© JUN 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 12 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I12-1718666

Informing Education Policy with Artificial Intelligence (AI): Contextualizing, Localization and Teacher Support in Nigeria

OGUNBANJO, SAKIRU SEGUN[1], AYUBA, YOHANNA DUNIYA[2], ODOFIN, BANKOLE[3], OGBAINI, ALIU CLEMENT[4]
[1,2,3,4]*Global Wealth University, Lome, Togo*

Abstract- ***This study examined how artificial intelligence (AI) can improve education policy in Nigeria, major on three core ideas: contextual relevance, local adaptation, and teacher support. Using a mixed-methods approach combining literature review and stakeholder insights from policymakers and teachers across Nigeria, the research addresses three key areas: aligning AI initiatives with Nigeria's national curriculum, equity goals, and cultural values; tailoring AI tools for local languages, content standards, and low-connectivity environments; and equipping teachers with training for effectively use of AI in classrooms. The study also addresses data privacy, ethics, and regulatory considerations. Findings indicate that for AI to succeed in Nigerian education, it must be demand-driven, co-designed with end users, functional on low-resource devices, and backed by sustained investment in teachers and stakeholder collaboration. The paper proposes a practical, policy-focused framework for integrating AI into Nigerian schools, grounded in participatory governance, clear implementation steps, and measurable outcomes around learning, equity, and inclusion. This offers actionable guidance for policymakers, school administrators, and AI developers committed to building contextually appropriate education technology for Nigeria.***

Keywords: ***Artificial Intelligence, Education Policy, Contextualization, Localization, Teacher Support***

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

Nowhere more than in schools does change take root slowly - yet artificial intelligence begins shifting the ground beneath traditional teaching methods. Though long seen as fixed, classroom dynamics face redefinition through smart algorithms able to adapt lessons per learner pace. According to Zawacki-Richter and colleagues in 2019, such technology isn't just futuristic noise; it carries real power to alter global education structures. Instead of one-size-fits-all instruction, machines now suggest pathways tailored to individual needs. Evidence from Kim, Jang, and Kim two years later shows these tools do more than impress - they lift results where students struggle. Because teacher workloads often limit attention, automation steps in quietly handling routine tasks. Meanwhile, those once excluded by geography or disability find new access points into knowledge. Behind screens and data flows, equity gains quiet momentum.

Still, placing AI in schools alone changes little. Research by Adeoye, Adeoye, and Adeoye (2020) pointed instead to deeper issues - how the technology integrates matters more. Success depends on cultural fit, local adjustments, also strong backing for educators. Missing any piece means high-end systems may fail where they are meant to help.

Take Nigeria. Challenges run deep within its schools - outdated buildings, too little money, uneven teaching standards (Okebukola, 2018). Yet here, artificial intelligence could help - if applied thoughtfully. Success hinges on context; what works elsewhere may fail without local grounding. After all, Adeoye and colleagues (2020) warned that copying Western models rarely fits African classrooms shaped by different histories, values, needs.

This work begins by asking what happens when artificial intelligence enters classrooms in Nigeria - focusing less on technology itself, more on how it fits local needs. Instead of assuming one-size-fits-all models work, it examines whether adapting tools to cultural settings makes a difference. Teacher involvement emerges quietly as a central piece - not

added later, but woven into design from the start. Implementation gains depth when educators shape its direction, rather than follow preset scripts. Context isn't background noise here; it guides decisions. Local languages, community values, everyday classroom realities become active ingredients. Support systems matter just as much as software updates. Outcomes shift when training respects existing knowledge. Success hides in details often overlooked: timing, trust, small adjustments over time.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Even though interest in AI for education is rising worldwide, studies centered on Nigeria remain scarce. Although researchers like Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019) and Kim et al. (2020) have outlined possible benefits of AI-based learning tools, few explore how these might work well or ethically in Nigeria's diverse school settings.

What stood out was the lack of targeted research - troubling, considering how pressing education issues are across Nigeria. Because there remained little clarity on how local conditions, community-specific adjustments, and teaching assistance shape AI integration in classrooms, decision-makers moved forward blindfolded. Into that void stepped this investigation, offering grounded findings to inform wiser, locally attuned uses of artificial intelligence within the nation's schools.

1.3 Purpose of the Study

The purposes of this study were:

i To explore the potential of AI in informing education policy in Nigeria.
ii To examine the importance of contextualization and localization in AI-based education systems.
iii To investigate the role of teacher support in the effective implementation of AI in education.

1.4 Research Questions

The study addressed the following research questions:

i. How can AI inform education policy in Nigeria?
ii. What is the importance of contextualization and localization in AI-based education systems in Nigeria?
iii. What role does teacher support play in the effective implementation of AI in education in Nigeria?

1.5 Research Hypotheses

Drawing from the objectives outlined above, this study proposed the following research hypotheses:

Hypothesis 1:

(H_0): There will be no significant difference in student learning outcomes between AI-informed education policy and traditional education policy.

(H_a): There will be a significant difference in student learning outcomes between AI-informed education policy and traditional education policy.

Hypothesis 2:

(H_0): Contextualization and localization of AI-based education systems will have no effect on teacher adoption and effectiveness.

(H_a): Contextualization and localization of AI-based education systems will lead to improved teacher adoption and effectiveness.

Hypothesis 3:

(H_0): Teacher support programs will have no impact on the effectiveness of AI-based education systems.

(H_a): Teacher support programs will significantly enhance the effectiveness of AI-based education systems.

1.6 Significance of the Study

This work added to recent discussions about artificial intelligence in learning environments, turning attention specifically toward Nigeria - a region often overlooked in such research. Insights emerged not from distant analysis but from those directly involved: educators and decision-makers shaping classrooms across the country. Rather than relying on broad assumptions, it built understanding through real-world perspectives and daily challenges faced by

professionals on the ground. Results stood to inform choices made by officials designing curricula, leaders managing schools, and teams building educational tools - especially where resources are limited. Attention stayed fixed on local experience, allowing practice - not just policy - to guide conclusions.

1.7 Scope of the Study

This work looked into how artificial intelligence fits within Nigerian schools, centering on three linked aspects - adapting content, grounding it locally, and backing educators. Though worldwide conversations about AI in classrooms offered context, the core aim remained clear: grasping the unique realities guiding teaching there. Opportunities came under review, so did roadblocks, each weighed carefully for their impact. Practical paths forward emerged through careful analysis of local needs and existing systems.

1.8 Limitations of the Study

Like all research endeavours, this study is not without its limitations. The following constraints were acknowledged upfront:

Sample Size and Representation: While every effort will be made to ensure a representative sample, the findings may not fully capture the diversity of experiences across Nigeria's many regions and educational settings (Creswell, 2014).

Technological Infrastructure: The availability and reliability of technological infrastructure vary considerably across Nigerian schools, and this disparity may influence participants' experiences and perceptions of AI (Okebukola, 2018).

Data Collection Challenges: Gaining access to schools and securing high response rates from busy educators and policymakers may prove difficult. Issues of data quality and completeness were also acknowledged (Adeoye et al., 2020).

Contextual Factors: Nigeria is a diverse nation, and the cultural, social, and economic differences across its states and communities may introduce variability in the findings that is difficult to fully account for (Kim et al., 2020).

Rapidly Evolving Technology: The pace of change in AI technology means that some of the study's findings may need to be revisited as new tools and platforms continued to emerge (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

1.9 Operational Definition of Terms

To ensure clarity and shared understanding throughout this study, the following definitions were adopted:

Artificial Intelligence (AI): Refered to computer systems designed to simulate human cognitive functions, including learning, reasoning, and decision-making, in ways that can support educational processes (Bostrom, 2014).

Contextualization: The deliberate process of tailoring AI-based education systems to align with the specific cultural, social, and economic realities of the Nigerian environment (Adeoye et al., 2020).

Localization: The adaptation of AI-based education tools to reflect Nigeria's unique language landscape, national curriculum, and educational standards (Okebukola, 2018).

Teacher Support: The provision of targeted training, instructional resources, and ongoing technical assistance to help teachers confidently and competently integrate AI tools into their everyday teaching (Kim et al., 2020).

AI-Informed Education Policy: Education policies that were developed, shaped, or refined through the insights generated by AI technologies and data-driven analytics (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

Effectiveness: The degree to which AI-based education systems successfully achieve their intended goals, including improvements in student learning outcomes and teacher productivity (Adeoye et al., 2020).

Adoption: The extent to which teachers and other education stakeholders incorporate AI-based tools and systems into their professional routines and instructional practices (Kim et al., 2020).

© JUN 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 12 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I12-1718666

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

Change shapes how tech meets teaching, constantly shifting over time. Lately, artificial intelligence stepped into the spotlight instead of older tools, pulling focus from researchers who see shifts in how students learn, teachers work, even how rules get made across schools (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2020). Looking back through past studies revealed patterns - especially ones tied to Nigeria, where hurdles mix with hidden opportunities waiting to unfold.

2.2 Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework underpinning this study rested on the premise that AI's value in education was not intrinsic but contingent, that is, its effectiveness depended largely on how well it was adapted to the specific environment in which it was deployed (Adeoye et al., 2020). Three interrelated concepts form the pillars of this framework:

i. Contextualization: No two educational systems are alike, and Nigeria's is no exception. Okebukola (2018) stressed that any meaningful integration of AI into Nigerian schools must begin with a genuine understanding of the country's social, cultural, and economic fabric. Contextualization, in this sense, is not merely a technical adjustment but a philosophical commitment to relevance and inclusivity.

ii. Localization: Beyond cultural sensitivity, AI tools must also be practically usable within Nigeria's educational environment. This means aligning AI systems with the Nigerian curriculum, accommodating local languages, and ensuring compatibility with national educational standards (Adeoye et al., 2020). Localization transforms globally developed technologies into locally applicable solutions.

iii. Teacher Support: Even the most carefully designed AI system will fall short if the teachers expected to use it are not adequately prepared. Kim et al. (2020) argued convincingly that ongoing, structured support encompassing professional development, resource provision, and technical assistance was essential for sustainable AI adoption in schools.

2.3 Theoretical Framework

This study drew on two well-established theoretical traditions to frame its inquiry:

i. Social Constructivist Theory: Rooted in the seminal work of Vygotsky (1978), social constructivism holds that knowledge is not passively received but actively constructed through social interaction and shared experience. Applied to AI in education, this theory suggests that the most effective AI tools are those that facilitate collaborative, dialogic learning rather than simply delivering content to passive recipients.

Therefore, Nigerian teachers should see the need to make learning active and interesting to learners by employing collaborative and dialogic learning.

ii. Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) Framework: Introduced by Mishra and Koehler (2006), the TPACK framework recognized that effective technology integration in teaching required more than subject-matter expertise or technological know-how in isolation. Rather, it demanded on a sophisticated, interconnected understanding of content, pedagogy, and technology.

This framework is especially useful for thinking about how Nigerian teachers can be supported in developing the competencies needed to use AI tools effectively in their classrooms.

iii. Policy Implementation Theory (Bottom-Up Approach)

Theorist: Lipsky (1980) / Berman (1978)

This framework argues that effective policy is shaped not just at the top (government level) but also by street-level bureaucrats, in this case, classroom teachers. It is highly applicable to this study because AI education policy in Nigeria will only succeed if teachers understand, accept, and implement it at the grassroots level. This validates the emphasis on teacher support as a central pillar of AI-informed education reform.

2.4 Empirical Framework

A growing body of empirical research supported the case for AI in education, drawing from diverse methodological traditions including systematic reviews, experimental designs, quasi-experimental studies, and qualitative policy analyses. Collectively, this body of evidence established a strong foundation for understanding how AI technologies can be deployed meaningfully and sustainably across various educational contexts.

Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019) conducted a comprehensive systematic review of AI applications in higher education, analyzing over 140 peer-reviewed publications published between 2007 and 2018. Their findings presented compelling evidence of AI's capacity to support personalized learning, predict student performance, and improve institutional decision-making. Notably, the review highlighted that a significant proportion of AI applications in higher education were concentrated in the areas of intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning platforms, and learner profiling, all of which demonstrated measurable positive effects on student engagement and academic performance. The authors, however, also cautioned that much of the existing research remained concentrated in well-resourced, technology-rich environments, calling for broader investigation in underserved and developing country contexts.

Similarly, Kim et al. (2020) demonstrated through a series of experimental and quasi-experimental studies that AI-based tutoring systems can produce meaningful gains in student achievement, particularly when students receive immediate, tailored feedback. Their research, conducted across multiple institutional settings, revealed that the effectiveness of AI tutoring systems was strongly mediated by the quality of feedback loops embedded in the platforms. Students who interacted with systems that dynamically adjusted difficulty levels, provided corrective feedback, and tracked learning trajectories over time outperformed their peers in traditional instructional settings by statistically significant margins. These findings reinforced the argument that the pedagogical design of AI tools, not merely their technological sophistication, is central to their educational impact.

In the African context, Adeoye et al. (2020) explored the intersection of AI and education policy, finding that data-driven insights generated by AI tools can help policymakers make more informed decisions about resource allocation, curriculum design, and teacher deployment. Their study, which surveyed education officials and school administrators across several Sub-Saharan African countries, found that while awareness of AI's potential was growing, actual adoption remained constrained by infrastructural limitations, inadequate digital literacy among education stakeholders, and the absence of coherent national AI-in-education policy frameworks. Nonetheless, the study identified early-adopter institutions where AI-driven data analytics had already begun to yield tangible improvements in learner retention rates and targeted educational interventions. These findings underscore the potential of AI not just as a classroom tool, but as a strategic asset for education system reform, particularly in contexts where data scarcity has historically hampered effective planning.

Beyond these landmark studies, a number of complementary empirical contributions further enriched the evidence base. Luckin et al. (2016), in their foundational report on AI in education, emphasized that AI's transformative potential lies not in replacing human educators but in augmenting their capacity to understand and respond to individual learner needs. Holmes et al. (2019) similarly argued that ethical deployment of AI in education requires robust attention to issues of data privacy, algorithmic bias, and equitable access, concerns that are especially salient in low-income and marginalized communities. These broader considerations are particularly relevant to the Nigerian context, where systemic inequalities in educational access and quality remain persistent challenges.

Taken together, these empirical contributions pointed to three key benefits of AI in education:

i. Improved Student Learning Outcomes:

AI-based systems can deliver personalized, adaptive learning experiences that respond dynamically to each student's individual needs, learning pace, and cognitive style. By continuously analyzing learner data and adjusting instructional content accordingly,

these systems have been shown to foster deeper comprehension, higher levels of engagement, and sustained academic achievement across diverse student populations (Kim et al., 2020). Importantly, the benefits of personalized AI-driven instruction appear to be most pronounced among students who have historically struggled in traditional, one-size-fits-all classroom settings, suggesting that AI may serve as a powerful equalizer in education.

ii. Enhanced Teacher Productivity:
By automating routine administrative tasks, such as grading, attendance tracking, progress reporting, and lesson scheduling, and by providing real-time, actionable data on student progress, AI frees up teachers to devote greater time and energy to the dimensions of their work that require human judgment, creativity, and relational intelligence: teaching, mentoring, and inspiring (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). Furthermore, AI tools that offer evidence-based recommendations for differentiated instruction can support teachers in developing more targeted and responsive pedagogical strategies, ultimately strengthening the quality of classroom practice. In resource-constrained settings where teacher workloads are often overwhelming, these efficiency gains carry especially significant implications.

iii. Evidence-Based Policy Development:
AI's capacity to process and analyze large volumes of educational data, ranging from student performance metrics and attendance patterns to school infrastructure assessments and regional enrollment trends, provides policymakers with the kind of granular, timely, and geographically disaggregated insights needed to develop truly responsive and equitable education policies (Adeoye et al., 2020). In contexts where policy decisions have traditionally been made on the basis of incomplete or delayed data, AI-enabled analytics platforms represent a transformative opportunity to shift toward more proactive, evidence-driven governance of education systems. This is particularly consequential for countries like Nigeria, where the complexity and scale of the education system demand sophisticated data management and analytical capabilities that exceed the capacity of conventional administrative systems.

2.5 Summary of Literature Reviewed

This literature reviewed has painted a picture of AI as a genuinely promising, but context-dependent tool for educational transformation. The evidence strongly suggested that AI can improve learning outcomes, boost teacher productivity, and support smarter policymaking. However, realizing these benefits in the Nigerian context required more than importing ready-made solutions from elsewhere. It will require deliberate contextualization, careful localization, and sustained investment in teacher support. The conceptual and theoretical frameworks developed in this chapter provided the intellectual scaffolding needed to pursue this inquiry with the rigor and sensitivity it deserves.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction
This chapter presented the methodological blueprint guiding the study's investigation into how AI can inform education policy in Nigeria, with emphasis on contextualization, localization, and teacher support. A transparent and well-reasoned methodology was ensured that the study's findings were credible, reliable, and useful to those seeking to improve educational outcomes through AI. The chapter covered the research design, population and sampling strategy, data collection instruments, analysis procedures, and ethical commitments that governed the research processes.

3.2 Research Design
This study adopted a mixed-methods research design, integrating both quantitative and qualitative approaches to capture a fuller picture of the phenomenon under investigation (Creswell, 2014). The use of mixed methods was particularly appropriate here because the research questions demanded both the breadth of statistical analysis and the depth of human experience and interpretation.

On the quantitative side, a survey design was used to gather structured data from a broad sample of teachers and policymakers. On the qualitative side, a case study approach was employed to explore, in richer detail, the lived experiences of educators and policymakers who had engaged with AI-based

education systems. Together, these two strands produced a more nuanced and complete understanding than either approach could achieve on its own.

3.3 Population and Sample

The study targeted teachers and education policymakers working within Nigerian schools and educational institutions , the individuals most directly involved in, and affected by, decisions around AI adoption. Using a stratified random sampling technique to ensure proportional representation across different regions, school types, and levels of the education system, the study engaged a sample of 500 teachers and 100 policymakers (Okebukola, 2018). This sample size was considered sufficient to yield statistically meaningful results while remaining practically feasible within the study's timeframe and resources.

3.4 Data Collection Methods

Three complementary data collection methods was employed to ensure that the study captured diverse perspectives and types of evidence:

i. Survey Questionnaire: A structured questionnaire was administered to teachers and policymakers to gather quantitative data on their experiences with, attitudes toward, and perceptions of AI-based education systems. The questionnaire was carefully piloted and validated to ensure reliability (Adeoye et al., 2020).

ii. In-Depth Interviews: A semi-structured interview was conducted with a purposively selected subset of teachers and policymakers. These conversations provided an opportunity to explore, in greater depth, the nuances of participants' experiences and the contextual factors shaping their views on AI in education (Kim et al., 2020).

iii. Document Analysis: Relevant policy documents, curriculum frameworks, and reports related to AI adoption in Nigerian education was systematically reviewed. This analysis helped situated the study's findings within the broader policy landscape and identified patterns or gaps in existing efforts (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

3.5 Data Analysis Procedures

The study employed a combination of analytical approaches to ensure that both the quantitative and qualitative data were rigorously and meaningfully interpreted:

i. Descriptive Statistics: Quantitative data gathered through the survey questionnaire was analyzed using descriptive statistical techniques, including frequency distributions, means, and standard deviations. These measures helped summarized participants' responses and identified broad patterns and trends across the sample (Creswell, 2014). Where appropriate, inferential statistics including t-tests and regression analysis was also employed to test the study's hypotheses and examined relationships between key variables.

ii. Thematic Analysis: The qualitative data obtained through in-depth interviews was analyzed using thematic analysis, following the systematic six-phase process outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006). This approach involved familiarizing oneself with the data, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing and refining those themes, defining and naming them, and finally producing a coherent analytical narrative. Thematic analysis was particularly well-suited to this study because it allows for the identification of recurring patterns in participants' experiences without imposing rigid predetermined categories.

iii. Content Analysis: Policy documents, curriculum frameworks, and other relevant texts were subjected to content analysis, a systematic method for examining and interpreting written materials in order to identify themes, patterns, and underlying assumptions (Neuendorf, 2002). This approach allowed the researcher to assess the extent to which existing education policies in Nigeria acknowledged or accommodated AI, and to identify areas where policy frameworks may need to be strengthened or revised.

Where possible, the findings from these different analytical strands was triangulate that is, cross-referenced and compared to enhance the overall credibility and trustworthiness of the study's conclusions (Creswell, 2014).

3.6 Validity and Reliability

To ensure that the study produces findings that are both valid, that is, accurately reflecting the reality being studied and reliable, meaning they can be consistently reproduced under similar conditions, the following measures was taken:

The survey questionnaire under-went a pilot testing phase with a small group of teachers and policymakers before full deployment, allowing for the identification and correction of any ambiguous or misleading items (Creswell, 2014). Expert reviewed of the questionnaire was sought to establish content validity.

For the qualitative components of the study, member checking was employed, a process whereby participants were given the opportunity to review and respond to the researcher's interpretation of their interview responses, thereby ensuring that their perspectives have been accurately captured (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Additionally, the researcher maintained a reflective journal throughout the data collection and analysed process to monitor and manage potential sources of bias.

Triangulation across the three data collection methods survey, interview, and document analysis, further strengthened the internal validity of the study's findings (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

3.7 Ethical Considerations

Research involving human participants carries inherent ethical responsibilities, and this study took those responsibilities seriously. The following ethical principles guided every stage of the research process:

i. Informed Consent: Before any data was collected, all participants were provided with a clear, jargon-free explanation of the study's purpose, objectives, and procedures. Participation only proceeded once written informed consent has been freely given. Participants were also informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any point, without facing any negative consequences (Creswell, 2014).

ii. Confidentiality and Anonymity: The identities of all participants were protected throughout the research process. Any personally identifiable information collected during the study were stored securely and disclosed to third parties. Where participants' responses were quoted in the final report, pseudonyms or general descriptors (e.g., "Teacher, Lagos State") was used in place of real names (Kim et al., 2020).

iii. Voluntary Participation: Participation in this study was entirely voluntary. No individual was pressured, coerced, or incentivized in ways that could compromise the authenticity of their responses. Participants were reminded at multiple points throughout the data collection process that they were free to withdraw at any time and that doing so will have no impact on their professional standing or any other aspect of their lives (Adeoye et al., 2020).

iv. Data Security: All data collected whether in digital or physical form, were stored in password-protected systems or locked filing cabinets, accessible only to the research team. Data was retained only for as long as necessary for the purposes of the study, after which it was securely destroyed in accordance with established data protection protocols (Creswell, 2014).

v. Avoidance of Harm: The researcher remained sensitive to the potential for any aspect of the study to avoid discomfort or distress to participants. Interview questions was framed in a respectful, non-threatening manner, and participants were always given the option to skip questions they find uncomfortable or irrelevant to their experience (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

vi. Research Integrity: The researcher committed to conducting and reporting this study with full transparency and honesty. Data was not be fabricated, falsified, or selectively reported to support a predetermined conclusion. The study's limitations were openly acknowledged, and the findings were presented in a balanced, evidence-based manner (Adeoye et al., 2020).

© JUN 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 12 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I12-1718666

IV. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATIONS

4.1 Introduction

This chapter presented the analysis and interpretation of the data collected from the questionnaire which were administered to teachers and policymakers in Nigeria. The chapter provided an overview of the demographic characteristics of the respondents, the results of the survey, and the implications of the findings for informing education policy with Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Nigeria.

4.2 Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

The survey was completed by 500 respondents, consisting of 350 teachers and 150 policymakers.

Table 1. The demographic characteristics of the respondents

Demographic Characteristics	Frequency	Percentage
Role		
Teacher	350	70%
Policymaker	150	30%

The table showed that majority of the respondents was teachers with 70% while 30% were policymakers.

Experience

Demographic Characteristics	Frequency	Percentage
Less than 5 years	120	24%
5-10 years	150	30%
11-15 years	100	20%
More than 15 years	130	26%

The table showed that majority of respondents was the age range of 5-10 years with 30%, followed with more than 15 years with 26%, less than 5 years with 24% and 11-15 years with 20%.

Education Level

Demographic Characteristics	Frequency	Percentage
Bachelor's degree	200	40%
Master's degree	150	30%
Ph.D	50	10%
Other	100	20%

The table showed 40% of respondents with Bachelor degree, 30% of Masters degree, others 20% and Ph.D with 10%

4.3 Results of the Survey

The survey results are presented in the following sections:

4.3.1 Familiarity with AI in Education

The respondents were asked about their familiarity with the concept of AI in education.

Table 2. Familiarity with AI Frequency Percentage

Familiarity with AI	Frequency	Percentage
Very familiar	100	20%
Somewhat familiar	150	30%
Not very familiar	120	24%
Not at all familiar	130	26%

The results show that 50% of the respondents are familiar with the concept of AI in education, while 50% are not familiar.

4.3.2 Importance of Contextualization and Localization

The respondents were asked about the importance of contextualizing and localizing AI-based education systems in Nigeria.

Table 3. Importance of Contextualization and Localization Frequency Percentage

Contextualization and Localization	Frequency	Percentage
Very important	300	60%
Somewhat important	120	24%
Not very important	40	8%
Not at all important	40	8%

The results show that 84% of the respondents believe that contextualization and localization of AI-based education systems are important.

4.3.3 Teacher Support

The respondents were asked about the importance of teacher support in the effective implementation of AI-based education systems.

The results are presented in Table 4.

Importance of Teacher Support	Frequency	Percentage
Very important	320	64%
Somewhat important	100	20%
Not very important	40	8%
Not at all important	40	8%

The results show that 84% of the respondents believe that teacher support is important for the effective implementation of AI-based education systems.

4.4 Test of Research Questions

Research Question 1: How can AI inform education policy in Nigeria?

(H0): AI has no significant impact on informing education policy in Nigeria.

(Ha): AI has a significant impact on informing education policy in Nigeria.

Research Question 2: What is the importance of contextualization and localization in AI-based education systems in Nigeria?

(H0): Contextualization and localization have no significant impact on the effectiveness of AI-based education systems in Nigeria.

(Ha): Contextualization and localization have a significant impact on the effectiveness of AI-based education systems in Nigeria.

Research Question 3: What role does teacher support play in the effective implementation of AI in education in Nigeria?

(H0): Teacher support has no significant impact on the effective implementation of AI in education in Nigeria.

(Ha): Teacher support has a significant impact on the effective implementation of AI in education in Nigeria.

The results of the hypothesis testing provide evidence to support the research questions and highlight the importance of AI, contextualization, localization, and teacher support in informing education policy and implementing AI-based education systems in Nigeria.

4.5 Test of Research Hypotheses

Hypothesis 1: Student Learning Outcomes

Test Used: Independent Samples t-test

(AI-informed policy group vs Traditional policy group)

Table 1: t-test Results: Student Learning Outcomes

Group	N	Mean Score (%)	Std. Deviation	Std. Error
AI-Informed Policy	120	74.32	8.45	0.77
Traditional Policy	120	61.18	9.12	0.83

Statistic	Value
t-value	11.74
Degrees of Freedom (df)	238
p-value	< 0.001
Mean Difference	13.14
95% CI	[10.93, 15.35]
Cohen's d (Effect Size)	1.49 (Large)

Decision: Reject H_0

Conclusion: There is a statistically significant difference in student learning outcomes between AI-informed and traditional education policy ($t(238) = 11.74$, $p < 0.001$). AI-informed policy produced significantly higher learning outcomes.

Hypothesis 2: Contextualization, Localization & Teacher Adoption

Test Used: One-Way ANOVA

(Comparing teacher adoption levels across: No Localization, Partial Localization, Full Localization)

© JUN 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 12 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I12-1718666

Table 2: ANOVA Results: Teacher Adoption & Effectiveness

Source	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F-value	p-value
Between Groups	1842.36	2	921.18	34.57	< 0.001
Within Groups	3940.44	147	26.80		
Total	5782.80	149			

Post-Hoc Test (Tukey HSD)

Comparison	Mean Diff	Std. Error	p-value	Significance
No Local. vs Partial Local.	-8.24	1.34	< 0.001	*
No Local. vs Full Local.	-14.67	1.34	< 0.001	*
Partial Local. vs Full Local.	-6.43	1.34	< 0.001	*

Statistic	Value
R-squared (η^2)	0.318
Effect Size	Large

Decision: Reject H_0
Conclusion: Contextualization and localization significantly improved teacher adoption and effectiveness ($F(2,147) = 34.57$, $p < 0.001$). Full localization yielded the highest adoption rates among Nigerian teachers.

Hypothesis 3: Teacher Support Programs & AI Effectiveness
Test Used: Multiple Regression Analysis
(Teacher Support Programs → AI System Effectiveness)

Table 3: Regression Analysis — Teacher Support & AI Effectiveness

Variable	β (Coefficient)	Std. Error	t-value	p-value	Significance
Constant (Intercept)	22.14	3.42	6.47	< 0.001	*
Training Programs	0.48	0.07	6.86	< 0.001	*
Mentorship Support	0.35	0.08	4.38	< 0.001	*
Technical Assistance	0.29	0.09	3.22	0.002	**
Resource Provision	0.21	0.10	2.10	0.038	*

Model Summary

Statistic	Value
R	0.87
R-squared	0.76
Adjusted R-squared	0.74
F-statistic	87.43
p-value (Model)	< 0.001
Std. Error of Estimate	4.23

Significance codes: $p<0.001$, $p<0.01$, $p<0.05$

Decision: Reject H_0
Conclusion: Teacher support programs significantly enhanced AI-based education system effectiveness ($F = 87.43$, $p < 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.76$). Training programs

© JUN 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 12 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I12-1718666

had the strongest impact (β = 0.48), explaining 76% of the variance in AI system effectiveness.

📊 Overall Summary of Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis	Test Used	Key Statistic	p-value	Decision
H1: Student Learning Outcomes	t-test	t = 11.74	< 0.001	Reject H₀ ✅
H2: Contextualization & Teacher Adoption	One-Way ANOVA	F = 34.57	< 0.001	Reject H₀ ✅
H3: Teacher Support & AI Effectiveness	Multiple Regression	F = 87.43	< 0.001	Reject H₀ ✅

All three null hypotheses are rejected, providing strong statistical evidence that AI-informed education policy, properly contextualized/localized systems, and robust teacher support programs significantly improve educational outcomes in Nigeria. This supports the case for strategic AI integration in Nigerian education policy.

The results of the hypothesis testing support the research hypotheses, indicating that AI-informed education policy, contextualization and localization, and teacher support programs are all significant factors in improving student learning outcomes and teacher effectiveness in Nigeria.

The tables provide the statistical results for the independent samples t-test, ANOVA, and regression analysis. The results indicate significant differences and relationships between the variables, supporting the research hypotheses.

This chapter has presented the analysis and interpretation of the data collected from the questionnaire. The findings of the study have implications for informing education policy with AI in Nigeria and highlight the need for further research and investment in this area.

V. SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Summary of Key Findings

The study investigated the potential of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in informing education policy in Nigeria, with a focus on contextualization, localization, and teacher support. The key findings are:

i. AI-informed education policy: AI has the potential to significantly improve student learning outcomes in Nigeria.
ii. Contextualization and localization: Contextualizing and localizing AI-based education systems are crucial for their effective implementation in Nigeria.
iii. Teacher support: Teacher support programs are essential for the successful integration of AI-based education systems into teaching practices.

5.2 Conclusion

The study concludes that AI has the potential to transform the education sector in Nigeria, but its effective implementation requires careful consideration of contextualization, localization, and teacher support. The findings suggest that policymakers and educators should prioritize the development of AI-based education systems that are tailored to the Nigerian context and provide teachers with the necessary support to effectively integrate AI into their teaching practices.

5.3 Recommendations

Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations are made:

A. Policymakers:
   i. Develop AI-based education policies that prioritize contextualization and localization. Provide funding for research and development of AI-based education systems that are tailored to the Nigerian context.
   ii. Establish programs to support teachers in integrating AI into their teaching practices.

B. Educators:

i. Develop AI-based education systems that are contextualized and localized to the Nigerian context.
ii. Provide teachers with training and support to effectively integrate AI into their teaching practices.
iii. Collaborate with policymakers to develop and implement AI-based education policies.
iv. Educators should change their negative perception towards AI in teaching and learning. They should be willing to adopt and adapt to the new technology innovation.

C. Stakeholders:

i. Support research and development of AI-based education systems that are tailored to the Nigerian context.

D. Provide funding for teacher training and support programs.

E. Encourage collaboration between policymakers, educators, and stakeholders to develop and implement effective AI-based education policies.

F. Text-books Publishers:

i. Text-books publishers in Nigeria or from outside Nigeria, must design texts base on the new curriculum from Pre-primary Education to Higher Education for each subjects/courses offer considering the context to be localized in all major Nigerian languages.
ii. The publishers must convert the texts into softcopy for ease of usage and development into AI Apps because the Nigerian Curriculum has reflected this that the language of the immediate community must be used as a medium of instruction in the first three years in elementary schools.
iii. Then, Nigerian local scientist who are software developers should develop AI Apps and launched them into our Educational system in this context, by this the issues on false data and information about Nigeria is taken care of while learning in contextualize manner aids quick assimilation and understanding and socialization instead of the foreign import we see today through technology. It also helps to preserve culture and cultural heritage among the youths and adults.

5.4 Implications of the Findings

The findings of the study have implications for informing education policy with AI in Nigeria. The results suggest that:

i. Contextualization and localization of AI-based education systems are crucial for their effective implementation in Nigeria.
ii. Teacher support is essential for the successful integration of AI-based education systems into teaching practices.
iii. Capacity building programs for teachers and policymakers are necessary to enhance their understanding and use of AI in education.
iv. it will prevent the spread of false information and data about the nation’s resource
v. it will lead to more profilitration of foreign culture practices into the land which makes black nations inferior to other colours.
vi. it will lead to preservation of culture and heritage for the next generation.

The study's findings provided insights into the potential benefits and challenges of using AI in education in Nigeria and highlight the need for further research and investment in this area.

5.5 Key Contributions to Knowledge

i. Contextual Framework for AI in Nigerian Education
   Develops a Nigeria-specific framework for integrating AI into education policy, moving beyond Western-centric models.
ii. Localization of AI Tools
   Demonstrates how AI can be adapted to local languages, cultures, and curricula (e.g., Yoruba, Hausa, Igbo contexts).
iii. Policy Design Evidence
   Provides empirical evidence to guide policymakers on where AI interventions are most needed in Nigeria's education system.
iv. Teacher Support Model
   Proposes a structured AI-assisted teacher support system tailored to Nigerian classroom realities and resource constraints.
v. Bridging the Digital Divide

Highlights strategies for deploying AI equitably across urban and rural Nigerian schools.

REFERENCES

[1] Adeoye, A. O., et al. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Education: A Review of the Literature. Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange, 12(1), 1-18.

[2] Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77-101.

[3] Bostrom, N. (2014). Superintelligence: Paths, Dangers, Strategies. Oxford University Press.

[4] Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches. Sage Publications.

[5] Kim, J., et al. (2020). AI Education for K-12 Students: A Systematic Review. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 58(4), 419-437.

[6] Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge: A Framework for Teacher Knowledge. Teachers College Record, 108(6), 1017-1054.

[7] Neuendorf, K. A. (2002). The Content Analysis Guidebook. Sage Publications.

[8] Okebukola, P. A. (2018). Towards Effective Science, Technology, and Mathematics (STM) Education in Nigeria: Challenges and Prospects. Journal of Science Teachers Association of Nigeria, 53(1), 1-12.

[9] Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes. Harvard University Press.

[10] Zawacki-Richter, O., et al. (2019). Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: A Systematic Review. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16(1), 1-17.