there. Zhou et al., (2021), show how big data can cut forecasting errors and reduce imbalance costs. Andoni et al., (2019), points out a problem which is that the data is stuck in silos. A generator will not share real time data with a distributor. A distribution company cannot access smart meter data due to privacy laws. TSOs and DSOs use different platforms.

So, we have powerful digital tools but weak digital governance. Tschider (2018), adds that cyber risk goes up, as we connect operational tech to the internet.

2.4 Institutions Don't Line Up Across Borders
Energy flows don't respect borders, but laws do. Tagliapietra et al., (2022), laid out how the European Union's carbon border tax, the United States Inflation Reduction Act subsidies, and China's export controls, on graphite are all pulling in different directions. A hydrogen producer has to navigate three sets of rules, to move one molecule. Van de Graaf and Sovacool (2020), calls this institutional misalignment and it is expensive. It delays final investment decisions because no one wants to build a two-billion-dollar plant if the rules might change next year.

For supply chains, this means more friction, more paperwork, and more risk. Sun et al.,p (2021), shows that firms dealing with institutional complexity, spend more time and money on compliance.

III. FOUR FUTURE DIRECTIONS THAT CAN ACTUALLY MOVE THE NEEDLE

3.1 Design for Circular and Decentralized Systems
When centralized linear supply chains are brittle, the alternative is circular and distributed. Bocken et al. (2017), make the case for circular economy thinking design wind blades to be recycled, reuse EV batteries for grid storage, recover heat from data centres, that cuts material input and waste.

Decentralization helps too. Gui and MacGill (2018), show how micro grids and rooftop solar with storage reduce transmission losses and keep lights on during

grid outages. Morstyn et al. (2018), found that local peer-to-peer trading can lift asset utilization to fifteen or even twenty five percent because, you are matching supply and demand in the neighbourhood, instead of hauling power three hundred kilometres. The efficiency gain here is not from a bigger plant. It is from a smarter network.

3.2 Stop Controlling, Start Orchestrating Ecosystems
No single company owns the energy transition. Miners, manufacturers, utilities, software firms, regulators, and communities are all needed. Jacobides et al., (2018), describes ecosystem orchestration as the skill of aligning partners you don't control. In practice, it looks like an oil major co-funding electrolyser start-up, or a utility sharing grid data with an EV charging app so they can shift load.

Stank et al., (2019), found that, firms with strong orchestration capabilities perform better under uncertainty. For energy, that means jointly planning where to put renewables, transmission, and hydrogen demand so we don't build 10GW of wind and then curtail half of it. Orchestration turns a pile of projects into a system.

3.3 Using Of Digital Twin to Create Shared Transparency

A digital twin is a live virtual model, of the physical system of either a grid, a pipeline network, or a refinery. The key word is "shared." If each operator has their own twin, you are back to silos. Bonnet and Westerman (2021), highlights initiatives like GAIA-X in Europe that try to set rules for secure data sharing. When TSOs, DSOs, generators, and large users look at one model, trust goes up and system efficiency follows. Data becomes infrastructure, and it needs to be governed like it.

3.4 Get Proactive with Institutional Strategy

Waiting for governments to harmonize rules is not a strategy. Dorobantu et al. (2017), argue that firms need nonmarket strategy, which is the ability to shape the rules and navigate them. In energy, it means three things help write the standards for hydrogen purity or carbon accounting, use regulatory sandboxes to test new business models, and build buffers by diversifying suppliers, tech, and markets.

Marquis and Raynard (2016), found out that companies with political and institutional capabilities handle policy shocks better. For supply chains, adaptive strategy turns regulation from a cost center into a source of advantage.

CONCLUSION

The way we talk about efficiency in energy has to change. Cost per unit was fine when the world was stable and carbon was free. That world is gone. Today, a truly efficient energy supply chain is one that delivers clean energy and reliably, withstands shocks, adapts to new tech, and keeps public trust.

The four challenges we covered are: complexity from decarbonization, the resilience cost trade-off, the digital governance gap, and institutional misalignment, explain why progress feels slow. The four directions circular/decentralized design, ecosystem orchestration, shared digital twins, and adaptive institutional strategy give us a path forward.

For managers, the takeaway is to stop treating supply chain as a back-office cost centre. It's now a strategic function that touches ESG, digital, and geopolitics.

For researchers, there's plenty to do. We need better ways to measure "system efficiency" that include carbon, resilience, and equity, not just dollars. We need case studies of how digital twins are actually governed, and how circular models work at grid scale.

The energy transition will be won or lost in the supply chain. If we get efficiency right defined broadly, we can deliver net-zero without blackouts or backlash.

REFERENCES

- [1] Merlinda Andoni, Valentin Robu, David Flynn, Simone Abram, Dale Geach, David Jenkins, Peter McCallum, Andrew Peacock,
- [2] Blockchain technology in the energy sector a systematic review of challenges and opportunities, renewable and Sustainable

- Energy 100,2019, Pages 143-174, ISSN 1364-0321,
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.10.014>.
(<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364032118307184>)
- [3] Bazilian M, Bradshaw M, Gabriel J, Goldthau A, Westphal K. Four scenarios of the energy transition: Drivers, consequences, and implications for geopolitics. *WIREs Clim Change*. 2020;11:e625.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.625>
- [4] Bocken, N.M.P., Olivetti, E.A., Cullen, J.M. et al. Taking the Circularity to the Next Level: A Special Issue on the Circular Economy. *J. Ind. Ecol.* 21, 476–482 (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12606>
- [5] Typology of future clean energy communities: An exploratory structure, opportunities, and challenges, *Energy Research & Social Science*, Volume 35, 2018, Pages 94-107, ISSN 2214-6296,
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2017.10.019>.
(<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S221462961730347X>)
- [6] Jacobides MG, Cennamo C, Gawer A. Towards a theory of ecosystems. *Strat Mgmt J*. 2018; 39:2255–2276. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2904>
- [7] Jaffe, A. M. (2021). *Energy's Digital Future: Harnessing Innovation for American Resilience and National Security*. Columbia University Press.
- [8] Chris Marquis and Mia Raynard, 2015: Institutional Strategies in Emerging Markets. *ANNALS*, 9, 291–335,
<https://doi.org/10.5465/19416520.2015.1014661>
- [9] Morstyn, T., Farrell, N., Darby, S.J. et al. Using peer-to-peer energy-trading platforms to incentivize prosumers to form federated power plants. *Nat Energy* 3, 94–101 (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-017-0075-y>
- [10] Olivetti, E. A., Ceder, G., Gaustad, G. G., & Fu, X. (2017). Lithium-ion battery supply chain considerations: Analysis of potential bottlenecks in critical metals. *Joule*, 1(2), 229–243.
- [11] Joseph Sarkis, Maurie J. Cohen, Paul Dewick, Patrick Schröder,
- [12] A brave new world: Lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic for transitioning to sustainable supply and production,
- [13] Resources, Conservation and Recycling, Volume 159, 2020, 104894, ISSN 0921-3449,
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104894>.
(<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921344920302123>)
- [14] Stank, T.P., Esper, T.L., Crook, T.R. and Autry, C.W. (2012), Creating Relevant Value Through Demand and Supply Integration. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 33: 167-172.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0000-0000.2012.01049.x>
- [15] Sun, P., Doh, J. P., Rajwani, T., & Siegel, D. (2021). Navigating cross-border institutional complexity: A review and assessment of multinational nonmarket strategy research. *Journal of international business studies*, 52(9), 1818–1853. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-021-00438-x>
- [16] Simone Tagliapietra, Georg Zachmann, Ottmar Edenhofer, Jean-Michel Glachant, Pedro Linares, Andreas Loeschel,
- [17] The European union energy transition: Key priorities for the next five years, *Energy Policy*, Volume 132, 2019, Pages 950-954, ISSN 0301-4215,
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.06.060>.
(<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301421519304306>)
- [18] F. Tao, H. Zhang, A. Liu and A. Y. C. Nee, “Digital Twin in Industry: State-of-the-Art,” in *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 2405-2415, April 2019, doi: 10.1109/TII.2018.2873186.
- [19] Van de Graaf, T., & Sovacool, B. K. (2020). *Global energy politics* Polity Press. Zhou, Chao Fu, Shanlin Yang,
- [20] Big data driven smart energy management: From big data to big insights, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Volume 56, 2016, Pages 215-225, ISSN 1364-0321,
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.11.050>.
(<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364032115013179>)