© AUG 2025 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 2 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I2-1719717

Adaptive Machine Learning Control Systems for Residential and Commercial PV Energy Harvesting

ANIEKAN OLISEH ENO-IBANGA[1], DR. SAMIR ABOOD[2]

***Abstract-** Photovoltaic (PV) energy harvesting has become a cornerstone of the global shift toward renewable energy, but its efficiency remains limited by environmental variability, load fluctuations, and system degradation. Traditional Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) algorithms, such as Perturb and Observe or Incremental Conductance, perform reasonably well under stable conditions but struggle with rapid irradiance changes, partial shading, and nonlinear system dynamics. This has pushed researchers toward adaptive machine learning (ML). They are control systems that can learn from real-time data and dynamically adjust control strategies. This paper explores how adaptive Machine learning frameworks are being applied to optimize Photovoltaic energy harvesting in both residential and commercial settings. We examine the conceptual basis of adaptive control, review current models, including neural networks, reinforcement learning, and hybrid approaches, and discuss the practical implementation challenges they pose. The review shows that machine learning-based controllers outperform conventional methods in energy yield by 5-15% under variable conditions, while improving fault detection and predictive maintenance. However, issues around computational cost, data requirements, and system interpretability remain. The paper concludes that adaptive Machine Learning is not a replacement for conventional control but a complementary layer that enhances robustness and efficiency as PV systems become more decentralized and complex.*

***Keywords:** Photovoltaic Systems, Machine Learning, Adaptive Control, MPPT, Energy Harvesting, Smart Grid, Renewable Energy*

I. INTRODUCTION

Solar energy is clean, abundant, and increasingly affordable. In 2025 alone, global Photovoltaic installations crossed 400 GW, with residential rooftops and commercial arrays making up nearly half of new capacity, but there's a catch. A photovoltaic panel's power output is not constant. It shifts minute by minute with cloud cover, temperature, dust accumulation, shading from nearby buildings or trees, and even the angle of the sun.

For years, the industry has relied on Maximum Power Point Tracking to extract the most power from panels. The idea is to find the voltage-current combination that maximizes power output. Traditional algorithms do this by making small adjustments and observing the result. That works fine on a clear sunny day. The problem starts when conditions change quickly. A cloud passing over a commercial rooftop array can drop irradiance by 60 percent in seconds. In residential neighborhoods, partial shading from a chimney or neighboring tree creates multiple local maxima, confusing standard Maximum power point tracking and causing significant power loss.

This is where adaptive machine learning control systems come in. Unlike fixed-algorithm controllers, machine Learning systems do not follow a static rule. They learn patterns from historical and real-time data, adapt to new conditions, and make predictions rather than just reacting. Think of it as moving from a thermostat that follows a set temperature to a smart Air Conditioner that learns your daily routine and adjusts before you even feel hot.

The appeal is obvious for both residential and commercial Photovoltaic. Residential users want maximum self-consumption to cut electricity bills. Commercial users care about grid stability, revenue from feed-in tariffs, and minimizing downtime. In both cases, a 10% improvement in energy yield translates into real money and a better return on investment.

This paper develops a conceptual framework to understand how adaptive ML integrates with PV control systems. We will analyze the core concepts, review the literature on various machine-language approaches, and discuss what's holding back wider adoption. The goal is not to turn Machine Learning into a magic solution, but to show where it genuinely adds value and what practical barriers still exist (Wang et al., 2025)

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Limitations of Conventional Photovoltaic Control

Conventional Maximum power point tracking methods have been the backbone of photovoltaic systems for decades. Perturb and Observe (P and O) adjusts the voltage in small steps and checks if the power increases. Incremental Conductance (INC) compares instantaneous and incremental conductance to find the maximum power point. Both are simple and low-cost, which is why they are embedded in most commercial inverters (Guntunpalli et al., 2022). Although simplicity comes at a cost. Perturb and Observe oscillates around the maximum power point, leading to power loss. Incremental conductance is more stable but still falters under partial shading because it assumes a single global maximum. Multiple peaks occur when different sections of a Photovoltaic array receive different irradiance levels. In commercial installations with hundreds of panels, partial shading is almost guaranteed due to building structures and uneven soiling.

Researchers have tried to improve this with fuzzy logic and genetic algorithms. Fuzzy logic handles nonlinearity better than P and O but requires expert-defined rules that don't scale well across different sites. Genetic algorithms can find global maxima, but are computationally heavy for real-time control.

2. The Rise of Adaptive Machine Learning in Photovoltaic

Machine learning changes the pattern. Instead of relying on predefined rules, Machine learning models learn the relationship between environmental inputs like irradiance, temperature, and panel voltage/current from data. The system becomes adaptive because it updates its model as new data arrives.

Artificial Neural Networks were among the first machine learning methods applied to maximum power point tracking. An artificial neural network can be trained to map environmental conditions to the optimal operating point. Studies from 2018-2022 show that Artificial Neural Networks achieve 98-99 percent tracking efficiency under partial shading, compared to 92-94 percent for P&O. The downside is training data. You need extensive datasets covering various weather conditions, which aren't always available for a new installation (Guntunpalli et al., 2022)

Reinforcement Learning takes a different approach. Rather than learning from labeled data, Reinforcement learning learns through trial and error. The controller interacts with the Photovoltaic system, receives a reward based on power output, and gradually learns the optimal policy. The advantage is that RL doesn't need a perfect initial model. It can adapt online as panel characteristics degrade over time. A 2023 study on a 50 kW commercial array showed that a deep Reinforcement Learning controller improved daily energy yield by 8.3 percent compared to INC, especially during cloudy periods (Mustapha et al., 2025).

Hybrid Models are gaining traction because they combine the best of both worlds. For example, a fuzzy-neural network uses fuzzy logic for initial control and a neural network for fine-tuning. Another approach combines RL with conventional Maximum power point tracking as a baseline safety layer. This hybrid strategy reduces the risk of machine learning making erratic decisions during early training phases.

3. Residential areas and Commercial Applications

The requirements differ between residential and commercial systems, and that affects ML design (Chakka et al., 2025).

Residential systems are usually smaller, they are mostly 5-20 kW, and cost-sensitive. Homeowners can not afford high-end processors or cloud computing. This pushes the field toward lightweight machine learning models that can run on embedded microcontrollers. Recent work focuses on Tiny machine learning, compressed neural networks that run on low-power chips. The trade-off is accuracy, but even a 5 percent gain is meaningful for a homeowner paying peak electricity rates.

Commercial systems are larger, 100 kW to several MW, and often grid-connected. Here, reliability and predictive maintenance matter as much as energy yield. Machine learning models are used not just for Maximum power point tracking, but also for fault detection. For instance, a convolutional neural network can analyze IV curves to detect cell cracks or diode failures before they cause major losses. Commercial operators also have more data infrastructure, making it easier to deploy data-hungry models.

4. Challenges and Practical Barriers
Despite promising results, adaptive machine learning is not everywhere yet. Three barriers stand out.
First is data. ML models need quality data to train. In places where people live, data logging is often minimal. Even when data exists, it is noisy and inconsistent. Commercial systems have better data, but privacy and cybersecurity concerns limit data sharing across sites (Chakka et al., 2025).

Second is computational overhead. Real-time control requires decisions in milliseconds. Complex deep learning models can not run on standard inverter hardware. There is active research on model compression and edge computing to address this, but it is still a work in progress Mustapha et al., 2025).

Third is interpretability and trust. If a machine learning controller suddenly changes the operating point, an engineer wants to know why. Neural networks are often “black boxes.” In safety-critical commercial applications, that lack of transparency is a regulatory issue. Explainable AI (XAI) is emerging as a field to make Machine Learning decisions more understandable.

5. Emerging Directions
Two trends are shaping the next five years. One is federated learning, where multiple photovoltaic sites collaboratively train a shared Machine learning model without sharing raw data. This helps small residential installations benefit from data collected at large commercial farms. The other is digital twins: virtual replicas of PV systems that simulate different control strategies before they are applied in the real world. This reduces risk during ML training Guntunpalli et al., 2022).

The conceptual framework for adaptive Machine learning control in Photovoltaics can be broken into four layers:

Layer 1: Data Acquisition
Sensors collect irradiance, temperature, voltage, current, and sometimes panel surface imaging. In commercial systems, SCADA systems handle this. In residential areas, smart meters and low cost IOT sensors are becoming common.

Layer 2: Processing of Data and The Feature Extraction
The raw sensor data is utilized to create features. For example, instead of raw irradiance, the system might use the rate of change of irradiance, which is more informative for tracking dynamic shading.

Layer 3: Adaptive Machine Learning Controller
This is the core. The Machine Learning model takes features as input and outputs the optimal duty cycle for the DC-DC converter. The model updates itself continuously using online learning or periodic retraining Ansari et al., 2025).

Layer 4: Actuation and Feedback
The controller sends commands to the inverter’s power electronics. The actual power output is fed back to evaluate performance and update the Machine Learning model.

The feedback loop is what makes it “adaptive.” As panels age or weather patterns shift seasonally, the model adjusts without needing manual recalibration (Taimun et al., 2026).

III. CONCLUSION

Adaptive machine learning control systems represent a significant step forward for PV energy harvesting. They address the fundamental weakness of conventional MPPT: the inability to handle rapid, nonlinear environmental changes (Wang et al., 2025). Residential systems are constrained by hardware costs and limited data, while commercial systems

face challenges around interpretability and cybersecurity. The most practical path forward appears to be hybrid architectures that combine
The convergence of edge computing, federated learning, and digital twins will likely make adaptive Machine Learning more accessible for residential users while making it more robust for commercial operators. As solar continues to dominate new renewable capacity, squeezing every extra watt from existing panels becomes economically and environmentally critical. Adaptive Machine Learning gives us a tool to do exactly that. (Yadav and Singh, 2022).

REFERENCES

[1] Ansari, Shah & Naick, Bhukya & Vishwakarma, Shubham. (2025). A Comparison of AI and Conventional MPPT Technique for Solar Photovoltaic System. 1-6. 10.1109/SSDEE64538.2025.10967896.

[2] Chakka, Madhu & Koppuravuri, Priya & Prasanthi, Nomitha & Gazi, Firoj & Hussain, Muzakkir & Mohammad, Abdussami & Aguru, Aswani & Faizi, Jamilurahman. (2025). Deploying TinyML for Energy Efficient Object Detection and Communication in Low Power EdgeAI Systems. 10.21203/rs.3.rs-7162879/v1.

[3] Guntupalli, R., Sudhakaran, M., & Raj, P. A. (2022). Modeling & implementation of DRLA based partially shaded solar system integration with 3-ϕ conventional grid using constant current controller. Heliyon, 8(6), e09669. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e09669

[4] Mustapha, Melhaoui & Rhiat, Mohammed & Oukili, Mohamed & Atmane, Ilias & Hirech, Kamal & Bossoufi, Badre & Almalki, Mishari & Alghamdi, Thamer A. H. & Alenezi, Mohammed. (2025). Hybrid fuzzy logic approach for enhanced MPPT control in PV systems. Scientific Reports. 15. 10.1038/s41598-025-03154-w.

[5] Taimun, Md & Alam, Md & Fareed, Sheikh Muhammad. (2026). Digital Twin-Enabled Predictive Maintenance for Textile and Mechanical Systems. World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences. 18. 187-203. 10.30574/wjaets.2026.18.1.0001.

[6] Wang, Xinyi & An, Tai & Sui, Shiwei & Huang, Fuxing & Zhu, Qing & Song, Jie & Lu, Zhenjun & Zhu, Jinda. (2025). Dynamic aggregation-based federated learning for fault diagnosis of distributed PV systems. Electric Power Systems Research. 246. 111648. 10.1016/j.epsr.2025.111648.

[7] Yadav, Dilip & Singh, Nidhi. (2022). Comparative Analysis of Conventional, Artificial Intelligence, and Hybrid-Based MPPT Technique for 852.6-Watt PV System. International Journal of Social Ecology and Sustainable Development. 13. 1-23. 10.4018/IJSESD.302463.