© JUL 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 10 Issue 1 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV10I1-1719719

Computational Intelligence for Dynamic Solar Energy Yield Under Partial Shading and Transient Conditions

ANIEKAN OLISEH ENO-IBANGA[1], DR. SAMIR ABOOD[2]
Independent Researcher

Abstract- Solar photovoltaic arrays rarely operate under ideal, uniform irradiance. Shading from clouds, buildings, and vegetation, as well as soiling, creates spatially non-uniform conditions that produce multiple peaks in the power-voltage curve. Under these circumstances, conventional Maximum Power Point Tracking algorithms often fail to converge, resulting in continuous energy losses. This paper develops a conceptual framework for using computational intelligence to improve dynamic solar energy yield in partial shading and transient environments. The framework examines how to structure the logic underlying unclear logic, artificial neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, and reinforcement learning to navigate complex, nonconvex search spaces in real time. Rather than focusing on a single algorithm, the analysis treats computational intelligence as a layered decision system that balances exploration, exploitation, computational cost, and system stability. The conceptual model links algorithmic behavior to energy yield, inverter stress, and lifecycle cost implications, arguing that adaptive intelligence reduces loss mismatches and improves resilience without requiring hardware changes.

Keywords: Computational Intelligence, Partial Shading, Dynamic Conditions, Adaptive Control, Energy Yield, Neural Networks, Solar Photovoltaic, Evolutionary Algorithms, And Maximum Power Point Tracking

I. INTRODUCTION

A solar panel's datasheet tells you its performance under standard test conditions: 1000 W/m² irradiance, 25°C cell temperature, no shading. That is useful for comparison, but it's not how panels live. In the real world, irradiance changes minute by minute as clouds pass overhead. Shadows sweep across arrays as the sun moves. Dirt accumulates unevenly. The result is a system that spends most of its time in a state of partial shading and transient change. Under uniform conditions, the power voltage curve of a Photovoltaic array has a single, clear maximum power point. Partial shading breaks that simplicity. Different sections of the array receive different irradiance, creating multiple local maxima. If your controller locks onto the wrong peak, you can lose 20-40 percent of available power until the shading pattern changes. Temporary conditions make it worse. A cloud edge can change irradiance by 80 percent in under a second, and a slow or oscillating controller will chase the wrong point the whole time.

The traditional response has always been hardware, bypass diodes, module-level power electronics, and micro inverters. Those work, but they add cost, complexity, and potential failure points. The alternative is to improve the control layer's intelligence. If the MPPT controller can recognize complex shading patterns, predict their evolution, and adjust its search strategy in real time, it can extract more energy from the same physical array.

Computational intelligence provides the tools for this, fuzzy logic handles nonlinearity and uncertainty without precise models. Neural networks learn mappings between environmental inputs and optimal operating points. Reinforcement learning adapts continuously based on feedback.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. The Challenge of Partial Shading and Transients

Partial shading creates non-uniform current generation across a series of connected cells. Bypass diodes activate to protect shaded cells, but they also segment the array, introducing steps and multiple peaks in the I-V and P-V curves. The number and location of peaks depend on the shading pattern, module configuration, and diode characteristics.

Transient conditions add a time dimension. Irradiance can change faster than a conventional controller's sampling rate, causing it to track a moving target that is behind. If the perturbation step size is too large, the system oscillates. If it is too small, the system can not keep up with rapid changes. The result is a trade-off between speed and stability that static algorithms cannot resolve.

From a system perspective, these losses are not trivial. Studies estimate that partial shading can reduce annual energy yield by 10-25 percent in urban and rooftop installations. Temporary losses are harder to quantify but become significant in climates with variable cloud cover. Reducing these losses directly increases the numerator in the Levelized Cost of Energy equation, thereby lowering the cost per kilowatt-hour.

2. Unclear Logic Control for Nonlinearity and Uncertainty

Unclear logic control is well-suited to Photovoltaic systems because it does not require an exact mathematical model. Instead, it uses linguistic rules like "if irradiance is increasing fast and power is decreasing, then increase the voltage step size." The membership functions and rule base can be designed to reflect expert knowledge about how shading and cloud transients behave.

The strength of fuzzy logic is its robustness to noise and its fast response to qualitative changes. It can reduce oscillation around the maximum power point and adapt the step size based on the rate of change of irradiance. However, the rule base is typically static. If the array ages, soiling patterns change, or the installation environment shifts, the original rules may become suboptimal. This requires manually updating the rule base for every site, and it is impractical at scale.

Conceptually, unclear logic serves as a low-cost, interpretable layer for handling uncertainty. It can serve as a baseline controller or a supervisory layer that adjusts parameters to enable a more data-driven approach.

3. Artificial Neural Networks for Pattern Recognition and Prediction

This type of network excels at learning complex and nonlinear relationships from data. In the PV context, an Artificial Neural Network can be trained to map inputs like irradiance, temperature, voltage, and current to the estimated global maximum power point. Once trained, it can predict the operating point directly, avoiding the search process entirely.

This approach is powerful under recurring shading patterns. If a building casts a shadow at the same time every afternoon, the network can learn that pattern and adjust the operating point accordingly. The challenge is generalization. A network trained on data from one site may perform poorly at another with a different shading geometry. Retraining requires data collection and computational resources, which raises questions about cost and feasibility.

From a conceptual standpoint, Artificial Neural Networks shift the Maximum Power Point Tracker from reactive tracking to predictive control. The system anticipates where the maximum power point should be, then verifies and refines that prediction. The trade-off is between prediction accuracy and the risk of making a wrong prediction that causes a temporary power drop.

4. Evolutionary Algorithms for Global Search

Evolutionary algorithms, including Particle Swarm Optimization, Genetic Algorithms, and Cuckoo Search, treat the search for the global maximum power point as an optimization problem. They maintain a population of candidate solutions and evolve them over iterations using mechanisms inspired by natural processes.

These methods are effective at avoiding local maxima because they explore multiple regions of the search space simultaneously. Under complex shading, they can find the global peak more reliably than gradient-based or hill-climbing methods. The downside is computational cost and convergence time. If the population size or iteration count is too high, the controller may be too slow for transient conditions.

Evolutionary algorithms provide a global exploration layer. They can be used intermittently and are triggered when shading is detected. To reset the operating point, a faster local method is used for fine-

© JUL 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 10 Issue 1 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV10I1-1719719

tuning. This hybrid structure balances global search with real-time responsiveness.

5. Reinforcement Learning for Online Adaptation
Reinforcement learning frames MPPT as a sequential decision problem. The controller takes an action, observes the resulting change in power, and updates its policy to maximize the cumulative reward. Over time, it learns a strategy that works for the specific array and environment it operates in.

The advantage is online adaptation. As panels degrade, soiling accumulates, or shading patterns change, the controller updates its behavior without requiring offline retraining. This is valuable for long-life systems where conditions evolve over years. The risk is exploration. Early in learning, the controller may try suboptimal actions that reduce energy yield or stress the inverter.

Reinforcement learning treats the Photovoltaic system as a living system that evolves in response to its environment. It requires careful design of the reward function and constraints to ensure that learning improves both energy yield and system health.

6. Hybrid and Layered Architectures
No single computational intelligence method dominates across all conditions. The emerging conceptual consensus is that hybrid architectures perform best. A common structure uses a fast, stable method, such as unclear logic or incremental conductance, as a baseline. When a change in shading or irradiance is detected, an evolutionary algorithm or Artificial Neural Networks is engaged to locate the global maximum. Reinforcement learning can operate in the background, slowly updating parameters and rule weights.

This layered approach mirrors how humans solve complex problems: use heuristics for routine situations, engage deeper reasoning when things change, and learn from experience over time. It also manages computational load. The heavy methods run infrequently, keeping average processor usage and energy cost low.

7. Linking Intelligence to System-Level Outcomes
The ultimate goal is not just tracking accuracy, but system-level improvement. Computational intelligence affects three key outcomes:

First, energy yield. Better global search and faster tracking increase the integral of power over time. Even a 3-5% annual gain is significant over a 25-year system life.

Second, component stress. Algorithms that minimize oscillation and rapid voltage swings reduce thermal cycling in inverters and converters. Lower thermal stress extends component life and reduces replacement costs.

Thirdly, fault tolerance and diagnostics. Intelligent controllers can detect anomalies in the P-V curve that indicate shading, soiling, or cell degradation. Early detection enables maintenance before losses become severe.

These outcomes feed directly into the economics of solar. Increased yield raises revenue, reduced maintenance lowers operating cost, and extended component life defers capital expenditure. All three lower Levelized Cost Of Energy.

III. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR COMPUTATIONAL INTELLIGENCE IN DYNAMIC CONDITIONS

The proposed framework consists of four interacting layers.

1. Perception Layer
High-frequency sampling of voltage, current, irradiance, and temperature provides the raw data. Signal processing extracts features such as the irradiance rate of change, array voltage variance, and P-V curve shape. This layer determines whether the system is in uniform, partially shaded, or tempo state.

2. Reasoning Layer
This is the core of computational intelligence. It selects the appropriate search strategy based on the detected state. In uniform conditions, a simple method suffices. In partial shading, it engages a

© JUL 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 10 Issue 1 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV10I1-1719719

global search method. In transients, it prioritizes speed and prediction. The reasoning layer can combine unclear rules, neural network predictions, and evolutionary search in a coordinated way.

3. Actuation Layer
The selected strategy is translated into duty cycle commands for the DC-DC converter. This layer also monitors actuator response to detect faults or degradation in power electronics. It enforces voltage and current constraints to protect the system.

4. Learning and Adaptation Layer
Performance feedback is used to update the reasoning layer. Short-term feedback adjusts step sizes and search ranges. Long-term feedback updates neural network weights, fuzzy rule weights, or reinforcement learning policies. This layer ensures the system evolves as the array ages and environmental conditions change.

The conceptual innovation is to treat MPPT as a continuous learning and decision-making process rather than a fixed control loop. The system is expected to improve its performance over time through interaction with its environment.

IV. DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS AND CONSTRAINTS

Deploying computational intelligence in real systems involves trade-offs. Computational cost matters. More complex algorithms require faster processors and more memory, increasing hardware cost and power consumption. The design must balance the value of extra energy against the computational cost.
Interpretability is important for commercial and utility operators. Black box methods create trust and regulatory barriers. Hybrid architectures that keep a transparent baseline controller can mitigate this issue.
Scalability affects feasibility. An algorithm that works on a 5 kW residential system may not scale to a 5 MW utility array due to communication latency and coordination complexity. Distributed intelligence, where each string or panel has a lightweight controller, is a conceptual solution but requires careful design to avoid instability.
Ignoring sensor noise and failure is critical. Intelligent controllers rely on accurate inputs. The framework must include fault detection and fallback modes to maintain safe operation if sensors fail.

V. CONCLUSION

Partial shading and transient conditions are fundamental challenges in real-world solar energy systems. Conventional Maximum Power Point Tracker algorithms, designed for simplicity and uniform irradiance, leave significant energy on the table under these conditions. Computational intelligence offers a pathway to close that gap without changing the physical array.

Unclear logic provides fullness and interpretability for uncertainty. Neural networks enable prediction based on learned patterns. Evolutionary algorithms enable reliable global search. Reinforcement learning enables online adaptation to evolving conditions. When combined in a layered, hybrid architecture, these methods can balance exploration, exploitation, speed, and stability.

The conceptual framework presented here positions computational intelligence as a system-level enabler for higher energy yield, lower component stress, and improved fault tolerance. These improvements translate directly into lower Levelized Cost of Energy, making solar more competitive with conventional generation.

Future work should focus on standardized evaluation metrics that capture economic and reliability impacts, not just tracking efficiency. It should also explore scalable architectures for distributed intelligence and methods for reducing the data and computational requirements of learning-based approaches. As solar penetration increases, the marginal gains from smarter control will become increasingly important for the economics of renewable energy.

REFERENCES

[1] Alajmi, Bader & Ahmed, K.H. & Finney, Stephen & Williams, Barry. (2013). A Maximum Power Point Tracking Technique

for Partially Shaded Photovoltaic Systems in Microgrids. Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions on. 60. 1596-1606. 10.1109/TIE.2011.2168796.

[2] Karami, Nabil & Moubayed, Nazih & Outbib, Rachid. (2017). General review and classification of different MPPT Techniques. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 68. 1-18. 10.1016/j.rser.2016.09.132.

[3] Lyden, M.E. (2015) Haque,Maximum Power Point Tracking techniques for photovoltaic systems: A comprehensive review and comparative analysis, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Volume 52, 2015, Pages 1504-1518, ISSN 1364-0321, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.07.172,(https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364032115008199)

[4] Mao, Mingxuan & Cui, Lichuang & Zhang, Qianjin & Guo, Ke & Zhou, Lin & Huang, Han. (2020). Classification and summarization of solar photovoltaic MPPT techniques: A review based on traditional and intelligent control strategies. Energy Reports. 6. 1312-1327. 10.1016/j.egyr.2020.05.013.

[5] Ramabadran, Ramaprabha & Mathur, Dr. (2012). A Comprehensive Review and Analysis of Solar Photovoltaic Array Configurations under Partial Shaded Conditions. International Journal of Photo energy. 12. 10.1155/2012/120214.

[6] Salam, Zainal. (2012). A Deterministic Particle Swarm Optimization Maximum Power Point Tracker for Photovoltaic System Under Partial Shading Condition. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics. 60. 10.1109/TIE.2012.2200223.

[7] Sher, Hadeed & Murtaza, Ali & Noman, Abdullah & Addoweesh, Khaled & Al-Haddad, Kamal & Chiaberge, Marcello. (2015). A New Sensorless Hybrid MPPT Algorithm Based on Fractional Short-Circuit Current Measurement and MPPT. IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy. 6. 10.1109/TSTE.2015.2438781.

[8] Thirunavukkarasu, Gokul & Seyedmahmoudian, Mehdi & Chandran, Jaideep & Stojcevski, Alex & Subramanian, Maruthamuthu & Raj, Marnadu & Alfaify, Sa & Shkir, Mohd. (2021). Optimization of Mono-Crystalline Silicon Solar Cell Devices Using PC1D Simulation. Energies. 14. 4986. 10.3390/en14164986.