© SEP 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 10 Issue 3 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV10I3-1723025

# Quantum Computing in Artificial Intelligence: Convergence, Frameworks, and Emerging Application

DR. DENSY JOHN VADAKKAN[1], ALBERT JAMES[2]

[1] *Senior Assistant Professor, School of Science and Computer Studies, CMR University, Bengaluru, India*

[2] *Master of Science in Information Technology (Data Science), CMR University, Bengaluru, India*

***Abstract-* The rapid convergence of quantum computing and artificial intelligence is reshaping computational paradigms across cryptography, optimization, sensing, and intelligent systems. This paper surveys and synthesizes recent developments at the intersection of quantum computing and AI, with particular attention to superconducting quantum hardware, quantum reinforcement learning, quantum key distribution optimization, and hybrid AI-driven post-quantum security frameworks. Drawing upon 2026 literature — including advances in high-speed predistortion architectures for flux-bias lines [1], enhanced quantum key distribution parameter optimization [2], quantum reinforcement learning for spectrum allocation in 6G networks [3], hybrid AI–post-quantum encryption for Internet of Medical Things (IoMT) systems [4], and measurement-consistent low-field MRI enhancement via k-space noise modeling [5] — we present a unified perspective on how quantum resources augment classical AI and how AI, in turn, accelerates the practical deployment of quantum technologies. We introduce a conceptual Quantum–AI Convergence Framework that maps hardware constraints, algorithmic opportunities, and security requirements. The analysis highlights persistent challenges in noise resilience, scalability, hybrid orchestration, and regulatory readiness, while outlining promising research directions for trustworthy quantum-enhanced intelligence.***

***Index Terms*— *Quantum Computing, Artificial Intelligence, Quantum Machine Learning, Quantum Reinforcement Learning, Post-Quantum Cryptography, Quantum Key Distribution, Superconducting Qubits, 6G Networks, Internet of Medical Things, Hybrid Quantum–Classical Systems***

## I. INTRODUCTION

Artificial intelligence has achieved remarkable success through large-scale classical computation, yet fundamental limits in optimization, sampling, and cryptographic hardness continue to constrain progress. Quantum computing offers a complementary computational substrate whose superposition, entanglement, and interference properties can, in principle, provide asymptotic advantages for specific classes of problems central to AI — most notably combinatorial optimization, sampling from complex distributions, and certain linear-algebraic primitives underlying modern machine learning.

Simultaneously, AI techniques are becoming indispensable for the control, calibration, error mitigation, and application-level orchestration of quantum devices. The bidirectional relationship — quantum computing for AI and AI for quantum computing — defines a rapidly maturing research frontier. Recent 2026 contributions illustrate this duality: superconducting quantum processors require sophisticated classical signal-processing architectures for high-speed flux-bias control [1]; quantum key distribution (QKD) parameters benefit from algorithmic optimization frameworks [2]; spectrum allocation in sixth-generation networks can be assisted by quantum reinforcement learning [3]; and medical data transmission in IoMT environments demands hybrid AI-driven post-quantum encryption [4]. Complementary advances in measurement-consistent low-field MRI enhancement via k-space noise modeling [5] further demonstrate the broader impact of physics-informed and noise-aware computational methods that parallel quantum sensing and imaging challenges.

This paper provides a structured synthesis of these developments. It does not report new experimental or simulation results; rather, it organizes and cross-connects findings already published in the six primary sources cited above into a single conceptual framework (Section 3). We first review the theoretical and hardware foundations of quantum–AI convergence. We then examine algorithmic frameworks spanning quantum machine learning, quantum reinforcement learning, and quantum-

© SEP 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 10 Issue 3 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV10I3-1723025

assisted cryptography. Domain-specific applications in communications, healthcare, and sensing are analyzed. Finally, we articulate open challenges and propose a conceptual framework for guiding future research and engineering practice.

## II. CONCEPTUAL BACKGROUND

### *A. Quantum Computing Foundations Relevant to AI*

Contemporary quantum processors, particularly those based on superconducting circuits, operate under stringent constraints of coherence time, gate fidelity, and control-signal bandwidth. High-speed parallel predistortion architectures for Z-flux bias lines have been shown to improve the fidelity of flux-tunable qubits by compensating non-linear and frequency-dependent distortions in real time [1]. Such classical signal-processing pipelines are themselves candidates for AI-assisted design and adaptive calibration, closing a feedback loop between quantum hardware and classical intelligence.

On the cryptographic side, quantum key distribution remains a cornerstone of information-theoretic security. Recent work has introduced enhanced algorithmic frameworks that optimize QKD parameters under realistic channel and device constraints, improving secret-key rates while maintaining security guarantees [2]. These optimization problems are high-dimensional and non-convex, making them natural targets for both classical and quantum-inspired machine-learning techniques.

### *B. Quantum Machine Learning and Reinforcement Learning*

Quantum machine learning (QML) explores the use of quantum circuits as parameterized models or as subroutines within larger hybrid algorithms. Quantum reinforcement learning (QRL) extends this paradigm to sequential decision-making. A notable 2026 contribution demonstrates a quantum reinforcement learning assisted spectrum allocation framework tailored for sixth-generation (6G) networks [3]. By encoding spectrum-allocation states into quantum registers and employing variational quantum policies, the approach seeks to navigate the combinatorial explosion of resource blocks, interference constraints, and quality-of-service demands more efficiently than purely classical baselines.

### *C. Post-Quantum Security and AI*

The anticipated arrival of cryptographically relevant quantum computers threatens classical public-key schemes. Post-quantum cryptography (PQC) provides algorithmic alternatives believed to be resistant to quantum attacks. Hybrid frameworks that combine AI-driven anomaly detection, adaptive key management, and standardized PQC primitives are particularly relevant for resource-constrained yet high-stakes environments such as the Internet of Medical Things. A 2026 hybrid AI-driven post-quantum encryption framework specifically addresses secure medical data transmission in IoMT systems, integrating lightweight PQC with intelligent threat monitoring [4].

### *D. Noise Modeling and Measurement Consistency*

Across quantum sensing, imaging, and computation, noise remains the dominant practical limitation. Measurement-consistent low-field MRI enhancement via k-space noise modeling exemplifies a broader class of techniques that enforce physical consistency while suppressing measurement noise [5]. Analogous principles — measurement consistency, physics-informed priors, and learned noise models — are directly transferable to quantum state tomography, error mitigation, and quantum sensor fusion.

## III. QUANTUM–AI CONVERGENCE FRAMEWORK

To systematically organize the interplay between quantum computing and artificial intelligence, we propose a four-layer Quantum–AI Convergence Framework. The framework is intentionally modular so that advances in any single layer can be evaluated independently while still contributing to overall system performance. This framework — the four layers below and how they interconnect — is the original organizing contribution of this paper; the technical content within each layer is drawn from the sources cited in Section 2.

Hardware & Control Layer. This layer encompasses superconducting qubit control electronics, high-speed flux-bias predistortion, cryogenic signal chains, and AI-assisted calibration loops [1]. Real-time compensation of non-ideal frequency responses is

© SEP 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 10 Issue 3 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV10I3-1723025

essential for maintaining high gate fidelities as systems scale.

Algorithmic Layer. Variational quantum algorithms, quantum kernel methods, quantum reinforcement learning policies, and hybrid quantum–classical optimizers reside here [3]. The layer focuses on mapping AI workloads onto limited quantum resources while maximizing any available quantum advantage.

Security & Cryptographic Layer. Quantum key distribution parameter optimization, post-quantum encryption schemes, and AI-driven threat detection for quantum-safe protocols form the core of this layer [2, 3]. Both information-theoretic and computational security notions are considered.

Application & Orchestration Layer. Domain deployments in 6G spectrum management, medical IoT data protection, quantum-enhanced imaging, and intelligent sensing are coordinated at this level [3, 4, 5]. Hybrid classical–quantum orchestration logic and performance monitoring also belong here.

Within this framework, AI serves dual roles: (a) as a consumer of quantum computational advantages (for example, faster sampling or combinatorial optimization), and (b) as an enabler that improves the reliability, efficiency, and usability of quantum hardware and protocols.

## IV. KEY TECHNICAL DIRECTIONS AND ANALYSIS

### *A. AI for Quantum Hardware Control*

High-speed parallel predistortion architectures address the non-ideal frequency response of flux-bias lines that control tunable superconducting qubits [1]. Machine-learning models — particularly neural networks trained on measured impulse responses — can generate or refine predistortion filters online, reducing calibration overhead and improving two-qubit gate fidelities. Future systems are expected to embed lightweight inference engines co-located with the control electronics, enabling continuous adaptation to drift and thermal fluctuations.

### *B. Quantum Reinforcement Learning for Network Resource Allocation*

Spectrum allocation in 6G networks constitutes a large-scale combinatorial optimization problem under uncertainty. Quantum reinforcement learning frameworks encode the joint state of users, channels, and interference into quantum registers and learn parameterized quantum policies [3]. Early empirical indications suggest improved sample efficiency and the ability to escape certain local optima that trap classical deep-RL agents. Hybrid training loops that interleave quantum circuit evaluations with classical policy-gradient updates remain the practical near-term approach.

### *C. Optimizing Quantum Key Distribution with Algorithmic Intelligence*

An enhanced algorithm framework for optimizing QKD parameters systematically explores the space of pulse intensities, basis-choice probabilities, and error-correction efficiencies under finite-key and device-imperfection constraints [2]. Meta-heuristic and gradient-based optimizers, optionally accelerated by quantum-inspired search, yield higher asymptotic and finite-key rates. Integration with real-time channel monitoring further allows adaptive reconfiguration of QKD links.

### *D. Hybrid AI–Post-Quantum Encryption for IoMT*

Medical data streams in IoMT environments demand confidentiality, integrity, and low latency. A hybrid AI-driven post-quantum encryption framework combines lattice- or code-based PQC primitives with AI modules that perform anomaly detection, adaptive key refresh, and context-aware encryption-mode selection [4]. The AI component continuously assesses threat indicators and patient-data sensitivity, dynamically adjusting security parameters without violating real-time constraints of wearable and implantable devices.

### *E. Noise-Aware and Measurement-Consistent Learning*

Techniques developed for measurement-consistent MRI enhancement illustrate the power of embedding physical noise models directly into the reconstruction or learning objective [5]. Parallel ideas in quantum information science include noise-aware variational circuits, measurement-error mitigation via learned response matrices, and physics-informed neural networks for quantum process tomography. Cross-

© SEP 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 10 Issue 3 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV10I3-1723025

pollination between medical imaging and quantum measurement communities is therefore scientifically productive.

## V. DISCUSSION

The surveyed 2026 contributions collectively demonstrate that progress at the quantum–AI interface is occurring simultaneously on multiple fronts: hardware control, algorithmic innovation, cryptographic hardening, and domain application. Importantly, none of these advances exists in isolation. High-fidelity flux control improves the reliability of quantum reinforcement learning experiments; better QKD parameter optimization strengthens the security foundation on which quantum-safe IoMT systems rest; and measurement-consistent reconstruction techniques inform both classical medical imaging and quantum sensing pipelines.

A recurring theme is the necessity of hybrid classical–quantum orchestration. Purely quantum solutions remain impractical for most end-to-end workflows; conversely, purely classical solutions leave potential quantum advantages unrealized. The four-layer framework introduced in Section 3 provides a practical organizing principle for managing this hybridity.

Persistent challenges include noise and limited qubit counts, classical–quantum interface overhead, the absence of standardized benchmarks for quantum-enhanced AI, evolving security assumptions under cryptanalysis, and the still-nascent regulatory landscape for quantum technologies in critical infrastructure.

Limitations. As a synthesis of six recently published sources rather than original experimental work, this review's conclusions are bounded by the scope, assumptions, and reported results of those sources; independent replication of their findings is outside the scope of this paper.

## VI. CONCLUSION

Quantum computing and artificial intelligence are mutually reinforcing technologies. Advances in superconducting control architectures, quantum reinforcement learning for spectrum management, algorithmic optimization of quantum key distribution, hybrid post-quantum security for medical IoT, and noise-aware measurement-consistent reconstruction collectively illustrate a maturing ecosystem. By organizing these contributions within a layered Quantum–AI Convergence Framework, this paper clarifies the pathways through which quantum resources can augment intelligent systems and through which AI can accelerate the practical realization of quantum technologies.

Sustained progress will require tight collaboration among hardware engineers, algorithm designers, domain specialists, and security researchers, guided by rigorous benchmarking and a clear-eyed assessment of both opportunities and limitations. Future work should prioritize co-design of control electronics with AI calibration agents, quantum-native reinforcement learning with robustness guarantees, end-to-end hybrid AI–PQC demonstrations in operational testbeds, cross-domain transfer of measurement-consistency principles, and the creation of open reproducible benchmarks that quantify quantum advantage under standardized noise and resource constraints.

## REFERENCES

[1] Ma, M., Chen, Y., Tian, L., & Wu, Q. (2026). High-Speed Parallel Predistortion Architecture for Z-Flux Bias Lines in Superconducting Quantum Computing. In *Proc. 2026 IEEE 5th International Conference on Information Technology, Big Data and Artificial Intelligence (ICIBA)*.

[2] Ying, H., & Fan, J. (2026). An Enhanced Algorithm Framework for Optimizing Quantum Key Distribution Parameters. In *Proc. 2026 7th Information Communication Technologies Conference (ICTC)*.

[3] Kannan, K., Al-Shalout, M. I., Valarmathi, T. S., Aluri, S., Verma, V., & Shymalabharathi, P. (2026). Quantum Reinforcement Learning Assisted Spectrum Allocation Framework for Sixth Generation Networks. In *Proc. 2026 9th International Conference on Circuit, Power & Computing Technologies (ICCPCT)*. Crossref

[4] Prabhu, T., Kumar, J. A., Anushia, R. M., & Shahila, D. F. D. (2026). A Hybrid AI-Driven Post-Quantum Encryption Framework for Secure Medical Data Transmission in IoMT Systems. In *Proc. 2026 9th International Conference on Circuit, Power & Computing Technologies (ICCPCT)*. Crossref

[5] Hu, Y., Liu, Q., Zhou, T., Li, Z., Liang, P., Zhang, J., Lu, R., Lou, X., Zheng, J., Yang, J., & Chen, Y. (2026). Measurement-Consistent Low-Field MRI Enhancement via k-Space Noise Modeling. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, early access. Crossref