© MAY 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 11 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I11-1717915

AI-Based Crime Prediction and Real-Time Alert System Using Machine Learning Techniques

UDAY TUKADIYA[1], DR. BALAMURUGAN S.[2]
[1]*Research Scholar, Department of Computer Science & IT, Jain (Deemed-To-Be-University), Bangalore, India*
[2]*Professor, Department of Computer Science & IT, Jain (Deemed-To-Be-University), Bangalore, India*

Abstract- ***Crime rates are increasing in many cities and towns, which is becoming a serious problem for public safety. People are facing risks like theft, assault, and other crimes in their daily lives, especially in crowded urban areas. One of the biggest issues is that most systems only react after a crime has already happened. There are very few systems that can warn people in advance about possible danger in a particular area. Because of this, it becomes difficult to prevent crimes and protect individuals on time. With the growth of technology and availability of data, it is now possible to use computer-based methods to study past crime records and find patterns. Machine learning is very useful in this field because it can handle large amounts of data and find hidden relationships between factors like location, time, and type of crime. Different algorithms such as logistic regression, random forest, support vector machine, and deep learning models like LSTM have been used in earlier studies for crime prediction. However, most of these studies only focus on predicting crime and do not connect the results with real-time applications that can help users directly. Also, many studies do not compare multiple algorithms properly, so it is not clear which model works best. To solve these problems, this project proposes a system that compares different machine learning and deep learning models for predicting crime. It also includes a mobile application that gives real-time alerts to users based on their location. The process involves collecting crime data, cleaning it, selecting important features, training different models, and testing their performance using measures like accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. The system will show risk levels for different areas and notify users if they are entering a high-risk zone. The main goal of this study is to find the best prediction model and create a useful system that can help people stay safe and support better decision-making for public safety.***

Keywords:- ***Crime prediction, Machine learning, Hotspot detection, Real-time alert system, Spatio-temporal analysis, Smart city safety***

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Crime has become a major concern in modern society, especially in urban and semi-urban areas where population density is high. People are increasingly facing safety issues such as theft, assault, and other criminal activities in their daily lives. Rapid urbanization, unemployment, social pressure, and lifestyle changes have contributed to the rise in crime rates. Among different groups, students and young working professionals are often more vulnerable as they frequently travel, stay in new locations, and may not be aware of risky areas. When crime risks are not identified in advance, it can lead to serious consequences such as physical harm, financial loss, and psychological stress.

Traditional crime monitoring systems mainly rely on historical records and police reporting. These systems are mostly reactive, meaning action is taken only after a crime has occurred. While these methods help in understanding past crime patterns, they are not very effective in preventing future incidents. With the advancement of technology and availability of large datasets, new approaches have emerged that allow crime data to be analyzed using computational techniques. Machine learning plays an important role in this area as it can process large amounts of data and identify hidden patterns based on factors like location, time, and type of crime.

By using machine learning models, it is possible to predict crime-prone areas and estimate the level of risk in a particular region. These predictions can be further used to develop applications that provide real-time alerts to users, helping them avoid dangerous locations. Such systems can support individuals,

improve public safety, and assist law enforcement agencies in taking preventive actions.

1.2 Problem Statement

Although many researchers have applied machine learning techniques for crime prediction, there are still several limitations in existing studies. Most research focuses only on predicting crime hotspots using historical data, without connecting the results to real-time applications that can directly help users. As a result, these systems are not fully effective in preventing crime or improving personal safety.

Another limitation is that many studies use only one or two machine learning algorithms, which makes it difficult to identify which model performs best. Also, different researchers use different datasets, features, and evaluation methods, making it hard to compare results across studies. In addition, many systems do not consider both spatial (location-based) and temporal (time-based) factors together, which reduces prediction accuracy.

These challenges highlight the need for a more structured and comprehensive approach. There is a requirement for a system that not only compares multiple machine learning and deep learning models under the same conditions but also integrates the prediction results into a real-time alert application. Such a system can provide early warnings to users, improve decision-making, and contribute to safer urban environments.

1.3 Motivation

The increasing rate of crime in urban areas has created a strong need for smarter solutions that can help in early risk detection and prevention. With the availability of large amounts of crime data and advancements in computational technologies, researchers now have the opportunity to develop data-driven systems that can improve public safety. Machine learning techniques can be used to analyze complex relationships between different factors such as location, time, and type of crime, which are difficult to understand using traditional methods.

By comparing different machine learning and deep learning models, it is possible to identify the most accurate and reliable approach for crime prediction. These findings can be used to build intelligent systems that not only predict crime-prone areas but also provide real-time alerts to users. Such systems can help individuals avoid dangerous locations, assist law enforcement agencies in planning preventive measures, and contribute to creating safer smart cities.

1.4 Objectives of the Study

Objective 1: To develop and evaluate machine learning and deep learning models that can predict crime-prone areas based on historical crime data, including factors such as location, time, and type of crime.

Objective 2: To compare the performance of different machine learning algorithms in order to identify the most accurate and reliable model for crime prediction.

Objective 3: To design and develop a real-time alert system that notifies users about potential crime risks based on their current or selected location.

Objective 4: To integrate the prediction model with a mobile or web-based application to improve public safety and support proactive decision-making.

1.5 Contributions of the Paper

The main contributions of this research are as follows: A systematic study of existing machine learning and deep learning approaches used for crime prediction and hotspot detection. Identification of major limitations and research gaps in current crime prediction systems, especially the lack of integration with real-time alert mechanisms. The paper proposes a comparative framework to evaluate multiple machine learning algorithms using common datasets and standard performance metrics. In addition, it introduces a unified system that combines crime prediction with a real-time alert application to improve public safety and support proactive crime prevention.

1.6 Organization of the Paper

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 5 presents the literature review and discusses previous research related to crime prediction, hotspot detection, and machine learning techniques. Section 6

describes the proposed methodology and explains the overall system design of the crime prediction and alert system. Section 7 outlines the expected results and evaluation plan for comparing different machine learning and deep learning models. Section 8 discusses the practical applications and real-world benefits of the proposed system in improving public safety. Finally, Section 9 provides the conclusion of the study along with suggestions for future research directions.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Bharambe et al. (2025) – Smart Crime Prediction and Prevention
The objective of this study was to develop a machine learning-based system for predicting crime and generating real-time alerts. The methodology involved using historical crime data and applying algorithms such as Random Forest, Decision Tree, and Support Vector Machine. The dataset was preprocessed and divided into training and testing sets for evaluation. The results showed that Random Forest provided the highest accuracy among all models. The authors concluded that machine learning can effectively be used for crime prediction and real-time alert systems to improve public safety.

2. Vanitha et al. (2025) – Optimized LSTM-Based Crime Hotspot Prediction
The objective of this research was to improve crime hotspot prediction using deep learning techniques. The methodology involved applying LSTM models on sequential crime data to capture temporal patterns. The dataset was preprocessed and used to train the model for prediction tasks. The results showed that LSTM improved prediction accuracy by capturing time-based patterns effectively. The study concluded that deep learning models are useful for time-series crime prediction.

3. Cesario et al. (2025) – Comparing ML-Based Crime Hotspots vs Police Districts
The objective of this paper was to compare traditional police district-based crime prediction with machine learning-based clustering methods. The methodology involved using clustering techniques such as DBSCAN and HDBSCAN along with forecasting models. The results indicated that clustering-based approaches performed better than static district-based methods. The authors concluded that dynamic hotspot partitioning improves crime prediction accuracy.

4. Bansal et al. (2025) – Data-Driven Crime Detection Using Random Forest
The objective of this study was to develop a crime detection model using Random Forest. The methodology involved training machine learning models on historical crime data with features such as location and crime type. The results showed that Random Forest achieved high prediction accuracy compared to other models. The study concluded that ensemble models are effective for predictive policing.

5. Dubey et al. (2024) – Clustering-Based Hotspot Recognition
The objective of this research was to improve crime prediction using clustering techniques. The methodology involved applying K-Means clustering along with classification algorithms on crime datasets. The results showed that clustering improved prediction performance significantly. The authors concluded that spatial clustering is important for accurate crime hotspot detection.

6. Dong et al. (2022) – Impact of Spatial Correlation on Crime Prediction
The objective of this study was to analyze how spatial relationships affect crime prediction. The methodology involved using LSTM models with spatial features on crime datasets. The results showed that spatial correlation improves prediction accuracy in high-risk areas. The study concluded that combining spatial and temporal features enhances crime prediction performance.

7. Sharma et al. (2022) – Fuzzy Geo-Spatial Crime Prediction
The objective of this research was to predict crime categories using fuzzy logic. The methodology involved using Fuzzy KNN on geo-spatial crime data. The results showed improved accuracy in predicting crime categories based on location. The study concluded that fuzzy logic helps in handling uncertainty in crime prediction.

8. Hemanth Kumar et al. (2024) – Random Forest vs Decision Tree for Crime Prediction
The objective of this study was to compare Random Forest and Decision Tree models. The methodology involved training both models on crime datasets and evaluating performance. The results showed that Random Forest performed better than Decision Tree in terms of accuracy. The authors concluded that ensemble models are more reliable for crime prediction.

9. Barbadekar et al. (2024) – Real-Time Crime Alert System Using Crime Mapping
The objective of this study was to develop a crime mapping and alert system. The methodology involved using Kernel Density Estimation and regression techniques for hotspot detection. The results showed improved identification of crime-prone areas. The study concluded that combining mapping techniques with alerts can improve public safety.

10. Gupta et al. (2024) – Multi-Modal Deep Learning for Crime Detection
The objective of this research was to develop a system combining historical data and video-based crime detection. The methodology involved using deep learning models such as CNN along with clustering techniques. The results showed improved detection accuracy using multi-modal data. The authors concluded that combining multiple data sources improves crime prediction and detection systems.

2.2 Comparative Analysis of Existing Methods

	Author	Year	Method	Dataset	Performance	Limitations
2	Bhavanibe	2025	ML models (RF, DT, SVM)	Historical crime data	High accuracy	Limited to small regions
3	Vanitha et	2025	LSTM (Deep Learning)	Sequential crime data	Improved prediction accuracy	Complex model tuning required
4	Cesario et	2025	Clustering + ML	Crime clustering datasets	Better than static zones	Difficult to update clusters
5	Bansal et	2025	Random Forest	Historical crime dataset	High classification accuracy	Limited real-world validation
6	Dubey et	2024	K-Means + Classification	Crime hotspot dataset	Enhanced prediction performance	Requires optimal cluster selection
7	Dong et al	2022	LSTM + Spatial features	Crime datasets with location	Improved results in high-density areas	High computational cost
8	Sharma et	2022	Fuzzy KNN	Geo-spatial crime dataset	Improved accuracy in uncertain data	Limited temporal modeling
9	Hemanth	2024	Random Forest vs Decision Tree	Chicago crime dataset	Random Forest performed better	Limited dataset scale
10	Barbadeka	2024	KDE + Regression	FIR + GIS crime data	Better hotspot identification	Limited advanced ML usage
11	Gupta et a	2024	Multi-modal Deep Learning	Historical + CCTV data	Higher detection accuracy	High computational complexity

2.3 Critical Review
The review of the available literature shows that machine learning techniques are effective in identifying patterns related to crime prediction and hotspot detection. Many studies have shown promising results using supervised learning algorithms such as Random Forest, Decision Tree, and Support Vector Machine for predicting crime-prone areas. In addition, deep learning models like LSTM have been used successfully to capture time-based crime patterns. Comparative studies also indicate that ensemble methods and hybrid models generally perform better than simple models in terms of prediction accuracy.

However, despite these positive outcomes, there are several limitations in existing research. One of the common issues is the use of limited datasets, often restricted to specific cities or regions. Due to this, the developed models may not perform well when applied to different locations or larger populations. Another limitation is that many studies rely only on a single type of data, such as historical crime records, without considering additional factors like demographic data, environmental conditions, or real-time inputs. This reduces the overall prediction accuracy and practical usefulness of the models.

Furthermore, many research works do not follow standardized evaluation methods, making it difficult to compare the performance of different machine learning models across studies. Some models are also computationally complex and require high processing power, which limits their real-world implementation, especially in resource-constrained environments. Lastly, most studies focus only on prediction and do not integrate their models into real-time alert systems, which reduces their effectiveness in improving public safety. These limitations highlight the need for a more comprehensive, scalable, and integrated approach to crime prediction and prevention.

2.4 Identified Research Gaps
Based on the analysis presented in the literature review, several research gaps can be identified in the area of crime prediction. Many existing studies use limited datasets that are restricted to specific cities or regions, which reduces the ability of machine learning models to perform well across different locations. This limitation affects the generalization capability of the models when applied to real-world scenarios.

Another major gap is the lack of proper comparison between multiple machine learning and deep learning algorithms. Most studies focus on testing one or two models without evaluating their performance against other techniques under the same conditions. This makes it difficult to identify the most effective model for crime prediction.

In addition, the integration of multiple data sources is not widely explored. Many studies rely only on historical crime data, while other important factors such as demographic information, environmental conditions, and real-time data are often ignored. Including these additional features can improve the accuracy and reliability of prediction models.

Furthermore, advanced techniques such as explainable artificial intelligence and real-time system integration are still not fully explored in crime prediction research. Most models lack transparency and are not connected to user-based alert systems. By addressing these gaps, it is possible to develop more accurate, scalable, and practical crime prediction systems that can support early warning and improve public safety.

III. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

3.1 System Overview

The proposed system aims to develop a data-driven model that can predict crime-prone areas and provide real-time alerts to users using machine learning and deep learning techniques. The system analyzes historical crime data along with important factors such as location, time, and type of crime. The main goal is to identify patterns in crime data and predict the level of risk in a particular area.

The overall framework starts with the collection of crime datasets from publicly available sources. After collecting the data, preprocessing steps are performed to improve data quality and consistency. These steps include handling missing values, removing duplicate or inconsistent records, normalizing numerical data, and converting categorical data into a suitable format for machine learning models.

After preprocessing, feature selection is applied to select the most important attributes that influence crime prediction, such as location coordinates, time intervals, and crime categories. This helps in improving model performance and reducing unnecessary noise in the dataset. The processed data is then used to train multiple machine learning and deep learning models such as Logistic Regression, Random Forest, Support Vector Machine, and LSTM.

Each model is evaluated using standard performance metrics including accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and ROC-AUC. These evaluation measures allow a fair comparison of all models under the same conditions. Based on the results, the most accurate and reliable model is selected for prediction.

Finally, the selected model is integrated into a real-time alert system that provides notifications to users based on their location. The system warns users when they enter or plan to visit high-risk areas, helping them take preventive actions. The overall aim of this framework is to improve public safety by combining crime prediction with real-time alert technology.

3.2 Workflow Diagram

The proposed system is designed as a series of processing steps that convert raw crime data into useful predictions and alerts. The workflow starts from data input and ends with prediction results and model evaluation.

Input Stage: The system takes crime-related data such as historical crime records, location information, time details, and type of crime. These inputs help in identifying patterns related to when and where crimes are more likely to occur.

Processing Stage:

Data Collection: The required datasets are collected from publicly available sources such as government crime databases or open data platforms. These datasets include information about past crimes along with location and time details.

Data Preprocessing: Before using the data for training, it is cleaned and prepared. This includes handling missing values, removing duplicate or incorrect records, normalizing numerical values, and

converting categorical data into a format suitable for machine learning models.

Feature Selection: Important features such as location, time intervals, and crime categories are selected to improve the prediction accuracy. This step helps in removing unnecessary data and focusing only on relevant information.

Model Training; Different machine learning and deep learning models such as Logistic Regression, Random Forest, Support Vector Machine, and LSTM are trained using the processed dataset. These models learn patterns from the data to predict crime-prone areas.

Model Evaluation: Each trained model is evaluated using standard performance metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and ROC-AUC. This helps in comparing the models and selecting the best-performing one.

Output Stage: The final output of the system includes predicted crime risk levels (low, medium, high) for different areas and a comparative analysis of model performance. In addition, the system provides real-time alerts to users based on their location, helping them avoid high-risk areas and improve personal safety.

3.3 Dataset Description

The datasets used in this study are collected from publicly available sources that provide information related to crime records. These datasets typically include details of past crimes such as location, time, date, and type of crime. Such data helps in understanding patterns and trends in criminal activities.

The datasets generally contain both numerical and categorical features. Numerical features may include time, date, and geographical coordinates (latitude and longitude), while categorical features may include crime type, area name, and category of offense. These features are important for analyzing and predicting crime occurrences.

The size of the datasets can vary depending on the source, ranging from a few thousand to several lakh records. Each record represents a single crime event, which includes all relevant details needed for analysis. Larger datasets help in improving the accuracy and reliability of machine learning models.
Before using the data for model training, it is carefully preprocessed to ensure quality and consistency. This includes handling missing values, removing duplicate entries, and converting data into a suitable format. Properly prepared datasets allow machine learning models to learn meaningful patterns and make accurate predictions about crime-prone areas.

IV. EXPECTED RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Expected Outcomes

The main purpose of the proposed research is to study the performance of different machine learning and deep learning algorithms for predicting crime-prone areas. After training and testing the models on selected crime datasets, it is expected that the algorithms will be able to identify important patterns in the data and classify areas based on different levels of crime risk such as low, medium, and high. This will help in understanding how crime varies based on location, time, and type of incident.

One of the expected outcomes of this research is the identification of machine learning models that perform better compared to basic models. Algorithms such as Random Forest, Support Vector Machine, and Gradient Boosting are expected to give strong results because they can capture complex relationships between different features in the dataset. These models are likely to provide more accurate and reliable predictions of crime-prone areas.

Another expected result is the improvement in prediction performance through proper data preprocessing and feature selection. By cleaning the data and selecting only important features such as location, time, and crime category, the models can focus on meaningful information. This will lead to more stable and consistent results across evaluation metrics like accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score.
The analysis is also expected to show that the proposed system can be extended to larger datasets in future work. Since the methodology uses commonly used machine learning algorithms and publicly

available crime datasets, the system can be applied to different cities or regions. In addition, the integration of prediction results with a real-time alert system can help improve public safety by providing timely warnings to users about high-risk areas..

4.2 Comparative Evaluation Plan

The evaluation of the proposed system will be carried out through a comparative analysis of different machine learning and deep learning algorithms. All models will be trained using the same crime dataset and preprocessing steps to ensure a fair comparison. This will help in identifying the most accurate and reliable model for crime prediction.

The performance of each model will be evaluated using standard classification metrics such as:

- Accuracy
- Precision
- Recall
- F1-score
- ROC-AUC

The dataset will be divided into training and testing sets using techniques such as train-test split or cross-validation. The models will be trained on the training data and then tested on the testing data to measure their predictive performance.

Finally, the results of all models will be compared based on accuracy, efficiency, and reliability. This comparison will help in selecting the best-performing model for predicting crime-prone areas and supporting real-time alert generation.

4.3 Discussion

The proposed comparative study is expected to provide several advantages over existing crime prediction methods. By comparing different machine learning and deep learning algorithms under the same experimental conditions, the research will give a clear understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of each model. This will help in selecting the most accurate and reliable approach for predicting crime-prone areas.

In addition, the use of proper data preprocessing and feature selection techniques is expected to improve the overall performance of the models. Cleaning the data and selecting relevant features such as location, time, and crime type will reduce noise and improve prediction accuracy. Proper data preparation plays an important role in building effective machine learning models.

Another important aspect of this research is the interpretability of the prediction models. Understanding how different features influence crime prediction can provide useful insights into crime patterns and risk factors. These insights can help researchers and law enforcement agencies make better decisions.

From a practical point of view, the outcomes of this study can be used to develop intelligent systems that support early crime detection and prevention. The integration of prediction models with real-time alert systems can help individuals avoid high-risk areas and improve personal safety. It can also assist authorities in planning preventive measures and managing resources more efficiently.

V. APPLICATIONS AND USE CASES

The proposed machine learning-based crime prediction and alert system can be applied in many real-life situations where public safety is important. By analyzing historical crime data and identifying patterns, the system can help in predicting high-risk areas and providing early warnings to users. This can support individuals in avoiding dangerous locations and improve overall safety in urban environments. The integration of prediction models with real-time alerts makes the system useful for proactive crime prevention.

Industry Use: Crime prediction systems can be useful for law enforcement agencies, security services, and smart city platforms. Police departments can use these systems to identify crime-prone areas and plan patrols more effectively. Security companies can use predictive models to monitor high-risk zones and take preventive actions. In addition, technology companies can integrate this system into mobile or web applications, allowing users to receive real-time alerts about crime risks based on their location. Such

applications can help users make safer decisions while traveling or staying in unfamiliar areas.

Social Impact: Crime is a major concern for society, especially in cities where people face safety risks in their daily lives. A predictive crime alert system can help reduce fear and improve awareness among individuals. Students, working professionals, and travelers can benefit from alerts that warn them about unsafe areas. Early warnings can help prevent incidents and reduce the chances of harm. This system can also promote a sense of security and encourage people to be more cautious in high-risk situations.

Policy Relevance; Government agencies and urban planners can use crime prediction systems to analyze crime trends and identify high-risk areas. These insights can help in better planning of resources such as police deployment, surveillance systems, and safety infrastructure. Data-driven decisions can improve the effectiveness of public safety strategies and reduce crime rates. Policymakers can also use these systems to design awareness programs and preventive measures in vulnerable areas.

Academic Value: From an academic perspective, this study contributes to research in the field of machine learning and smart city security. The comparative analysis of different algorithms provides useful insights into their performance for crime prediction. Future researchers can extend this work by using larger datasets, exploring advanced deep learning models, or integrating additional data sources such as real-time sensors or social media. These improvements can make crime prediction systems more accurate and practical for real-world applications.

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper has discussed how machine learning methods can be applied to identify mental health risks like depression, anxiety, and stress. In the context of a thorough examination of the literature available, it was noted that data-based methods have been used more often to analyze psychological and behavioral data. It has been found in the literature that machine learning models can help researchers and healthcare personnel to detect patterns related to mental conditions, which can facilitate the early detection of the condition and the provision of an intervention.

The review of the past literature also provided some limitations in current research. Numerous of the current models are formulated on relatively small datasets or datasets that are restricted to certain groups of demographics. Besides that, there are studies that review only a few algorithms, which complicates the ability to conclude on what machine learning methods can be the best in various settings. The other problem is another similarity of insufficient standardised evaluation procedures making it difficult to compare various research results. In order to overcome these issues, this paper has suggested an organized format of assessing various machine learning algorithms in terms of mental health prediction.

The suggested methodology comprises of data preprocessing, feature selection, model training and systematic appraisal with an established performance indicators like accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and ROC-AUC. The study will determine predict models with consistent experimental settings by comparing more than one algorithm to find out predicting algorithms with good predictive accuracy.
All in all, this study has revealed the possible opportunities of machine learning as an effective means of interpreting mental health data and helping in identifying potential risks at an early stage. Further investigation in this area can result in the creation of more sophisticated predictive algorithms that would enable medical workers, scholars, and politicians to deal with mental health issues more appropriately.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Bharambe, S. Patil, and R. Deshmukh, "Smart Crime Prediction and Prevention Using Machine Learning," IEEE International Conference on Emerging Technologies, 2025.

[2] K. Vanitha, V. K, S. R, and S. Sampath, "Optimized LSTM-Based Machine Learning Framework for Real-Time Crime Hotspot Prediction," IEEE ICSCSA, 2025.

[3] E. Cesario, P. Lindia, and A. Vinci, "Comparing Machine Learning-Based Crime Hotspots Versus Police Districts for Crime Forecasting," IEEE Access, vol. 13, 2025.

[4] K. Bansal, P. Varyani, U. K. Jaiswal, and R. Goel, "Data-Driven Crime Detection and Prevention Model for Smart Cities Using Random Forest," IEEE NGISE, 2025.

[5] A. Dubey, P. Garg, N. Venu, and K. Srinivas, "A Clustering-Based Method for Hotspot Recognition in Crime Forecasting," IEEE CICT, 2024.

[6] Q. Dong, R. Ye, and Y. Fan, "Impact of Spatial Correlation on Crime Prediction in Communities with Different Crime Densities," IEEE ICCC, 2022.

[7] S. Sharma, A. Uniyal, P. Srinivasan, and S. Chaudhari, "Fuzzy Based Geo-Spatial Crime Category Prediction for Crime Mapping and Safe Route Travel," IEEE TENSYMP, 2022.

[8] P. Hemanth Kumar, S. Saraswathi, V. S, and R. S. Singh, "Higher Prediction of Crime Occurrences in Urban Areas Using Random Forest Algorithm Comparing with Decision Tree Algorithm," IEEE ICETAS, 2024.

[9] A. Barbadekar, A. Kodak, O. Kulkarni, and A. Pansare, "Real-Time Alert System Based on Crime Area Mapping," IEEE I2CT, 2024.

[10] V. Gupta, S. Sharma, and S. Tyagi, "Adaptive Multi-Modal Deep Learning Framework for Proactive Crime Detection and Behavioral Analysis," IEEE CICT, 2024.