© MAY 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 11 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I11-1718167

Optimizing Mechanical Design-to-Production Cycle Time: An Engineering Management Approach Using Lean Principles

JAY-AR JOSE VICENTE[1], NOEL FLORENCONDIA[2], MACKENLY A. PERNIA[3]
[1,2,3]*Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology*

I. THE PROBLEM AND ITS BACKGROUND

In today's highly competitive manufacturing environment, reducing production cycle time has become a critical priority for organizations striving to improve efficiency, control costs, and remain globally competitive. For modern industrial organizations, extended cycle times restrict operational bandwidth and constrain capacity growth. In the automotive sector specifically, rapid technological evolution across electric vehicle (EV) ecosystems, autonomous driving platforms, connectivity suites, and sustainability-driven systems has amplified design complexities. Industry pioneers such as Tesla have forced manufacturers to dramatically compress development calendars to secure critical market capture. Despite significant advancements in computer-aided engineering, design-to-production cycles continue to experience severe bottlenecks. Unplanned equipment downtime represents a multi-billion-dollar operational risk, costing industrial firms an estimated USD 50 billion annually. Furthermore, the cross-functional coordination required between distributed research and development, procurement, and physical shop-floor assembly teams frequently introduces information decay, redundant engineering reviews, and extensive administrative wait times. Resolving these deep-seated coordination failures requires combining robust digital workflows with established process discipline frameworks.

Rationale of the Study

While advanced technologies like automation and additive manufacturing improve specific localized production cells, they fail to resolve systemic inefficiencies embedded within the broader design-to-production workflow. This operational gap underscores the need to apply Lean manufacturing principles within an engineering management framework. Lean philosophy provides a highly structured method for mapping workflows, visualizing hidden operational wastes (muda), and standardizing review cadences. While Lean tools are widely used within continuous mass assembly lines, their application within highly fluid, multi-team mechanical design refinement cycles remains under-explored. This study addresses that precise operational gap by building an integrated engineering management model designed to shorten development schedules, mitigate administrative delays, and maximize throughput predictability.

Statement of the Problem

This study seeks to reduce the mechanical design-to-production cycle time by identifying process bottlenecks, eliminating structural waste, and enhancing multi-team integration through a Lean-integrated engineering management framework. Specifically, the research addresses the following core questions:

1. What specific process steps introduce the most critical delays within the mechanical design-to-production cycle?
2. Which non-value-adding activities (muda) contribute most extensively to prolonged development lead times?
3. How can Lean manufacturing tools be systematically structured to reduce latency within the engineering workflow?
4. Which distinct phase of the mechanical design-to-production timeline represents the longest cumulative cycle time?

Significance of the Study

This research offers critical contributions across multiple engineering and academic domains:

- Engineering Managers: Provides data-driven strategies to structure cross-functional design review loops, minimize administrative signature bottlenecks, and optimize cross-departmental handoffs.
- Manufacturers and Industry Stakeholders: Offers tactical mechanisms to identify physical and informational bottlenecks, compress production lead times, eliminate defensive work-in-process tracking, and lower operating costs.
- Future Researchers: Establishes a solid baseline for subsequent investigations into the intersection of lean process discipline, digital twin architectures, and predictive analytics in Industry 4.0 environments.

Scope and Limitations

This research analyzes mid- to large-scale automotive and heavy machinery manufacturing environments where complex design specifications must be tightly synchronized with physical fabrication teams. The analysis evaluates lean tools including Value Stream Mapping (VSM), standardized work protocols, continuous flow optimization, and visual management boards. The study is constrained to a Qualitative Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology utilizing high-quality empirical case studies, technical reports, and peer-reviewed literature published within a recent five-year standard restriction window. It focuses strictly on process structures and cross-functional coordination workflows, meaning it does not evaluate proprietary real-time plant diagnostics or highly specific cultural factors influencing organizational change resistance.

Definition of Terms

- Mechanical Design-to-Production Cycle: The comprehensive pipeline required to transform an initial vehicle or component concept into a finalized, market-ready manufactured product.
- Cycle Time: The total elapsed duration required to advance a product through complete design refinement, engineering approval, production scheduling, and final factory assembly.
- Bottleneck: Any physical, administrative, or technical resource constraint that limits total system throughput and increases downstream idle time.
- Unplanned Downtime: Unexpected machine failures or workflow disruptions that halt manufacturing cells, degrade operational predictability, and induce substantial cost overruns.
- Engineering Management: An integrated professional discipline focused on optimizing complex engineering workflows, managing technical assets, and aligning cross-functional teams via structured decision-making.

II. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study utilizes a rigorous qualitative research design executing a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) following structured thematic synthesis protocols. This methodological approach integrates existing academic and industrial knowledge bases, analyzes trends across diverse operational environments, and builds a comprehensive framework for Lean engineering management. Rather than analyzing an isolated localized factory environment, the SLR synthesizes empirical evidence across multiple industrial contexts to ensure broad general applicability and high external validity.

Search Strategy and Protocol (PRISMA Framework)

To ensure a highly rigorous, unbiased, and transparent selection of secondary data, the study deployed a formalized search matrix across major academic indexes, including Google Scholar, ScienceDirect, IEEE Xplore, and recognized institutional repositories. The search strategy focused exclusively on articles published within the last 5 years to ensure close alignment with modern technical and industrial practices. The Boolean search strings deployed across databases were structured as follows:

"(\"Lean Principles\" OR \"Value Stream Mapping\" OR \"VSM\") AND
(\"Mechanical Design\" OR \"Design-to-Production\") AND
(\"Cycle Time Reduction\" OR \"Bottleneck Elimination\") AND
(\"Engineering Management\")"

The systematic filtration pipeline followed standard PRISMA guidelines as detailed in the selection metric below:

PRISMA Phase	Flow Stage	Methodological Description	Records Count
Identification	Database Query	Initial automated keyword search queries executed across indexed secondary databases.	314 records
Screening	Duplicate Removal	Automated and manual filtration of identical, multi-indexed, or redundant internal listings.	186 unique records
	Abstract Filtering	Primary evaluation of titles and abstracts to exclude non-engineering domains or studies lacking empirical data.	68 records
Eligibility	Full-Text Evaluation	In-depth examination against strict inclusion criteria requiring validated cycle-time or engineering rework metrics.	24 records
Included	Final Synthesis Cohort	Refined core dataset of empirical peer-reviewed studies, technical papers, and industrial reports.	24 studies

Data Extraction and Analysis

A standardized literature matrix was constructed to catalog each study's author, publication year, operational environment, lean intervention strategies, and cycle-time metrics. The extracted qualitative data was evaluated using descriptive thematic analysis. This involved deploying an open-coding framework to catalog recurring concepts, such as decision latency, design-for-manufacturability (DFM) defects, and cross-functional information silos, which were ultimately grouped into overarching thematic dimensions.

Ethical Considerations

Because this investigation relies strictly on secondary data extracted from published public literature, it involved no human subjects or confidential corporate assets. High academic standards were maintained throughout the study. All original ideas, findings, and empirical data points are accurately represented, fully referenced, and properly cited to prevent any form of plagiarism or academic misrepresentation.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Process Steps Causing Delays in the Mechanical Design-to-Production Cycle Time

The thematic synthesis confirms that the transition from initial engineering concept to active factory fabrication is frequently slowed down by non-physical, process-driven bottlenecks. Analyzing cycle times across the literature cohort indicates that workflow latency is primarily driven by three recurring process disconnects:

- Iterative Design Validation Loops: The design refinement stage is consistently identified as a major bottleneck due to the highly iterative nature of structural validation and safety analyses. Repeated cycles of technical modification and finite element analysis (FEA) significantly extend development

schedules when disconnected from production insights.
- Protracted Approval Pipelines: Sluggish administrative validation, complex multi-layered hierarchy signatures, and late-stage engineering specification changes introduce severe workflow disruptions. These delays alter production plans and force procurement teams to completely stall ordering cycles.
- Isolated Departmental Communications: Fragmented communication between R&D teams and downstream factory floors often results in severe operational misalignment. Misunderstood design constraints lead to unexpected fabrication issues when a product finally reaches the factory floor.

These systemic failures demonstrate that design-to-production delays are rarely caused by the product's inherent technical complexity. Instead, they are driven by fragmented workflows, excessive hand-offs, and administrative delays that occur long before a physical component ever reaches a manufacturing line.

Non-Value-Adding (NVA) Activities Contributing to Prolonged Cycle Time
To build an optimized workflow model, engineering managers must classify process wastes using the Lean concept of muda. The thematic synthesis identified several prominent categories of non-value-adding activities that consistently inflate overall lead times:

Waste Category (Muda)	Workflow Manifestation	Operational Impact
Waiting	Waiting for engineering drawings, administrative signatures, or cross-departmental clarifications.	Creates large time buffers and inventory buildups that hide process flaws.
Rework	Correcting design errors caused by poor early coordination and inadequate DFM integration.	Triggers repeated engineering changes and directly disrupts procurement timelines.
Overproduction	Generating excessive prototype runs and oversized work-in-process (WIP) buffer stocks.	Increases material handling costs and complicates manufacturing changeover routines.
Defensive Defects	Relying on excessive inspection and expedited shipping to absorb machine breakdowns.	Significantly inflates overall operating costs without resolving root process failures.

The synthesis emphasizes that informational and administrative wastes (such as delayed decisions and poor documentation handoffs) are far more damaging than physical manufacturing wastes. These administrative issues remain hidden within digital toolchains and electronic approval flows, causing significant delays before they are ever discovered on the factory floor.

Application of Lean Principles to Compress Operational Lead Times
The literature demonstrates that combining Lean tools with engineering management frameworks provides a highly effective mechanism for stabilizing processes and eliminating lead-time variability:

- Value Stream Mapping (VSM): Value Stream Mapping serves as an essential diagnostic tool by visually charting both material and information flows across the development pipeline. Case study analysis demonstrates that using VSM to identify and eliminate non-value-adding activities reduces total manufacturing lead times by 20% to 40%. This system-wide focus prevents managers from making localized, isolated changes that fail to improve overall throughput.
- Standardized Work Procedures: While design engineering is traditionally viewed as a creative process resistant to rigid structure,

standardizing routine tasks stabilizes timelines without restricting innovation. Implementing standardized documentation templates, structured design criteria, and clear approval deadlines directly mitigates the two main drivers of workflow extension: missing data and unpredictable engineering loops.

- Continuous Flow and Visual Management: Lean structures combat organizational silos and batch decision-making by enforcing continuous workflow optimization. Transitioning to cross-functional engineering teams and deploying visual management systems—such as Kanban boards and digital Production Readiness Dashboards—creates complete transparency across departments. This clear visibility eliminates miscommunication and accelerates issue resolution.

Furthermore, combining lean process discipline with advanced digital technologies—such as digital twin architectures, real-time process monitoring, and Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT) sensors—amplifies performance improvements. These digital systems provide deep, real-time operational insights that optimize manufacturing cells without interrupting active factory production.

Phases Contributing the Longest Cumulative Cycle Time

The compiled data demonstrates that the Design Refinement Phase represents the primary bottleneck within the end-to-end design-to-production lifecycle. This phase is uniquely vulnerable to extended timelines because it acts as the collection point for all preceding engineering and administrative gaps. Inadequate early communication or a failure to set precise requirements causes critical design errors to surface during validation trials. Resolving these errors requires executing costly, late-stage design modifications. Industrial metrics indicate that implementing structured, rigorous design reviews early in this phase can prevent 60% to 72% of late-stage engineering errors. Stabilizing technical specifications early, enforcing strict design criteria, and integrating manufacturing requirements before releasing designs helps eliminate scope creep, prevent costly mid-process corrections, and ensure a seamless transition to physical production.

IV. FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Summary of Findings

1. The design refinement stage represents the most extensive bottleneck in the development lifecycle, driven by recurring validation loops, detailed peer reviews, and late-stage changes to engineering drawings.
2. Waiting for administrative sign-offs, engineering documentation, and multi-level supervisor approvals constitutes the single largest non-value-adding activity, introducing extensive latency before production begins.
3. Inadequate early integration of Design-for-Manufacturability (DFM) guidelines forces extensive design rework, directly stalling downstream procurement cycles and disrupting factory assembly schedules.
4. Relying on defensive operational measures, such as maintaining oversized work-in-process (WIP) buffer stocks and executing redundant inspections, inflates total operating costs and masks root process flaws rather than solving them.
5. The strategic deployment of Value Stream Mapping (VSM) to expose hidden information and administrative bottlenecks yields an empirical reduction of 20% to 40% in total manufacturing lead times.
6. Establishing standardized design workflows and visual control systems (such as Kanban boards and digital dashboards) eliminates hand-off fragmentation, stabilizes routine tasks, and accelerates team decision-making.

Conclusion

This research demonstrates that optimizing the mechanical design-to-production cycle depends less on increasing factory automation and far more on addressing structural, informational, and administrative inefficiencies. The design refinement phase is the most critical turning point in the lifecycle; failures in early communication and premature specification releases inevitably trigger a destructive loop of late-stage design changes and operational rework. Non-value-adding activities, led by administrative waiting latencies, significantly inflate overall lead times and create bloated work-in-process buffers that hide systemic flaws. By merging engineering management strategies with Lean principles—specifically through Value Stream Mapping, standardized documentation, and early DFM enforcement—manufacturing organizations can eliminate hidden organizational silos. Ultimately, stabilizing design definitions early and standardizing review protocols guarantees an efficient, predictable, and resilient transition from engineering concept to completed product.

Recommendations

Based on the conclusions established, the following strategic interventions are recommended for manufacturing organizations and engineering administrators:

1. Structure Early Design-for-Manufacturability (DFM) Gateways: Enforce the complete integration of Design-for-Manufacturability (DFM) guidelines during the initial concept phase. Production engineers must collaborate with design teams during early layout reviews to identify fabrication constraints, prevent late-stage design rework, and streamline manufacturing readiness.
2. Compress Administrative Approval Windows: Replace unstructured, ad-hoc approval loops with a formalized, time-bound signature matrix. Implement digital workflow tracking to monitor engineering sign-offs, establish a maximum 48-hour response window for technical modifications, and minimize administrative waiting times.
3. Institutionalize Regular Value Stream Mapping Audits: Execute bi-annual Value Stream Mapping (VSM) audits that analyze both physical material movements and electronic information flows. Use these diagnostic sessions to expose hidden information silos, identify shifting bottlenecks, and eliminate redundant administrative documentation handoffs.
4. Establish Unified Visual Management Infrastructure: Deploy unified visual control infrastructure, including digital Kanban systems and cloud-based Production Readiness Dashboards. These systems provide both design and manufacturing teams with identical, real-time project updates, preventing miscommunication and speeding up problem resolution.
5. Implement Cross-Functional Synchronization Routines: Form dedicated, cross-functional launch teams comprising product designers, manufacturing engineers, procurement specialists, and quality analysts. These teams should meet for brief, daily synchronization sessions to maintain continuous workflow and resolve emerging issues before they impact production timelines.
6. Formulate an Industry 4.0 Digital Integration Roadmap: Build a structured roadmap to combine lean process discipline with advanced Industry 4.0 digital technologies. Integrate digital twin simulation architectures with Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT) tracking systems to model assembly modifications and proactively predict production bottlenecks without disrupting active manufacturing lines.

REFERENCES

[1] Adepoju, A. H., Gabriel, B. A., & Adeoluwa, E. (2022, March). *Framework for Automating Multi-Team Workflows to Maximize Operational Efficiency and Minimize Redundant Data Handling.* ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Adebusayo-Adepoju/publication/388734627_Framework_for_Automating_Multi-Team_Workflows_to_Maximize_Operational_Efficiency_and_Minimize_Redundant_Data_Handling/links/67a43ea9645ef274a47139d2/Framework-for-Automating-Multi-Team-Workflows-to-Maximize-Operational-Efficiency-and-Minimize-Redundant-Data-Handling.pdf

[2] Amare, T., Singh, B., Kabata, G., & Bhaskaran, J. (2023, March). *Improvement Analysis of Production Planning and Control System: Production Planning and Control.* ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368988259_Improvement_Analysis_of_Production_Planning_and_Control_System_Production_Planning_and_Control_Capacity_Planning_Master_P

roduction_scheduling_Aggregate_Production_Planning_Demand_Forecasting

[3] Ardoq. (2024, December 27). *Value Stream Mapping Overview: What Is VSM and How to Do It.* Ardoq AS. https://www.ardoq.com/knowledge-hub/value-stream-mapping

[4] Asahoglund. (2025, August 29). *Avoiding DfM Pitfalls: Common Design Choices That Complicate PCB Production.* NCAB Group. https://www.ncabgroup.com/blog/avoiding-dfm-pitfalls/

[5] Azizi, A., & Manoharan, T. A. (2015). Designing a future value stream mapping to reduce lead time using SMED – A case study. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 2, 153–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2015.07.027

[6] Benhanifia, A., Cheikh, Z. B., Oliveira, P. M., Valente, A., & Lima, J. (2025). Systematic review of predictive maintenance practices in the manufacturing sector. *Intelligent Systems With Applications*, 26, 200501. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iswa.2025.200501

[7] Bicheno, J., & Holweg, M. (2016). *The Lean Toolbox: A Handbook for Lean Transformation.* https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-lean-toolbox%3A-a-handbook-for-lean-Bicheno-Holweg/b9237d4ea480062a528f1375b891cd97fc8b78ee

[8] Buer, S., Semini, M., Strandhagen, J. O., & Sgarbossa, F. (2020). The complementary effect of lean manufacturing and digitalisation on operational performance. *International Journal of Production Research*, 59(7), 1976–1992. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1790684

[9] Campbell, F. (2025, December 12). *60% of Late-Stage Errors Could Be Prevented with Better Design Review.* CoLab. https://www.colabsoftware.com/research/60-of-late-stage-errors-could-be-prevented-with-better-design-review

[10] Catalysis. (2019, December 8). *Five Benefits of Visual Management.* https://createvalue.org/blog/five-benefits-of-visual-management/

[11] Costa, B., Varejão, J., & Gaspar, P. D. (2024). Development of a value stream map to optimize the production process in a luxury metal piece manufacturing company. *Processes*, 12(8), 1612. https://doi.org/10.3390/pr12081612

[12] Cross, N. (2021). *Engineering Design Methods: Strategies for Product Design.* John Wiley & Sons.

[13] Dara, H. M., Raut, A., Adamu, M., Ibrahim, Y. E., & Ingle, P. V. (2024). Reducing non-value added (NVA) activities through lean tools for the precast industry. *Heliyon*, 10(7), e29148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e29148

[14] El Walki, S. D. (2019). *Processes and Design for Manufacturing.* Google Books. https://books.google.com.ph/books?id=NMNsEQAAQBAJ

[15] Farag, M. M. (2020). *Materials and Process Selection for Engineering Design.* https://polyelearning.gnomio.com/pluginfile.php/67/mod_resource/content/1/Materials%20and%20Process%20Selection%20for%20Engineering%20Desig-Farag.pdf

[16] Gebeyehu, S. G., Abebe, M., & Gochel, A. (2022). Production lead time improvement through lean manufacturing. *Cogent Engineering*, 9(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311916.2022.2034255

[17] Goldratt, E. M., & Cox, J. (1992). *The Goal: A Process of Ongoing Improvement.* North River Press, North Barrington.

[18] Hall, D. M., Adey, B. T., & Haas, C. T. (2020). Identifying enablers for coordination across construction supply chain processes: A systematic literature review. https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-05-2020-0299

[19] Khan, A., & Turowski, K. (2019). A survey of current challenges in manufacturing industry and preparation for Industry 4.0. SpringerLink. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-33609-1_2

[20] Laghouag, A., Zafrah, F. B., Qureshi, M. R. N. M., & Sahli, A. A. (2024). Eliminating non-value-added activities and optimizing manufacturing processes using process mining: A stock of challenges for family SMEs. *Sustainability*, 16(4), 1694. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16041694

[21] Lean Manufacturing. (2023, July 12). *Cycle Time in Manufacturing: Definition and How to Reduce It.*

https://www.leanmanufacture.net/leanterms/cycletime/

[22] Munianday, P., Sarawak, M., Rahman, R. A., Abdullah, A.-S., & Esa, M. (2024). A comprehensive analysis of the importance of investigating the impact of Construction 4.0 skills on project performance. *Journal of Information Technology in Construction*, 29, 686–721. https://doi.org/10.36680/j.itcon.2024.031

[23] Ojeda, J. C. O., De Moraes, J. G. B., De Sousa Filho, C. V., De Sousa Pereira, M., De Queiroz Pereira, J. V., Dias, I. C. P., Da Silva, E. C. M., Peixoto, M. G. M., & Gonçalves, M. C. (2025). Application of a predictive model to reduce unplanned downtime in automotive industry production processes: A sustainability perspective. *Sustainability*, 17(9), 3926. https://doi.org/10.3390/su17093926

[24] Ongbali, S., Afolalu, S., Oyedepo, S., Aworinde, A., & Fajobi, M. (2021). A study on the factors causing bottleneck problems in the manufacturing industry using principal component analysis. *Heliyon*, 7(5), e07020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07020

[25] Oxmaint. (2025, August 25). *The Evolution of Maintenance Management from Reactive to Predictive.* OXMaint. https://oxmaint.com/article/evolution-maintenance-management-reactive-predictive

[26] Patil, H. M., & Sirsikar, S. S. (2020, July). *Product Design and Development: Phases and Approach. IJERT – International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology.* https://www.ijert.org/research/product-design-and-development-phases-and-approach-IJERTV6IS070136.pdf

[27] Schwarz, L. (2025, April 1). *18 Challenges the Manufacturing Industry Faces in 2025.* NetSuite. https://www.netsuite.com/portal/resource/articles/erp/manufacturing-industry-challenges.shtml

[28] Subramaniyan, M., Skoogh, A., Bokrantz, J., Sheikh, M. A., Thürer, M., & Chang, Q. (2021). Artificial intelligence for throughput bottleneck analysis – State-of-the-art and future directions. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 60, 734–751. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2021.07.021

[29] Taher, M. A., & Bashar, M. (2024). The impact of lean manufacturing concepts on industrial processes' efficiency and waste reduction. *International Journal of Progressive Research in Engineering Management and Science*, 4, 338–349. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381250819_THE_IMPACT_OF_LEAN_MANUFACTURING_CONCEPTS_ON_INDUSTRIAL_PROCESSES'_EFFICIENCY_AND_WASTE_REDUCTION

[30] Tatineni, S. (2020). An integrated approach to predictive maintenance using IoT and machine learning in manufacturing. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering & Technology*, 11, 251–265. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377406709_AN_INTEGRATED_APPROACH_TO_PREDICTIVE_MAINTENANCE_USING_IOT_AND_MACHINE_LEARNING_IN_MANUFACTURING

[31] Trott, P., Baxter, D., Ellwood, P., & van der Duin, P. (2022). The changing context of innovation management: A critique of the relevance of the stage-gate approach to current organizations. *Prometheus*, 38(2). https://doi.org/10.13169/prometheus.38.2.0207

[32] Wahab, N. H. A., Hasikin, K., Lai, K. W., Xia, K., Bei, L., Huang, K., & Wu, X. (2024). Systematic review of predictive maintenance and digital twin technologies: Challenges, opportunities, and best practices. *PeerJ Computer Science*, 10, e1943. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.1943