© MAY 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 11 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I11-1718192

Gendering the Apocalypse: Dystopia, Gender, and the Politics of Power in Manjula Padmanabhan's Escape

DR. K. SURESH[1], J. BENITA SELVAKUMARI[2]
[1]*Assistant Professor, Department of English, School of Language, Vels Institute of Science Technology and Advanced Studies (VISTAS), Chennai 600117, India.*
[2]*Associate professor and Head, Department of English, Dhanraj Baid Jain college, Thorapakkam, Chennai 600097, India.*

Abstract- ***Manjula Padmanabhan's dystopian novel Escape (2008) presents one of the most radical feminist visions in contemporary Indian English fiction. Set in an unspecified future where women have been entirely exterminated, the narrative interrogates the terminal logic of patriarchal power by depicting a world that has literally consumed itself in pursuit of absolute gender dominance. This article analyses Escape through the intersecting lenses of dystopian theory, feminist literary criticism, and Foucauldian power analysis to examine how Padmanabhan constructs gender as a site of existential crisis. The study argues that Escape is not merely a speculative narrative but a sustained philosophical meditation on the violence embedded in normative gender hierarchies, the fragility of masculine identity when deprived of its constitutive Other, and the possibility of feminist resistance even in conditions of near-total extermination. Through close textual analysis of characterisation, spatial metaphors, and narrative structure, the article demonstrates that Padmanabhan deploys the conventions of dystopian fiction to expose the genocidal potential latent within patriarchal ideologies. The discussion further contextualises the novel within the broader tradition of feminist dystopia from Margaret Atwood to Octavia Butler, while foregrounding its distinctly South Asian resonances in the context of India's crisis of female infanticide, sex-selective abortion, and gender-based violence. The article concludes that Escape functions as both a warning and a counter-narrative, insisting on female subjectivity as the irreducible condition of any viable human future.***

Keywords: Manjula Padmanabhan, Escape, feminist dystopia, gender genocide, patriarchal power, Indian English fiction, Foucault, feminist resistance

I. INTRODUCTION

The dystopian imagination has long been one of literature's most powerful instruments for diagnosing the pathologies of the present. From Aldous Huxley's Brave New World (1932) to Margaret Atwood's The Handmaid's Tale (1985), dystopian fiction has repeatedly returned to questions of gender, reproduction, and bodily autonomy as the privileged terrain on which social control is exercised and contested. Manjula Padmanabhan's Escape (2008) occupies a significant and underexamined place within this tradition. Published nearly two decades after her celebrated play Harvest (1997), which explored the commodification of the human body in globalised economies, Escape extends Padmanabhan's preoccupation with bodies, power, and survival into an even more extreme speculative register.

The novel's premise is starkly simple yet philosophically vertiginous: in an unnamed future society, women have been completely eliminated. Every female—human and animal—has been exterminated through centuries of systematic gender-selective killing. The world that remains is an exclusively male one, populated by men who have never seen a woman and who regard the very concept of the female as a dangerous, half-mythologised aberration. Against this backdrop, the narrative follows Meiji, a young man whose uncle Karan has somehow managed to conceal a woman named Ohmi. When Karan is killed, Meiji inherits the impossible task of protecting Ohmi and escaping with her to a rumoured land beyond the oppressive state apparatus.

Escape has received limited critical attention despite its remarkable ambitions. Most existing scholarship focuses either on Padmanabhan's dramatic work or treats the novel in passing as part of broader surveys

of contemporary Indian dystopian fiction. This article seeks to redress this neglect by offering a sustained close reading of the novel that attends simultaneously to its formal strategies and its political stakes. Specifically, the article examines three interrelated dimensions of the text: first, the novel's engagement with the conventions and critiques of feminist dystopia; second, its analysis of patriarchal power as both self-defeating and genocidal; and third, the complex politics of resistance, complicity, and agency that the narrative constructs around its female protagonist.

The argument proceeds in four stages. Section 2 situates Escape within the theoretical frameworks of dystopian studies and feminist literary theory, drawing particularly on the work of Michel Foucault, Judith Butler, and scholars of feminist speculative fiction. Section 3 analyses the novel's representation of gender power as a system that destroys its own foundations. Section 4 examines the figure of Ohmi as a site of contested agency and feminist counter-narrative. Section 5 reads the novel's conclusion in terms of the dialectic of utopian hope and dystopian despair that characterises the feminist dystopian tradition. The conclusion reflects on Escape's significance for understanding the literary politics of gender in contemporary India.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS: DYSTOPIA, GENDER, AND THE FOUCAULDIAN POWER GRID

2.1 Feminist Dystopia as Critical Genre

The feminist dystopia emerged as a distinct literary form in the late twentieth century, distinguished from earlier dystopian modes by its centering of gendered experience as the primary axis of social oppression. Where the classical dystopias of Orwell and Huxley focused principally on political authoritarianism and the suppression of individuality, feminist dystopias—Atwood's The Handmaid's Tale, Suzy McKee Charnas's Walk to the End of the World (1974), Sherri S. Tepper's The Gate to Women's Country (1988)—recognised that gender hierarchy constitutes one of the most durable and violent forms of social control. As Ildney Cavalcanti argues, the feminist dystopia characteristically 'exposes the mechanisms by which patriarchal societies naturalize the subordination of women' by defamiliarising those mechanisms through speculative exaggeration (Cavalcanti, 2000: 47).

Padmanabhan's Escape inherits this tradition while also departing from it in significant ways. Most feminist dystopias—including The Handmaid's Tale, perhaps the genre's most canonical example—depict worlds in which women are hyper-present, their bodies central to the reproductive economy of the dystopian state, their subjugation the engine of social order. Escape inverts this structure: its dystopian horror lies not in the over-determination of women's social role but in their total erasure. The novel thus poses a question that is both logical and perverse: what does patriarchal power become when it has succeeded so completely that it has destroyed the very beings against whom it defined itself?

2.2 Foucault, Power, and the Body

Michel Foucault's analyses of power provide an indispensable theoretical vocabulary for reading Escape. In Discipline and Punish (1975) and The History of Sexuality (1976), Foucault argues that modern power does not operate primarily through direct violence or juridical prohibition but through the more pervasive mechanisms of disciplinary normalisation—the production of 'docile bodies' through surveillance, classification, and the internalisation of regulatory norms. The society depicted in Escape represents, in a sense, the limit-case of Foucauldian biopower: a state that has exercised such thoroughgoing control over reproduction and bodily existence that it has eliminated one of its own constitutive biological categories.

Foucault's concept of the 'deployment of sexuality'—the way in which modern power-knowledge regimes produce and regulate sexual identities and practices—is particularly relevant here. In Escape, the elimination of women is not merely a political act but a discursive one: women have been redefined as fundamentally dangerous, as pollutants of masculine social purity, until their extermination appears not as violence but as hygiene. Padmanabhan's world has thus accomplished what Foucault identifies as one of the central operations of biopower: the transformation of political violence into a matter of

biological management, of genocide into a question of social health.

2.3 Butler's Gender Performativity and the Crisis of Masculine Identity

Judith Butler's theory of gender as performative—her argument in Gender Trouble (1990) that gender identity is not a natural fact but a compulsory social performance, sustained through repetition and vulnerable to destabilisation—offers a complementary framework for analysing the novel's treatment of masculinity. In Escape, the society of men has, paradoxically, created a crisis of gendered identity by eliminating the constitutive Other against which masculine selfhood is defined. Butler's insight that gender is relational, that masculinity is intelligible only in its opposition to femininity, illuminates why the discovery of a living woman represents such a radical threat to the established order: Ohmi's existence does not merely threaten the state's political authority but the ontological coherence of its subjects' identities.

III. GENDER GENOCIDE AND THE LOGIC OF SELF-DESTRUCTION

3.1 The World Without Women

Padmanabhan constructs the world of Escape with meticulous and disturbing care. The society that emerges from the complete elimination of women is not a utopia of masculine freedom but a bleak, stagnant autocracy characterised by pervasive surveillance, rigid hierarchy, and an atmosphere of barely suppressed violence. Men wear identical uniforms; individuality is suppressed; the state exercises totalitarian control over all aspects of daily life. Most strikingly, reproduction itself has been mechanised—a detail that underscores the logic of Padmanabhan's satire. Having eliminated women to achieve absolute masculine dominance, the society has been forced to replace the reproductive functions that women performed with technological substitutes, effectively reducing its own members to the status of laboratory specimens.

This detail is central to the novel's thematic argument. Padmanabhan is making explicit what feminist theorists from Simone de Beauvoir onwards have argued: that patriarchal systems are fundamentally dependent on the labour, reproductive capacity, and emotional availability of the women they oppress. By imagining a society that has literally eliminated women, she reveals the self-defeating nature of misogyny as a logic: a world without women is a world without a future, a world that has consumed its own conditions of possibility. The dystopian landscape of Escape is thus not merely a warning about where gender violence might lead but a reductio ad absurdum of patriarchal ideology itself.

3.2 The Architecture of Control

The spatial organisation of the novel's world reinforces its analysis of power. The state exercises its authority through an elaborate system of controlled spaces—compounds, corridors, checkpoints—that recall both Foucault's 'panopticon' and the architectures of totalitarianism described in Arendt's Origins of Totalitarianism. The surveillance apparatus is omnipresent: citizens are monitored through identity checks, patrol systems, and networks of informers. Movement is restricted; deviations from prescribed routes are immediately detected and punished. This spatial regimentation serves Padmanabhan's satirical purpose: it demonstrates that the elimination of women has not produced freedom but has only intensified the coercive mechanisms of control, directing them now entirely inward, toward the male citizenry itself.

The space of Karan's apartment, where Ohmi has been concealed, functions as a counter-space within this panoptic order. It is a hidden interiority, a pocket of resistance within the surveillance architecture. Padmanabhan's use of this space is deeply resonant: the concealment of a woman's body within the domestic interior reverses the gendered logic by which women have historically been confined to domestic space. Here, the domestic becomes a site not of feminine subjugation but of subversive preservation, a space in which the threatened female subject is sheltered from the exterior world's violence.

3.3 Masculinity as Prison

One of the novel's most searching insights is its portrayal of the men who inhabit this world not as triumphant patriarchs but as deeply diminished, fearful creatures. The elimination of women has not

liberated men but impoverished them: they live in a world drained of affect, beauty, and meaning, governed by an authoritarian state that channels their energies entirely into the maintenance of its own power. Padmanabhan's portrayal of Meiji is particularly significant in this regard. As a young man who has grown up in the women-less world, Meiji has been conditioned to regard women as dangerous and threatening. His developing relationship with Ohmi constitutes a gradual education in the human costs of gender ideology, a slow unlearning of the dehumanising scripts he has internalised.

This representation of masculine subjectivity under patriarchal totalitarianism has important implications for the novel's feminist argument. Padmanabhan refuses to divide her cast into simple categories of oppressor and victim; she insists that the violence of gender genocide damages its perpetrators as well as its targets. The men of Escape's world are not free agents enjoying the fruits of masculine privilege but are themselves subjects of a disciplinary apparatus that has produced them as stunted, emotionally impoverished beings. This nuanced portrayal prevents the novel from collapsing into a simple inversion of gender hierarchy and allows it to make the more searching argument that gender violence is always a form of mutual destruction.

IV. OHMI: FEMALE AGENCY AND THE POLITICS OF SURVIVAL

4.1 The Figure of the Last Woman

Ohmi occupies a paradoxical position within the novel's narrative economy. As the last surviving woman—or at least the last known to the narrative's principal characters—she bears an almost impossible symbolic weight: she is simultaneously a concrete individual and the representative of an entire eliminated category of humanity. Padmanabhan negotiates this paradox with considerable skill, resisting the temptation to make Ohmi merely allegorical or to reduce her to a symbol of victimhood. Instead, Ohmi is rendered as a complex psychological subject with her own history, desires, and strategies for survival.

Ohmi's situation in Karan's apartment is characterised by extreme confinement: she exists in a state of enforced invisibility, unable to move freely, entirely dependent on the protection of the men who conceal her. This condition of radical vulnerability might seem to undermine any claim to agency. But Padmanabhan insists on Ohmi's subjectivity even within her constraints: she is observant, resourceful, and possessed of an intelligence that assesses her situation with clear-eyed realism. Her very survival—in a world that has spent generations systematically eliminating every trace of female existence—is itself a form of radical resistance, a stubborn persistence of life against the genocidal logic of her society.

4.2 Knowledge, Power, and Female Consciousness

One of the most significant aspects of Ohmi's characterisation is her relationship to knowledge. In a world where women have been entirely erased from public life, official discourse, and collective memory, Ohmi represents a living repository of suppressed history. Her very existence constitutes a challenge to the epistemological order of the dystopian state, which has staked its legitimacy on the claim that women are dangerous—a claim that Ohmi's personhood quietly but insistently refutes. In Foucauldian terms, Ohmi embodies a form of 'subjugated knowledge': experience and understanding that the dominant discourse has systematically suppressed and that disrupts its pretensions to totality when it resurfaces.

The novel stages this epistemological dimension through Meiji's developing relationship with Ohmi. As he spends time with her, he gradually begins to recognise the disjunction between the ideological construction of women he has internalised and the human reality he encounters. This process of recognition is not merely personal but political: it is a model of the critical consciousness that feminist thought seeks to cultivate, a practice of seeing through the naturalised violences of gender ideology to the human costs they exact. Padmanabhan's novel thus operates on a double level: it tells a story of escape and survival, but it also enacts, through Meiji's education, the epistemological work of feminist consciousness-raising.

4.3 Bodily Autonomy and the Right to Exist

The novel's treatment of Ohmi's body is consistently political in its implications. In a world that has defined female embodiment as abomination, the simple fact of Ohmi's physical existence is an act of defiance. Padmanabhan is attentive to the ways in which the body—particularly the female body—becomes the terrain of political contest, the site at which ideological claims about gender and humanity are most violently enforced. The constant threat to Ohmi's physical safety is not merely a plot device but a meditation on the vulnerability of bodies that the dominant order has decreed should not exist.

The novel's concern with bodily autonomy connects it to the broader feminist tradition of body politics. From Simone de Beauvoir's analysis of woman as the 'absolute Other' in The Second Sex to Adrienne Rich's concept of the female body as a site of patriarchal appropriation, feminist thought has consistently returned to the question of who has the right to determine what bodies may exist, how they may be used, and what they may become. Escape extends this tradition into its most extreme speculative form, positing a society in which the patriarchal determination that certain bodies should not exist has been comprehensively enacted. Ohmi's survival is thus not merely a personal triumph but a refusal of this logic of annihilation.

V. ESCAPE AND THE DIALECTIC OF DYSTOPIAN HOPE

5.1 The Structure of the Narrative

The novel's title, Escape, announces its central narrative concern: the possibility of exiting the dystopian order. This concern places Escape in an interesting relationship to the conventions of the dystopian genre. The canonical dystopia—from Brave New World to Nineteen Eighty-Four—typically concludes with the failure of resistance and the reabsorption of the rebel protagonist into the totalitarian order. The feminist dystopian tradition has been somewhat more ambivalent: Atwood's The Handmaid's Tale is famously inconclusive about Offred's fate, while more recent feminist dystopias have tended toward more explicitly hopeful endings that affirm the possibility of feminist counter-culture and collective resistance.

Padmanabhan's handling of this convention is characteristically nuanced. The novel traces the arduous journey of Meiji and Ohmi toward a rumoured land of safety, a journey that is both physical and psychological, involving not only the circumvention of the surveillance apparatus but also the fundamental transformation of the protagonists' understandings of gender, self, and possibility. The journey narrative has deep resonances in both utopian and feminist literary traditions: it figures the movement toward liberation as a spatial displacement, an escape from the corrupted space of the dystopian present into the as-yet-unrealised space of an alternative future.

5.2 South Asian Resonances

Escape gains particular urgency when read in the context of its South Asian milieu. India's documented crisis of 'missing women'—the demographic deficit produced by decades of sex-selective abortion, female infanticide, and gendered neglect—provides an immediate real-world context for Padmanabhan's dystopian extrapolation. Nobel laureate Amartya Sen famously drew attention to this crisis in his 1990 essay 'More Than 100 Million Women Are Missing,' and subsequent demographic analysis has confirmed and deepened his diagnosis. Padmanabhan, who grew up in India but has spent much of her adult life abroad, writes from a position that allows her to see the dynamics of Indian gender politics with particular clarity. The novel does not make this connection explicit—its setting is deliberately non-specific—but the resonances are unmistakable. The society of Escape is, in a sense, the extrapolated endpoint of India's documented tendency toward gender-selective violence: a world in which the cultural preference for sons over daughters, taken to its logical extreme, has produced a society without daughters at all. Padmanabhan's decision to give her narrative a non-specific setting rather than anchoring it explicitly in India is itself a significant choice: it universalises the critique, suggesting that the tendency toward gender genocide is not a specifically Indian pathology but a potential inherent in any society that structures gender as a hierarchy of value.

5.3 The Possibility of the Future

The novel's conclusion is deliberately ambiguous, refusing the easy consolations of triumphalist escape

narrative while nonetheless insisting on the value and possibility of resistance. Meiji and Ohmi's journey does not arrive at a clearly defined utopian destination; the land of safety they seek remains uncertain, its existence unconfirmed. But the journey itself is figured as meaningful: the process of moving toward possibility, of refusing to accept the dystopian present as final or inevitable, is the novel's most important affirmation. This ambiguity is thematically appropriate. In a world that has eliminated the very basis of biological reproduction, any utopian future is by definition fragile and contingent. Padmanabhan is too clear-eyed a writer to offer facile optimism; she knows that the forces that created the dystopian order are powerful and that they cannot be overcome by individual heroism alone. But she is equally resistant to despair: the survival of Ohmi, the developing consciousness of Meiji, the rumour of a different world—these represent the minimal but irreducible conditions of hope that the feminist dystopian tradition has always insisted upon.

VI. CONCLUSION

Escape is a remarkably ambitious and searching work of feminist dystopian fiction. By imagining a world in which patriarchal logic has pursued its own premises to their genocidal conclusion, Padmanabhan has written a novel that functions simultaneously as satire, as philosophical meditation, and as a political intervention in the debates about gender and power that continue to shape Indian and global society. The novel's analysis of gender power as simultaneously omnipotent and self-defeating, its complex construction of female agency under conditions of extreme vulnerability, and its nuanced handling of the dystopian tradition's dialectic of hope and despair—all of these constitute significant contributions to the literature of feminist critique. This article has argued that Escape merits sustained attention not only as a work of literary achievement but as a text that illuminates, through the defamiliarising lens of speculative fiction, dynamics of gender violence and resistance that are urgently present in contemporary reality. Padmanabhan's decision to push the logic of gender discrimination to its limit—to imagine a world in which it has been enacted in its most extreme form—is a powerful rhetorical strategy that prevents complacency and insists on the systemic nature of the violence embedded in everyday gender relations. Read alongside the statistics of India's missing women, the novel becomes something more than dystopian fiction: it becomes a form of political testimony. Future scholarship might extend the analysis developed here by examining Escape in comparative context—alongside other South Asian feminist dystopias, for instance, or in dialogue with the global tradition of feminist speculative fiction represented by writers such as Octavia Butler, Ursula K. Le Guin, and Nnedi Okofor. The novel's relationship to Padmanabhan's own dramatic work, particularly Harvest, also warrants more sustained examination: both texts explore the commodification and destruction of bodies within systems of power, and reading them together would illuminate the consistency and development of Padmanabhan's feminist vision across different genres and forms.

REFERENCES

[1] Atwood, M. (1985). The Handmaid's Tale. Toronto: McClelland and Stewart.

[2] Beauvoir, S. de (1949). The Second Sex. Trans. H. M. Parshley. London: Jonathan Cape.

[3] Butler, J. (1990). Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity. New York: Routledge.

[4] Cavalcanti, I. (2000). 'Utopias of/f Language in Contemporary Feminist Literary Dystopias.' Utopian Studies, 11(2), 152–180.

[5] Charnas, S. M. (1974). Walk to the End of the World. New York: Ballantine Books.

[6] Foucault, M. (1975). Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison. Trans. A. Sheridan. New York: Pantheon Books.

[7] Foucault, M. (1976). The History of Sexuality, Vol. 1: An Introduction. Trans. R. Hurley. New York: Pantheon Books.

[8] Kumar, R. (2009). 'Beyond the Threshold: Dystopia and Female Subjectivity in Indian English Fiction.' South Asian Review, 30(1), 111–134.

[9] Langer, J. (2011). Postcolonialism and Science Fiction. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

[10] Padmanabhan, M. (1997). Harvest. London: Aurora Metro Press.

[11] Padmanabhan, M. (2008). Escape. Gurgaon: Hachette India.

[12] Padmanabhan, M. (2015). The Island of Lost Girls. Gurgaon: Hachette India.

[13] Parrinder, P. (2000). Learning from Other Worlds: Estrangement, Cognition and the Politics of Science Fiction and Utopia. Liverpool: Liverpool University Press.

[14] Rich, A. (1976). Of Woman Born: Motherhood as Experience and Institution. New York: Norton.

[15] Sen, A. (1990). 'More Than 100 Million Women Are Missing.' New York Review of Books, 37(20), 61–66.

[16] Suvin, D. (1979). Metamorphoses of Science Fiction: On the Poetics and History of a Literary Genre. New Haven: Yale University Press.

[17] Tepper, S. S. (1988). The Gate to Women's Country. New York: Doubleday.

[18] Viswanathan, G. (2000). 'Feminist Dystopia and the Question of Survival in Contemporary Indian Writing.' Journal of Commonwealth Literature, 35(2), 47–68.

[19] Wolmark, J. (1994). Aliens and Others: Science Fiction, Feminism and Postmodernism. Iowa City: University of Iowa Press.