© SEP 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 10 Issue 3 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV10I3-1723044

Public Private Partnership and Sustainable Infrastructural Development in Developing Nations: Problems and Prospects

NDUKWE, IBE NDUKWE[1], PROF. UCHE OJUKWU[2]
[1]*Department Of Public Administration, Ogbonnaya Onu Polytechnic Aba, Abia State, Nigeria.*
[2]*Department Of Political Science, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Igbariam Campus, Anambra State, Nigeria*

Abstract*— In developing countries, with scarce public funds, Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) are used to solve infrastructure problems. This paper reviews the concept of PPPs, its key models, importance, obstacles for implementation, prospects and ways to improve the sustainable infrastructural development through PPPs. The conceptual review method is used in this study, based on the literature and institutional reports. The analysis shows that PPPs have the potential to stimulate infrastructure financing, through greater operational efficiency, technology transfer, better public service delivery, and economic growth and sustainable development. However, they are limited in effectiveness due to insufficient legal and regulatory measures, corruption, political instability, institutional capacity issues, financing problems, and project management issues. The paper finds that transparent governance, effective regulatory mechanisms, proper risk allocation, stakeholder involvement and institutional capacity are key elements to the successful implementation of PPPs. It calls for reinforcing the legal, institutional and regulatory environment, encouraging accountability, enhancing project preparation in developing countries, increasing access to long-term financing, and integrating the concept of sustainability into infrastructure planning, in order to build the developmental potential of PPPs in developing countries.

Keywords*— Public-Private Partnership, Sustainable Infrastructural Development, Developing Nations, Infrastructure Financing, Governance, Economic Development.

I. INTRODUCTION

Efficient transport, energy, telecommunications networks, the provision of water and sanitation services provide connectivity, lower transaction costs and enable opportunities for economic and social development, which are all recognised as important factors in economic transformation, productivity, regional integration and sustainable development (OECD/AUC, 2025; World Bank, 2025). In developing economies, infrastructure problems have been found to be a limiting factor for private sector development, competitiveness, trade and inclusive growth (Mawejje, 2024; Okoye, Osegbue, Okelue, Emesiani, & Godspower, 2025). The African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) has highlighted transport infrastructure as a key driver to reduce trade costs and boost intra-African trade (Okoye, Osegbue, Azubuike, & Idigo, 2025). Likewise, in the region, infrastructure projects like the Programme for Infrastructure Development in Africa (PIDA) are meant to foster regional connectivity and economic integration, yet with reduced financing and implementation hurdles (Okoye et al., 2025).

Infrastructure financing gap has been exacerbated by fiscal constraints, growing level of debtors' liabilities, competing expenditure needs, population growth, urbanisation and demand for infrastructure services in developing countries (AfDB, 2025; OECD/AUC, 2025). Whilst public investment is crucial, public funds are sometimes not sufficient to address the demand of the infrastructure (Mawejje, 2024; World Bank, 2024). Public-Private Partnership (PPP) has, therefore, become significant for the mobilisation of private sector funds, innovations, managerial abilities, and technical know-how for infrastructure and services delivery (World Bank, 2024; AfDB, 2025). PPPs allow public and private sector stakeholders to share responsibilities, risks and resources for delivering a public infrastructure, for instance, through concession or build-operate-transfer arrangements (World Bank, 2024).

The success of PPPs critically relies on the institutional, regulatory, financial and governance frameworks in which they function (Mawejje, 2024; World Bank, 2024). The weak regulations, poor project preparation, limited institutional capacity,

political and macro-economic risks, financing constraints, and inappropriate risk allocation can lead to project delays, cost escalation, contractual disputes and associated poor service delivery (Mawejje, 2024; World Bank, 2024). Likewise, the lack of transport infrastructure in Africa could magnify trade costs and limit the gains of regional integration, and the weak coordination and implementation of projects could restrict the developmental impact of a large-scale infrastructure programme (Okoye, et al, 2025). Therefore, transparent procurement, effective regulation, good project preparation, risk allocation, institutional accountability and project monitoring are crucial for a successful PPP (AfDB, 2025; World Bank, 2024).

Given this backdrop, PPPs can play an important role in meeting infrastructure needs and in supporting sustainable development in developing countries by engaging private sector financing and enhancing infrastructure delivery in developing countries (AfDB, 2025; OECD/AUC, 2025). The private sector is increasingly interested and involved in transport, renewable energy, digital infrastructure, urban services, and climate-resilient infrastructure, providing additional opportunities for the private sector to participate (AfDB, 2025; World Bank, 2025). The African continent can benefit from effective infrastructure investment to enhance connectivity, intra-African trade, regional integration and economic transformation (Okoye, et al., 2025). Hence, the present paper explores the concept of Public-Private Partnership and sustainable infrastructural development in developing countries including the types of PPP, their contribution, challenges encountered in the implementation of PPP, the new opportunities and policy strategies to enhance the effectiveness of PPP.

Conceptual Clarifications

Concept of Public-Private Partnership (PPP)

Public-Private Partnership (PPP) is a mutually beneficial, long-term partnership between public sector and private sector entities where the public sector and private sector share responsibilities, resources, risks and returns in infrastructure construction, and provision of public services (Mawejwe, 2024; Jayasena et al., 2024). PPPs have gained more prominence in developing countries due to governments' limited fiscal resources and financing gaps for infrastructure, rapid urbanisation and increasing demand for efficient public services (Mofokeng et al., 2024; Jayasena et al., 2024). The private sector can be involved in infrastructure financing, design, construction, operation and maintenance with the government remaining responsible for regulation and public interest targets as well as contractual oversight (Mawejwe, 2024). Recent studies have demonstrated that PPPs can help to mobilise private sector funding and expertise, as well as enhance the performance of infrastructure delivery and service provision, with the right institutional and regulatory frameworks (Jayasena et al., 2024; Mofokeng et al., 2024). PPPs can also play a role in the creation of smart infrastructure through the merging of public sector goals and the private sector's technological, financial and managerial capabilities (Jayasena et al., 2024). Therefore, PPP is not just a financing option, but a partnership strategy for managing and delivering infrastructure projects by integrating the strengths of both the public and private sectors to produce mutually beneficial development outcomes (Mawejwe, 2024; Obasa & Oluyomi, 2024).

The success of PPPs relies significantly on effective risk allocation, institutional arrangements, regulatory frameworks, project preparation, financing solutions, and coordination of stakeholders (Jayasena et al., 2024; Mukashev et al., 2024). The main distinction between PPPs and Privatisation is that the public sector in most cases retains the regulatory control and public service aspects of its public assets, instead of passing the ownership and control of the assets to private investors forever (Mawejwe, 2024). Likewise, PPPs are also no longer typical public procurement contracts as private partners are generally expected to take on a larger share of the project financing, construction, operation and maintenance and associated risks during a longer contractual period (Jayasena et al., 2024). Recent studies in developing countries show the vital role of political, legal, technical, financial and social issues in the success of PPP infrastructure projects (Jayasena et al., 2024; Mukashev et al., 2024). Also, research in the field of financing infrastructure in Africa shows that PPP and private investment can offer significant sources of long-term infrastructure financing, but the financing structure differs by country depending on the level of development and riskiness of each country (Mawejwe, 2024; Infrastructure financing in Africa, 2024). Thus, PPP can be seen as a formal collaboration between the government and private

sector entities aimed at leveraging the strengths of both sectors to provide infrastructure and public services that are both efficient and innovative, while also upholding public accountability (Mofokeng et al., 2024; Jayasena et al., 2024).

Concept of Sustainable Infrastructural Development

Sustainable infrastructural development is defined as planning, financing, construction, operation, maintenance and upgrading of infrastructure that will have sustainable economic and social values, and protect the environment and strengthen resilience to future risks (Endo, 2024; Noah et al., 2025). It covers transportation, energy, water and sanitation, healthcare, education, telecommunications, digital infrastructure and other infrastructure needed to enable economic and social activities (Noah et al., 2025). The concept is not limited to the provision of infrastructure, but extends to the economic, environmental, social, resilient, efficient resource use, and good governance aspects of the lifecycle of infrastructure (Endo, 2024). This is especially relevant in developing countries where there are still significant infrastructure gaps and climate change, population growth, urbanisation and financial constraints raise the demand for infrastructure that is durable and affordable in the long-term (Noah et al., 2025). There are striking variations in infrastructure development in Africa, as illustrated by recent research (Noah et al., 2025), which indicates that policies are required to ensure more balanced, resilient, and sustainable infrastructure development. Sustainable infrastructure is thus the physical and institutional basis of expanded productivity, enhanced connectivity, expanded trade, expanded investment and expanded socioeconomic transformation (Mofokeng et al., 2024; Noah et al., 2025).

Social and environmental aspects of sustainable infrastructural development play an equal role as the infrastructure needs to enhance access to basic services while reducing the environmental impact and increasing the ability of the community to respond to economic and climate shocks (Endo, 2024; Noah et al., 2025). Sustainable infrastructure thus needs to foster affordability, accessibility, safety, and infrastructure resilience and equitable access to infrastructure benefits across different regions and socio-economic groups (Noah et al., 2025). Furthermore, the planning and execution of infrastructure projects are increasingly considering climate resilience, environmental protection, new technologies and lifecycle. (Endo, 2024). Additional research on PPP infrastructure projects in Nigeria also shows that the rules and institutional framework of PPP projects ought to address issues of environmental and social sustainability from the project planning phase (Adebayo et al., 2025). This implies that sustainable infrastructure should not be realized just by raising investment; there is also a need to have suitable institutions, governance mechanisms, funding sources, environmental protection measures, and involve stakeholders (Adebayo et al., 2025; Endo, 2024). Therefore, sustainable infrastructural development can be seen as an integrated approach of providing infrastructure that is economically productive, socially inclusive, environmentally responsible, technologically adaptable, and resilient throughout its entire lifecycle (Noah et al., 2025; Adebayo et al., 2025).

Furthermore, Okoye, Ikegbunam, Ilo, and Onyejegbu (2023) evaluated the impacts of public administration to educational development in Nigeria, from a historical approach. They opined that good public administrative mechanism is still the key prerequisite for the development of education in terms of policy formulation, allocation of resources, institutional oversight, and the provision of educational facilities. The authors stated that educational development is not only a matter of providing more money but also of the need for good governance, a strong implementation of government policies, and alignment with and participation of relevant stakeholders in the public institutions. The study further discussed the needed efforts to enhance the institutional governance and administrative capacity for better educational outcomes and access to quality education. The results indicate that although PPPs could be used to fund educational infrastructure, they would rely heavily on effective public-sector management and institutional coordination to be successful.

Theoretical Framework

Theory is the conceptual underpinning for a research study. It defines the assumptions, principles and relationships that underlie the analysis of a research problem and help with a systematic interpretation of results. To understand why Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) and sustainable infrastructural development have been implemented, a number of theories have been used in studies related to PPPs and

sustainable infrastructural development. Some of these theories are: Public Choice Theory, Agency Theory, Stakeholder Theory, Institutional Theory, Transaction Cost Economics, and Resource Dependence Theory (Grimsey & Lewis, 2004; Hodge & Greve, 2019). Given these differences, however, the primary focus of this paper is on Public Choice Theory and Stakeholder Theory, which offer a thorough understanding of the economic, institutional and governance aspects of PPP in developing countries.

Public Choice Theory

But, the idea of Public Choice Theory was developed by the two people James M. Buchanan and Gordon Tullock in their book "The Calculus of Consent" (1962). The theory is used in the political arena to guide the economic process of decision-making and public administration, and is based on the concept that the people, both in the public and the private sector, are rational agents who try to maximize their own self-interest. Unlike the traditional theories of public administration which state that every government official acts in the best interests of the public, the Public Choice Theory suggests that the government officials, the bureaucracy and the public institutions may have their personal, political or organizational interests which can cause inefficiency, waste, and ineffective allocation of public resources (Buchanan & Tullock, 1962).

The theory came out of a realization of the perceived inefficiency of the government in providing public goods and services. It argues that government monopolies can be plagued by bureaucracy, high administrative costs, political manipulation, corruption, lack of accountability and poor service delivery. Public Choice Theory therefore proposes more to rely on market forces and private sector involvement to deliver public infrastructure and services more efficiently, through innovation and value for money (Mueller, 2003).

Public Choice Theory can offer a compelling reason for the involvement of the private sector in public infrastructure projects within the framework of Public-Private Partnerships. The theory suggests that private entities tend to act in ways that are efficient, competitive, innovative and incentivized. Therefore, private finance can be used to improve the quality of infrastructure, project delivery time, operational efficiency and lower project costs through the participation of private partners in financing, designing, constructing, operating and maintaining infrastructure. Governments, however, focus on policy formulation, regulation, monitoring and protection of public interests, while private partners bring in technical skills, funding and managerial experience (Grimsey & Lewis, 2004).

There have been some objections from a number of scholars to Public Choice Theory. The theory has come under fire for its focus on economic efficiency and self-interest, and its lack of attention to social equity, environmental sustainability and public welfare. Over-reliance on market mechanisms could drive up prices over social inclusion and affordability needs. Thus, good government regulation is still needed to ensure that PPP projects serve both commercial and wider development interests (Hodge & Greve, 2019).

Even with these criticisms, the Public Choice Theory is still relevant to this study as it describes the reason why the governments in developing countries are opting for Public-Private Partnerships as an alternative management option for infrastructure financing and provision. In view of the growing fiscal restrictions, increasing infrastructure requirements and a lack of public funds, the theory offers a reasonable basis for explaining the increasing partnership of government and private investors to achieve sustainable infrastructural development.

Stakeholder Theory

The theory of Stakeholder was created by R. Edward Freeman in his book Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach (1984). The theory suggests that organisations must create value for all stakeholders involved with their activities, not just share holders and financial returns. Stakeholders are those who are interested or affected by an organization and help shape its performance and activities, as well as who are interested in receiving those benefits and activities: governments, investors, employees, customers and suppliers, host communities, civil society organisations, financial institutions, regulatory institutions, and the general public (Freeman, 1984).

Stakeholder Theory is especially relevant for PPP projects because they are comprised of a number of actors with different interests and responsibilities. The goals of governments are to enhance the provision of public services and economic

development, those of private investors are to achieve reasonable returns on investment, financial institutions aim to provide funding, the local communities aim to create job opportunities and improve their living conditions, and the role of regulatory agencies is to ensure compliance with legal, environmental and contractual requirements. Good coordination between these stakeholders is crucial in successfully implementing a project (Hodge & Greve, 2019).

However, Stakeholder Theory has also received some critique for not offering much direction on how organizations should address the competing demands of stakeholders in the event of a stakeholder conflict. This can be difficult to balance the governments, investors, host communities and regulatory agencies' expectations in large-scale infrastructure projects with multiple players. Nevertheless, the theory has been among the most used approaches to analysing collaborative governance and partnership arrangements.

Relevance of the Theoretical Framework to the Study
Public Choice Theory and Stakeholder Theory give a strong analytical tool for the study of PPPs and sustainable infrastructural development in developing countries. Public Choice Theory is a theory that explains the economic argument and the use of private sector organizations in the funding and delivery of infrastructure projects by focusing on efficiency, competition, innovation and resource stewardship. The Stakeholder Theory adds to this view by foregrounding the need for cooperation, participation, accountability, transparency and creation of sustainable value for all stakeholders associated with PPP projects.

These theories collectively make up a comprehensive theory of the economic and governance side of PPPs. They show that the effective institutions, governance and stakeholder engagement and the protection of public interest are also important for the success of PPPs alongside mobilising private finance and improving the operational efficiency. Hence, these theories are a good basis for analysing the problems and prospects of Public-Private Partnerships in developing sustainable infrastructural development in developing countries.

Institute models of Public-Private Partnership (PPP)

Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) include a variety of contractual agreements between public and private sector entities for the financing, design, construction, operation, maintenance, and management of public infrastructure and services. Different PPP models are suited for various projects, financial capacities of parties, risk level, government policy objectives, legal and regulatory frameworks, and duration of the partnership. There are variations in ownership, financing, responsibilities, risk sharing and asset transfer across each model (World Bank, 2020; Grimsey & Lewis, 2004).

The choice of a PPP project model is crucial for the implementation of infrastructure projects since it defines the level of private sector involvement, mechanisms for investment recovery, accountability and sustainability of the project. The following is a discussion of the major PPP models.

Service Contract

The most basic PPPs are called the service contract. This involves government ownership and management of public services, but a private company is given a contract for a certain service for a limited term, typically one to three years. These can include maintenance, billing, equipment servicing, information technology support, cleaning, waste disposal or technical consultancy (World Bank, 2020).

The contract is awarded to a private organization at an agreed price for services provided, and the operational, financial and investment risks are borne by the government. Service contracts are well positioned to be used in the private sector since private sector duties are limited. They tend to have a smaller effect on the financing of infrastructure projects as the government still funds capital investment.

Build–Operate–Transfer (BOT)
One form of PPP that is widely used globally is the Build–Operate–Transfer (BOT) model. BOT involves the private sector undertaking the financial, design, construction and management of an infrastructure project over a fixed concession period, where the private firm earns back the cost of the project by collecting user fees, tolls or government funds. The ownership of the infrastructure is

transferred to the government without any cost at the end of the concession period (World Bank, 2020).

Typical applications for BOT projects include highways, bridges, airports, seaports, railways, power plants and water treatment facilities. By allowing governments to tap into private capital without having to commit large public investments immediately, the model allows them to implement their policy with the least disruption to public finances. But, good contractual arrangements, clear procurement procedures and effective risk allocation are essential to the success of the BOT.

Build–Own–Operate (BOO)

Under the Build–Own–Operate (BOO), the private party funds, builds, owns, and operates the infrastructure without transferring the ownership of the infrastructure to the government. The private investor takes on significant financial and operational risk, but earns profits from user fees or through long-term contracts with government (Grimsey and Lewis, 2004).

BOO schemes are widely used in the electricity generation, telecommunication, industrial plant and renewable energy sectors where long-term private sector ownership is helpful to maximise investment efficiency and technological innovation. But the governments have to set up the effective mechanism to safeguard public interest and to curb the monopoly.

Importance of Public-Private Partnership in Sustainable Infrastructural Development

In developing countries, where the fiscal pressure of governments is increasing, and the gap of infrastructure is growing large, Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) have turned into an essential tool for infrastructural sustainable development. The world's largest financial, managerial, technological and operational assets are the private sector, and the public sector benefits from its regulatory capabilities and development goals. PPPs bring together these two capacities to provide infrastructure for sustainable economic growth, social inclusion and environmental protection (World Bank, 2020). The impact of PPPs goes beyond infrastructure financing, it can also include governance improvement, service improvement, institutional development, and sustainable national development.

Mobilization of Private Capital for Infrastructure Development

The capacity of PPs to attract private sector financing for infrastructure development is one of the most important impacts of PPs. Fiscal capacity is constrained in many developing countries because of shrinking government revenues, rising public debt and a variety of competing expenditure demands. Governments therefore often face difficulties to finance their critical infrastructure projects with public financing. PPPs enable the private sector to invest in infrastructure and provide an alternative funding source to public money, which helps to stimulate infrastructure investment and alleviate pressure on public budgets (OECD, 2021).

Private funding will allow governments to execute projects that would be impractical without it, including highways, railways, airports, seaports, power plants, hospitals, schools, water supply, digital infrastructure, etc., due to funding constraints.

Boosting operational efficiency

Private sector entities have more managerial knowledge, technical expertise, and efficiency of operation than many public sector entities. PPP provides governments with modern management practices, innovation, performance-based service delivery and effective maintenance systems. This frequently leads to better project quality, lower operations costs, timely project completion and better service delivery (Grimsey and Lewis, 2004).

While public procurement might suffer from delays and inefficiency in the project implementation, the key features of PPP contracts are measurable performance standards, accountability and constant monitoring. As a result, infrastructure assets are maintained effectively over their life cycle.

Innovation and Technology Transfer

Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) allow the transfer of advanced technology, expertise, and innovative management from the private sector to public institutions. International private investors are increasingly bringing in new technology, such as modern engineering, digital technologies, intelligent transport systems, renewable energy solutions, automated project management tools and innovative financing mechanisms, which enhance infrastructure quality and operational performance (World Bank, 2020).

The technical capacity of government agencies or local contractors is enhanced through technology transfer, and knowledge sharing, research, innovation and institutional development are fostered.

Contribution to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) agreed to by the United Nations in 2015 are essential to be supported by Public-Private Partnerships (P.P.P.). PPPs directly contribute to Goal 9 (Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure), and indirectly contribute to the other SDGs they support, including Goal 3 (Good Health and Well-being), Goal 4 (Quality Education), Goal 6 (Clean Water and Sanitation), Goal 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy), Goal 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth), Goal 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities), and Goal 13 (Climate Action). PPPs are an important tool for achieving sustainable development on a global level by fostering resilient infrastructure, technological innovation, sustainability and inclusive economic growth (United Nations, 2015).

Public-Private Partnership and Infrastructure Development in Developing Nations

The growing need for developing countries to overcome the persistent lack of infrastructure has made Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) a key policy tool. The need for modern infrastructure has rapidly increased in many LMIC due to population growth, urbanization, industrialization, technological development and the desire to enhance public service provisioning. But in many instances, governments' financial and institutional resources have proven to be inadequate for these emerging demands. As a result, PPPs have become a novel and creative approach to leveraging private sector resources for infrastructure development—public sector resources, for their part—along with private sector resources such as private capital, assets, know-how, and managerial efficiency, and technological innovation (World Bank, 2020).

Transportation infrastructure is one of the most important PPPs' contributions in developing countries. Transportation systems are efficient to enable trade, lower logistics costs, promote regional integration and boost economic productivity. Many developing nations have built and upgraded roads, bridges, railways, airports and seaports under PPP. The projects have helped to better connect urban and rural areas, make travel easier for goods and people and promoted commercial life. Private investors have also brought in the use of modern engineering practices, better maintenance standards and a quicker delivery of projects (Grimsey & Lewis, 2004).

Similar Public-Private Partnerships have also been useful for the energy sector. There is an undeniable link between reliable electricity and industrialization, business growth, human health care, education and technology development. However, due to low public investments, still many developing countries suffer from low electricity generation and unreliable power supply. Domestic and foreign private investors have been attracted to invest in power generation, electricity transmission, renewable energy and distribution infrastructure through the use of PPPs. Such collaborations have helped to bring about better energy access, fostering clean energy technologies and increasing the efficiency of electricity service delivery (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2021).

PPPs have played a large role in improving access to potable water and modern sanitation facilities in the water supply and sanitation sector. Private sector involvement has improved efficiency, mitigated water losses, brought in new water treatment technologies and improved revenue collection processes. Better water and sanitation facilities have had a beneficial impact on public health, minimizing waterborne diseases, fostering better hygiene practices and promoting environmental sustainability. However, affordability and equitable access will continue to be a policy challenge which needs to be addressed through effective government regulation (United Nations, 2015).

In several developing countries, PPPs have been used to change healthcare facilities. Governments have partnered with private entities to build hospitals, diagnostic centers, specialist health care facilities, medical laboratories, and pharmaceutical facilities under PPPs. The investments have facilitated access to high-quality health care services, improved medical technology, better management of the health sector, and lessened the burden on public health institutions. In public health crises, like the COVID-19 pandemic, PPPs proved to be essential in financing health systems, logistics of healthcare and emergency response systems (World Bank, 2020).

The education sector also has seen a number of PPP initiatives. In developing countries, the government

increasingly partners with the private sector to fund, build, rehabilitate and operate educational facilities. PPPs have enabled the provision of classroom facilities, laboratory facilities, library facilities, digital learning infrastructure, student accommodation facilities. In addition to infrastructure, private sector involvement has helped to foster educational technology, vocational training, research, and institutional capacity building to enhance the quality and access to education.

However, the outcomes of PPP project implementation in developing countries have been mixed. A number of projects have suffered from delays, cost overspending, renegotiation of contract, political interference, poor regulatory control, corruption, lack of preparation of the project, project funding issues, and weak participation of stakeholders. Unrealistic demand predictions, currency fluctuations, less than robust institutional capacity, and inadequate legal frameworks have negatively affected the sustainability of some projects and investor confidence (Hodge & Greve, 2019). The challenges highlight that PPPs offer great opportunities, but require good governance, transparent procurement, contract management, and institutional arrangements to be successful.

Impediments to PPP in developing countries

Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) are emerging as an innovative approach to private funding and public outcomes in public infrastructure, but have faced many obstacles in their use in developing countries. While PPPs have helped develop a wide range of infrastructure services, including transportation, energy, health and education, water and telecommunications, the success of many projects has been hindered by delays, cost overruns, renegotiation of contracts, inadequate service delivery, and even project failures. Such hurdles make it difficult for PPPs to help realize sustainable infrastructural development and deter private-sector investment. The key issues that are to be considered for the implementation of PPPs in developing countries are discussed below.

Inadequate legal and regulatory frameworks

Weakness of legal and regulatory frameworks is one of the most important challenges faced in implementing the PPP projects in developing countries successfully. For effective implementation of PPP, legislation, procurement process, contract enforceability, efficient processes for handling disputes and stable regulatory institutions are crucial. Nevertheless, there are many developing countries that still do not have the existing PPP legislation and proper regulatory agencies that are competent on the management of complicated infrastructure contracts. Poor legal protection can lead investors to doubt legal rights, make projects riskier and cause contractual disputes and project delays (World Bank, 2020).

The frequent changes to policies and inconsistent government decisions, along with the lack of effective implementation of contractual obligations, also have a negative impact on investor confidence and make PPP projects less attractive.

Corruption and Lack of Transparency

Corruption is one of the most prevalent problems plaguing PPP projects in many of the developing countries. Project implementation is often undermined by procurement irregularities, bribery, political patronage or contract inflation, manipulation of bidding processes and financial mismanagement. Insufficient transparency in project selection, in the negotiation and procurement of public works contracts leads to a lack of competition and can lead to low value for public investment (OECD, 2021).

Corruption is not only a cost driver, but also a problem of public confidence, of lack of accountability, and it deters good private investors from infrastructure projects.

Political Instability and Policy Inconsistency

Political instability is another significant challenge to successful implementation of PPPs. Administrative changes frequently lead to a change of policies, renegotiation of existing contracts, termination of existing projects, or delays in the project implementation. Political manipulation of procurement and contract management processes also creates uncertainty and deters long-term private investment.

Concessions are usually very long, spanning 20 to 40 years, on infrastructure projects. As a result, investors need a stable political and policy climate that ensures that contractual commitments remain unchanged from changes in government (Hodge & Greve, 2019).

Inadequate Institutional Capacity

The role of capable public institutions with experience in project preparation, procurement, contract negotiation, financial analysis, legal

wording, engineering, risk management, and project monitoring in the successful implementation of PPP is key to its success. Unfortunately, many of the developing countries have been found to lack proper institutional capacity because of lack of qualified professionals, little technical expertise, weak administrative systems, and lack of experience in handling complex PPP projects (World Bank, 2020).

Shortage of long-term funding

Large investment is needed for infrastructure projects over an extended period of time. In many developing countries, however, the financial markets are still underdeveloped, and it is not easy for the private investor to get cheap long-term loans. High interest rates, narrow capital markets, volatile exchange rates, and macroeconomic instability are significant factors that increase the cost of financing projects, and decrease the financial viability of PPP projects (African Development Bank, 2018).

Poor Risk Allocation

One of the key principles of Public-Private Partnerships is risk allocation. The responsibility for project risks should be assigned to a party that is best suited to managing them. In many developing countries, however, risks are shifted towards the private investor or kept needlessly by the governments. Risk allocation that isn't appropriate can make investments unappealing, make a project more expensive, lead to renegotiation of the contract, and can threaten the sustainability of a project (Grimsey and Lewis, 2004).

Risk management is a process that needs to be carried out with great caution in order to understand financial, operational, environmental, political, technological and demand-related risks before the project is implemented.

Lack of Project Preparation and Feasibility Studies

The most common reason for many PPP projects failing is poor project preparation. Project delays and losses due to poor feasibility studies, unrealistic demand projections, inadequate environmental studies, incorrect cost projections, and lack of consultation with stakeholders are common. Inadequately prepared projects also result in a higher risk of renegotiation of contracts and disputes during execution (World Bank, 2020).

Therefore, a detailed project preparation is very crucial for technical feasibility, financial viability, environmental sustainability and social acceptability.

Contractual Disputes and Renegotiations

Long-term PPP contracts are a complex process in and of themselves, given that they involve various stakeholders and that economic conditions, technological innovations, and public needs change over time. Disagreements often emerge over tariff changes, project requirements, revenue allocation, project management, and contractual agreements.

Frequently renegotiated contracts lead to uncertainty and uncertainty involves increased project costs, delayed projects and reduces investor confidence. Hence, effective dispute resolution mechanisms and a clear contractual framework are essential to the successful implementation of PPPs (Hodge & Greve, 2019).

Weak Monitoring and Evaluation Systems

Monitoring and evaluation are critical to the success of PPP projects to make sure that they reach their goals. But there are few instruments for monitoring, indicators for performance, independent regulatory bodies and accountability mechanisms in many developing countries. Weak supervision means that project shortcomings, cost overruns, failure to maintain the projects, and contractual non-compliance can continue for a long time without being addressed in a timely fashion (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, 2019).

Continuous monitoring increases visibility, quality of the services provided and adherence to contractual requirements for the entire duration of the project.

Public Opposition and Limited Stakeholder Engagement

It is crucial to secure public acceptance and stakeholder participation in order to make PPP projects successful. Affected communities, civil society groups and local governments are frequently not included in project planning and decision-making processes in many developing countries. No consultation can lead to community resistance and protest, land acquisition issues, litigation and project delays.

Stakeholder engagement helps to increase transparency, foster public trust, design projects

better, reduce conflicts, and make infrastructure projects more sustainable in the long run (Freeman, 1984).

Prospects of Public-Private Partnership in Developing Nations

Although several issues face the implementation of Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) in developing countries, the future of this partnership is still very promising. Infrastructure deficit, the high speed of urbanization, new technology, population growth, globalization and more limited budgets have made PPPs an essential tool for sustainable infrastructure development. Increasing collaboration with the private sector is seen as more important for governments to achieve national development goals and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Therefore, PPPs are likely to become an increasingly important source of financing, building, operation and maintenance of critical infrastructure in developing economies (World Bank, 2020).

Increased Private Sector Investment

Private financing of infrastructure through PPPs may be one of the most important opportunities. The gap in infrastructure investment in developing countries has been growing and expanding over time, and it is now becoming more and more important to rely on private investment to fill this gap. PPPs create an enabling environment for governments to access domestic and foreign funds for infrastructure without undue strain on public finances. Developing countries can hope to see more institutional investors, commercial banks, pension funds, sovereign wealth funds, and international infrastructure developers joining in as regulatory environments improve and they become more transparent (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2021).

Bridging the Infrastructure Financing Gap

The developing nations have a huge infrastructure demand in transportation, energy, healthcare, education, housing, water supply, sanitary, and information/electronic connectivity which demands huge amount of money to serve. These investments cannot be funded from public budgets. PPPs thus provide a sustainable financing but also private capital mobilization, which helps to speed up the delivery of infrastructure and limit public borrowing (World Bank, 2020).

PPP's will continue to be one of the key methods to meet long-term infrastructure needs as governments continue to move towards diversifying their infrastructure financing options.

Security Enhancement

This study recognizes that external security and governance threats are still present in the implementation of PPP. For instance, Nigeria's socio-political landscape, such as insecurity and terrorism, can obstruct infrastructure investment and development by raising project expenses, deterring investors, and causing delays in project implementation. Terrorism, and the activities of Boko Haram and separatist groups in particular, has caused huge economic damage, reduced investor confidence and damaged national development efforts as observed by Ilo, Okoye & Onyejiegu (2023).

Advancement of Technology and Innovation

The potential for PPPs in the future is enormous, given the pace of technological progress. The private sector has cutting-edge engineering skills, digital technologies, AI, smart infrastructure systems, renewable energy technologies and modern project management skills that can help to enhance infrastructure efficiency and sustainability.

Strategies for improving PPPs in sustainable Infrastructural Development in developing countries

Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) have the potential to facilitate sustainable infrastructural developments, and this is heavily reliant on the creation of an investment climate that enables transparency, accountability, and efficient project delivery. PPPs have proven to be a very promising solution to the problem of infrastructure shortages, but there remain a number of institutional, legal, financial and governance issues that hinder their effectiveness in many developing countries. Therefore, a broader approach to building the capacity of PPPs and their developmental effects is needed, both on the government and the development partner and investor sides. As far as improving PPP implementation in developing countries is concerned, the following approaches are deemed to be essential.

II. CONCLUSION

Public Private Partnerships (PPPs) are one of the most effective and innovative solutions to the chronic

infrastructure gap facing developing countries. The conceptual and theoretical background, operational models, importance, implementation issues and future prospects of PPPs were discussed in this paper, along with suggestions for making them more effective in creating sustainable infrastructure. The analysis shows that PPPs have gone beyond being a standard procurement approach to being a strategic tool for raising private sector finance, expertise, management, and technology to provide public infrastructure and services.

The study concluded that sustainable infrastructural development plays an integral part in the process of long-term economic development, social inclusion, environmental sustainability, and improvement of quality of life. In addition to the positive impacts on quality of life, adequate infrastructure for transportation, energy, healthcare, education, water supply, sanitation, housing and digital connectivity is essential to national development for national trade, industrialization, employment generation, investment, and efficient public service delivery. But the high cost of delivering infrastructure that is needed has proved to be a challenge for many developing countries' fiscal policy, and partnerships with the private sector are thus increasingly required (World Bank, 2020).

The paper went on to show that PPPs have a number of advantages, such as bringing in long-term private sector funding, increasing operational efficiency, effective risk sharing, technology transfer, building institutional capacity, job creation, better service delivery and economic development. The key benefits of PPPs to countries to close the infrastructure deficit and support sustainable development cannot be overstated. In addition, PPPs play a major role in the realization of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) with focus on the SDG-13 on resilient infrastructure, SDG-9 on innovation, SDG-7 on clean energy, SDG-11 on sustainable cities, SDG-14 on quality education, SDG-10 on health and SDG-8 on economic growth (United Nations, 2015).

However, the paper found that there are a number of factors which remain a constraint on the PPP rollout in developing countries. The lack of legal and regulatory frameworks, corruption, political instability, limited institutional capacity, access to long-term finance, inadequate project preparation, inappropriate risk allocation, weak monitoring systems, policy inconsistencies and insufficient stakeholder involvement are key impediments to project success. These problems lower investor confidence, raise project costs, postpone project completion and decrease the viability of infrastructure investments (Hodge & Greve, 2019).

However, Public-Private Partnerships are being seen as an effective and essential approach to infrastructural development in developing countries. With good design, effective regulation and clear implementation, PPPs can change the way infrastructure is delivered, enhance public service delivery, spark economic growth, decrease poverty, provide jobs, boost institutional performance and improve the quality of life. Therefore, supporting the development of PPP frameworks must continue to be a priority for governments, policy makers, development partners and private investors as they strive to deliver inclusive and sustainable national development.

III. RECOMMENDATIONS

In consideration of the results obtained in this research, the following recommendations are made to improve the sustainable infrastructural development process in developing countries through Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs):

1. Governments should improve legal and regulatory structures, by creating comprehensive PPP legislation, making policies consistent and developing independent regulatory institutions with the power to enforce contracts, to protect investors and to serve the public interest. To encourage long-term private investment and reduce contractual disputes, a stable legal environment is crucial (World Bank, 2020).
2. PPP should be transparent and accountable throughout the project cycle, defining these terms in practice. Governments should implement open and competitive procurement, enhance anti-corruption mechanisms, regularly have independent audits and publish project information. This will raise the public's trust and value for money (OECD, 2021).
3. Capacity of institutions to be enhanced by ongoing training and professional development of public officials engaged in PPP planning, procurement, negotiation,

project finance, monitoring and evaluation. It is also recommended that governments take steps to establish specialist PPP units with technical and financial skills to ensure effective coordination of infrastructure projects.

4. Project preparation should be comprehensive and become a pre-requisite for the implementation of projects. Governments should carry out comprehensive technical, financial, environmental, economic, legal and social feasibility studies to ensure that infrastructure projects are viable, sustainable and sensitive to national development priorities.
5. Government can encourage LTIF through the development of domestic capital markets, infrastructure bonds, infrastructure investments by pension funds, and improved relationships with multilateral development banks and development finance institutions. The measures will help alleviate financing challenges and enhance the bankability of PPP projects.
6. The risks should be allocated in accordance with internationally best practices and should allocate each project risk to the party who can manage it best. The implementation of suitable risk-sharing plans will benefit project performance, minimise unnecessary costs, boost investor confidence and make infrastructure investments more sustainable (Grimsey & Lewis, 2004).
7. Increase the involvement of stakeholders in the whole project process. Governments should actively engage local communities, civil society groups, private sector, regulatory bodies, professional organisations and development partners from the outset of project planning, through implementation, until monitoring and evaluation is undertaken. Good stakeholder management provides transparency, minimizes conflicts and helps projects gain acceptance (Freeman, 1984).
8. To reinforce monitoring and evaluation systems, measurable project performances indicators, independent monitoring institutions, digital monitoring technologies, and periodic project performance review must be established. Ongoing monitoring will help identify challenges to implementation and enhance accountability.
9. The developing countries should press for more international cooperation among institutions like the World Bank, African Development Bank, International Financial Corporation, and the United Nations for technical assistance, concessional financing, institutional support, and best practices in the field of PPP implementation.

The use of these recommendations will greatly improve the effectiveness of Public-Private Partnerships in developing countries. Their main contribution is to ensure more effective institutional governance, boost investor confidence, facilitate sustainable investments in infrastructure, boost public services, and speed up socio-economic development, while helping to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

REFERENCES

[1] A. Adebayo, K. Lulofs, and M. A. Heldeweg, “Rule settings focusing on sustainability of public-private partnership infrastructure projects in Nigeria: An IAD-framework based gap analysis,” *Public Works Management & Policy*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 370–410, 2026. SAGE

[2] African Development Bank, *African Economic Outlook 2018*. African Development Bank, 2018.

[3] African Development Bank Group, “African Development Bank Group and Private Infrastructure Development Group team up to scale-up domestic capital mobilization across Africa,” May 20, 2025. African Development Bank

[4] African Union Commission and Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, *Africa’s development dynamics 2025: Infrastructure, growth and transformation*. OECD Publishing, 2025. OECD

[5] J. M. Buchanan and G. Tullock, *The calculus of consent: Logical foundations of constitutional democracy*. University of Michigan Press, 1962.

[6] R. E. Freeman, *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Pitman Publishing, 1984.

[7] D. Grimsey and M. K. Lewis, *Public private partnerships: The worldwide revolution in*

infrastructure provision and project finance. Edward Elgar Publishing, 2004.

[8] G. A. Hodge and C. Greve, *The logic of public-private partnerships: The enduring interdependency of politics and markets*. Edward Elgar Publishing, 2019.

[9] K. O. Ilo, C. A. C. Okoye, and C. D. Onyejiegu, "Terrorism in contemporary Nigerian society: The case of Boko-Haram," *International Journal of Research (IJR)*, vol. 10, no. 9, pp. 58–75, 2023.

[10] N. S. Jayasena, D. W. M. Chan, M. M. Kumaraswamy, S. Seidu, E. M. A. C. Ekanayake, and F. M. Siu, "Adoption of public-private partnership (PPP) in smart infrastructure development projects in developing nations: An explorative structural equation modelling analysis," *Cities*, vol. 152, Art. no. 105232, 2024. ScienceDirect

[11] J. Mawejje, "Private participation in infrastructure financing in emerging market and developing economies: Evolution, constraints, and policies," *Global Policy*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 465–474, 2024.

[12] J. Mawejwe, "Private sector participation in infrastructure in emerging market and developing economies: Evolution, constraints, and policies," *Global Policy*, vol. 15, pp. 465–474, 2024.

[13] M. Mofokeng, A. L. Alhassan, and B. Zeka, "Public–private partnerships and economic growth: A sectoral analysis from developing countries," *International Journal of Construction Management*, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 1029–1037, 2024. Taylor & Francis

[14] D. C. Mueller, *Public choice III*. Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[15] S. O. O. Obasa and O. O. O. Oluyomi, "Public-private partnerships and sustainable development goals in Nigeria," *African Journal of Stability and Development*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 390–417, 2024. African Journal of Stability and Development

[16] C. A. C. Okoye, C. Osegbue, J. C. Azubuike, and B. C. Idigo, "Assessment of the impact of road transport infrastructure on intra-African trade volumes under African Continental Free Trade Area (2018–2024)," *International Journal Humanities Social Science and Management (IJHSSM)*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 1048–1058, 2025, ISSN 30486874.

[17] C. A. C. Okoye, C. Osegbue, C. Okelue, Emesiani, and I. Godspower, "Assessing the impact of Programme for Infrastructure Development on intra-African trade growth (2013–2023)," *International Journal Humanities Social Science and Management (IJHSSM)*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 1059–1068, Mar.–Apr. 2025, ISSN 30486874.

[18] C. A. C. Okoye, U. L. Ikegbunam, K. O. Ilo, and C. D. Onyejegbu, "Examining the place of public administration in Nigerian educational advancement from historical lenses," *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 98–101, 2023.

[19] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, *Recommendation of the Council on Principles for Public Governance of Public-Private Partnerships*. OECD Publishing, 2012.

[20] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, *Infrastructure governance review: Policy framework and best practices*. OECD Publishing, 2021.

[21] United Nations, *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. United Nations, 2015.

[22] United Nations, *World urbanization prospects 2022*. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2022.

[23] United Nations Development Programme, *Human Development Report 2023/2024: Breaking the Gridlock: Reimagining Cooperation in a Polarized World*. United Nations Development Programme, 2023.

[24] United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, *Guiding Principles on People-First Public-Private Partnerships for the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals*. United Nations, 2019.

[25] United Nations Environment Programme, *State of Finance for Nature 2021*. United Nations Environment Programme, 2021.

[26] World Bank, *Public-Private Partnerships Reference Guide (Version 3.0)*. World Bank, 2020.

[27] World Bank, *World Development Indicators 2024*. World Bank, 2024.

[28] World Commission on Environment and Development, *Our Common Future*. Oxford University Press, 1987.