

The Architecture of Belonging: A Comparative Study of Alienation and Identity in Maximum City and At Home in Enemy Land

SHITAL DESHMUKH
Pune University

Abstract- This paper explores the themes of alienation and identity in Suketu Mehta's *Maximum City: Bombay Lost and Found* and Saad Shazli's *At Home in Enemy Land*. Both texts, though different in context and narrative approach, engage deeply with the idea of belonging in complex socio-political environments. Mehta's account of Mumbai presents a fragmented urban identity shaped by migration, crime, and cultural diversity, while Shazli's narrative reflects the psychological and cultural tensions of living across hostile national and ideological boundaries. The study argues that belonging is not a fixed condition but an evolving structure shaped by memory, space, and social experience. Through comparative analysis, the paper highlights how both authors construct identity through experiences of displacement, negotiation, and adaptation.

Keywords: Belonging, alienation, identity, urban space, travel writing, Suketu Mehta, Saad Shazli

I. INTRODUCTION

In traditional discourse, belonging is frequently framed as a state of permanence, characterized by stability, familiarity, and a deep-seated rootedness in a specific geography. However, contemporary literature increasingly portrays belonging as a volatile and precarious condition, incessantly reshaped by the forces of global movement, systemic conflict, and intense cultural interaction. Travel writing and narrative non-fiction have emerged as vital genres for mapping this shift, as they document the granular ways in which individuals must negotiate their identities within unfamiliar, contested, or rapidly changing environments. In these narratives, "home" is no longer a static point of origin but a negotiated space that must be constantly defended or reimagined in the face of external pressures.

Suketu Mehta's *Maximum City: Bombay Lost and Found* provides a visceral exploration of this dynamic within the sprawling, chaotic landscape of Mumbai a city defined by its contradictions and the sheer density of its competing narratives. Through a blend of immersive personal narrative and investigative rigor, Mehta delves into the lives of those on the city's fringes, from displaced migrants and underworld figures to the ordinary citizens navigating the urban crush. His portrayal reveals that urban belonging is fundamentally fragmented; it is a mosaic of survival strategies where identity is often a performance dictated by the need to claim space in a city that is simultaneously welcoming and exclusionary. For Mehta's subjects, belonging to the "maximum city" is not about total integration but about finding a way to exist within its beautiful and terrifying collisions.

Saad Shazli's *At Home in Enemy Land* offers a related yet distinct perspective, focusing on the psychological and social toll of inhabiting spaces defined by acute political tension and ideological fracture. His narrative examines how identity is constructed and maintained in environments where one's very presence is a site of suspicion and where belonging is subject to constant interrogation by the state or the community. This comparative study explores these texts to argue that belonging functions as an "architecture" a complex, deliberate structure built from social, cultural, and emotional forces. By analysing how alienation and identity are meticulously negotiated in both the overcrowded metropolis and the divided borderland, the paper suggests that the feeling of being "at home" is a structural achievement, requiring constant maintenance against the shifting sands of political and social reality.

II. CONCEPTUALIZING BELONGING AND ALIENATION

Belonging and alienation exist in a state of constant, reflexive tension; they are not binary opposites but interconnected forces that define the modern experience of place. While belonging implies a sense of inclusion and emotional connection, alienation represents the fracture of those bonds, manifesting as a visceral sense of exclusion and dislocation. In the contemporary world, these concepts often overlap, forcing individuals to navigate “liminal” spaces where they may feel partially integrated into a community or city yet remain fundamentally estranged by its deeper social or systemic currents. This duality suggests that one can be physically present in a space while psychologically remaining an outsider, making the search for a cohesive identity a continuous struggle between being “in” and being “of” a place.

The metaphor of “architecture” serves as a powerful framework for understanding this dynamic, suggesting that belonging is a constructed achievement rather than an inherent right or a natural state. It is a structure meticulously built from the raw materials of geography, shared cultural idioms, personal memory, and the overarching power structures that dictate who is allowed to claim space. Both Suketu Mehta and Saad Shazli explore how these external and internal factors dictate the blueprint of identity. By viewing belonging as an architectural process, their narratives reveal the labour involved in “rooting” oneself showing that the feeling of being home requires a constant negotiation with the social and political foundations upon which a community is built.

In *Maximum City*, this architecture is inextricably linked to the frantic, vertical, and often hostile urban environment of Mumbai. Here, belonging is a prize to be won within a rapidly changing metropolis, where individuals from diverse backgrounds must carve out a niche amidst the urban crush. In contrast, *At Home in Enemy Land* presents a form of belonging shaped by the rigid, invisible walls of political and ideological boundaries. In Shazli’s narrative, the structure of identity is under constant pressure from state-sanctioned narratives of enmity, creating a sense of tension and uncertainty that makes “home” feel like a

contested territory. Together, these texts illustrate that whether in the chaos of the city or the silence of the borderland, the architecture of belonging is always susceptible to the shifting winds of social and political change.

III. URBAN ALIENATION IN MAXIMUM CITY

Suketu Mehta’s *Maximum City* portrays Mumbai as a high-octane epicentre of energy and diversity, yet one perpetually fractured by systemic fragmentation and staggering inequality. The city functions as a massive, living archive of the human condition, housing a kaleidoscope of individuals from disparate regions, cultures, and socioeconomic backgrounds. This density creates a sense of belonging that is as complex as it is contradictory; it is a place where one can be part of a vast, pulsing crowd while remaining profoundly isolated. For the multitude of voices Mehta documents, Mumbai is a site of radical reinvention a city that promises a fresh start while simultaneously generating a deep sense of alienation through its sheer scale and the transactional nature of its social structures.

Migrants, in particular, embody the “liminal” architecture of the city, struggling to anchor themselves in a landscape that is paradoxically inclusive in its opportunities but exclusionary in its social and physical hierarchies. Mehta’s own narrative trajectory serves as a mirror for this tension; as a returning expatriate, he occupies the uneasy position of the “insider-outsider.” This dual vantage point allows him to dissect urban identity with both the intimacy of a local and the critical detachment of a stranger. Through this lens, *Maximum City* reveals that belonging in the modern metropolis is never a static or guaranteed state, but rather a fluid and unstable negotiation a constant process of seeking “home” within a city that is always in a state of flux.

IV. POLITICAL AND CULTURAL DISPLACEMENT IN AT HOME IN ENEMY LAND

In contrast, *At Home in Enemy Land* focuses on the visceral experience of displacement within deeply

politicized environments, where the very ground beneath one's feet is a site of contention. Shazli's narrative explores how individuals are forced to navigate spaces where rigid national identities and long-standing political conflicts dictate the rhythms of everyday life. In such a landscape, the domestic sphere is never truly private; it is perpetually invaded by the macro-politics of the state. This environment transforms the act of living into a continuous performance of identity, where every social interaction is filtered through the lens of political alignment and historical grievance.

The concept of "enemy land" evokes a space where belonging is not only contested but fundamentally precarious. In these regions, individuals must negotiate their sense of self within a pervasive framework of suspicion and division, which inevitably breeds a profound sense of alienation. The "enemy" is not just a figure across a border, but a category that can be imposed upon the self or one's neighbours at any moment. This creates a psychological architecture of exclusion, where the desire for connection is constantly thwarted by the reality of ideological silos, leaving the individual to inhabit a home that feels like a foreign, and often hostile, territory.

Shazli's narrative brings the psychological dimensions of displacement into sharp focus, emphasizing the heavy emotional toll of living in environments where one is perpetually "othered." The experience of belonging becomes fragmented, shattered by the collision of external state pressures and the internal conflicts of the heart. For those in Shazli's world, identity is not a cohesive whole but a series of defensive fragments gathered in response to a hostile environment. Ultimately, the narrative reveals that displacement is not just a physical movement across borders, but a mental state that occurs when the architecture of "home" is rebuilt into an architecture of enmity.

V. IDENTITY AS NEGOTIATION

Both texts serve as powerful evidence that identity is never a static or finished product, but rather a fluid construct that is continuously negotiated in response to the environment. In *Maximum City*, this negotiation is

primarily shaped by the relentless velocity of the urban experience. Individuals in Mumbai are portrayed as remarkably adaptive agents who must constantly recalibrate their sense of self to survive the demands and seize the fleeting opportunities of city life. In this sprawling metropolis, identity is often performative and utilitarian, shifting as the subject moves from the cramped quarters of a slum to the high-stakes boardrooms of corporate India or the neon-lit stages of Bollywood.

In *At Home in Enemy Land*, the negotiation of identity occurs within a much more rigid and pressurized context of political and cultural tension. Here, the struggle is not against urban anonymity but against the heavy labels imposed by national conflict. Individuals must find a way to reconcile their private, personal sense of self with the external expectations and severe constraints of a society that demands clear allegiances. Identity in this space becomes a delicate balancing act between maintaining internal cultural integrity and navigating the "enemy" labels projected by the outside world, revealing the profound psychological labour required to remain "oneself" in a divided landscape.

This ongoing process of negotiation reflects the inherently dynamic nature of identity, which evolves as a living response to changing external circumstances. Both authors emphasize that identity is not something one is born with or simply possesses, but something that is actively constructed through interaction, friction, and adaptation. By highlighting the role of lived experience over abstract categorization, Mehta and Shazli demonstrate that who we are is defined by where we move and how we respond to the challenges of our surroundings. Ultimately, these narratives suggest that identity is a journey of constant becoming, shaped by the architecture of the spaces we inhabit and the people we encounter within them.

VI. MEMORY, SPACE, AND BELONGING

Memory serves as the essential connective tissue of identity, functioning as a primary lens through which the experience of belonging is filtered and interpreted. In *Maximum City*, memories of the past whether they are of a rural village left behind or an older, more

navigable version of Mumbai actively influence how individuals anchor themselves in the present. These recollections provide a vital sense of continuity, allowing the urban subject to weave a coherent narrative of selfhood amidst the city's chaotic transformation. For Mehta's characters, memory is often a grounding force, a psychological bridge that maintains a connection to their origins even as they are swept up in the high-velocity churn of the metropolis.

In *At Home in Enemy Land*, however, memory frequently serves to sharpen the sting of displacement, acting as a painful point of comparison between a current, fractured environment and a lost place of origin. Here, memory does not bridge the gap but rather illuminates it, highlighting the stark contrast between a remembered "home" and a present "enemy land." This persistent awareness of what has been lost or forcibly altered contributes to a deep-seated sense of alienation, as the individual remains psychologically tethered to a past that no longer exists or is now inaccessible. In this context, memory is less of a comfort and more of a haunting reminder of the external forces that have dismantled the architecture of the subject's belonging.

Space is equally central to both narratives, acting as the physical stage upon which these internal dramas of identity are performed. The sprawling, vertical, and hyper-dense urban landscape of Mumbai creates a specific brand of belonging that is defined by the struggle for physical and social space. Conversely, the politically charged environments in Shazli's narrative shape belonging through the invisible but rigid boundaries of ideology and state power. Both authors demonstrate that geography is never neutral; the physical environment dictates the possibilities of connection and the depths of exclusion. Ultimately, these narratives show that belonging is a spatial practice, where the architecture of the external world and the landscape of the internal memory are in a constant, often turbulent, dialogue.

belonging, suggesting that identity is not a natural inheritance but a structural achievement. Just as a physical building is the result of specific designs, raw materials, and its surrounding environment, the feeling of "home" is constructed through the assembly of social networks, cultural markers, and deep-seated emotional investments. This perspective shifts the focus from belonging as a passive state to belonging as an active project one that requires a foundation of shared history and the scaffolding of daily interaction to remain standing against the pressures of the modern world.

In *Maximum City*, this architecture is portrayed as inherently dynamic and fluid, mirroring the high-velocity and ever-shifting nature of urban existence. In the Mumbai metropolis, the structures of belonging are often modular and adaptive, allowing individuals to navigate a landscape of constant demographic and physical change. In contrast, the architecture of belonging in *At Home in Enemy Land* is significantly more rigid and constrained, heavily influenced by the uncompromising blueprints of political boundaries and historical conflict. Here, the "building" of identity is hemmed in by the walls of ideology and state surveillance, making the process of finding a secure place within the community a far more fraught and restricted endeavour.

Despite these divergent contexts, both texts demonstrate that belonging is never a stable or permanent condition; it is a structure that is perpetually under construction. Whether in the frantic rush of a city or the tense silence of a borderland, the sense of being "at home" must be continuously built and rebuilt through ongoing experience and human interaction. It is a maintenance-heavy existence where the subject must constantly repair the cracks caused by alienation and reinforce the foundations of their identity. Ultimately, these narratives reveal that the architecture of belonging is only as strong as the lived experiences that support it, highlighting the profound resilience required to inhabit the contemporary world.

VII. THE ARCHITECTURE OF BELONGING

The metaphor of architecture provides a sophisticated framework for understanding the fabrication of

VIII. CONCLUSION

This comparative study demonstrates that *Maximum City* and *At Home in Enemy Land* offer profound

insights into the intricate complexities of belonging, alienation, and identity, utilizing narrative non-fiction as a vital tool for mapping the modern psyche. Through their distinct narrative approaches Mehta's immersive, multi-vocal journalism and Shazli's focused exploration of ideological friction both authors reveal how individuals must navigate spaces defined by staggering diversity, systemic conflict, and relentless change. The analysis proves that belonging is far from a fixed or inherent state; rather, it is a dynamic and evolving process shaped by the interplay of personal memory, physical space, and social interaction, often resulting in a contradictory experience where inclusion and estrangement coexist. Ultimately, the study underscores the necessity of viewing belonging as a constructed and negotiated phenomenon an "architecture" of the self that must be perpetually maintained. By examining these narratives, we gain a deeper appreciation for the fluid ways in which identity is forged in contemporary contexts, suggesting that home is not merely a place we find, but a space we actively build amidst the pressures of a shifting world.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ashcroft, Bill, Gareth Griffiths, and Helen Tiffin. *The Empire Writes Back: Theory and Practice in Post-Colonial Literatures*. 2nd ed., Routledge, 2002. [Routledge](#)
- [2] Bauman, Zygmunt. *Identity: Conversations with Benedetto Vecchi*. Polity Press, 2004.
- [3] Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.
- [4] Clifford, James. *Routes: Travel and Translation in the Late Twentieth Century*. Harvard UP, 1997.
- [5] Hall, Stuart. "Cultural Identity and Diaspora." *Identity: Community, Culture, Difference*, edited by Jonathan Rutherford, Lawrence & Wishart, 1990, pp. 222–37.
- [6] Mehta, Suketu. *Maximum City: Bombay Lost and Found*. Vintage Books, 2005. [Vintage](#)
- [7] Pratt, Mary Louise. *Imperial Eyes: Travel Writing and Transculturation*. 2nd ed., Routledge, 2008. [Routledge](#)
- [8] Said, Edward W. *Orientalism*. Penguin Books, 2003.
- [9] Shazli, Saad. *At Home in Enemy Land*. HarperCollins India, 2017.