

Role of Indian Knowledge Systems in Enhancing Scientific Strategies for Biodiversity Conservation

DR. PRIYANSHU JOSHI¹, PRAGYA SHRIVASTAVA², RANJANA VERMA^{3*}

¹, ², ³ Department of Zoology, RNTU, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India; Bherulal Patidar Govt. PG College, Mhow, Madhya Pradesh, India

*Corresponding Author: Dr. Priyanshu Joshi

Abstract- Biodiversity conservation is one of the major environmental concerns in the modern world. Due to increasing human activities, climate change, deforestation, and habitat loss, many plant and animal species are facing serious threats. Modern scientific methods such as remote sensing, Geographic Information Systems, ecological studies, genetic conservation, and artificial intelligence have helped improve biodiversity conservation efforts. However, traditional knowledge and practices developed by local communities are often not given enough importance. Indian Knowledge Systems contain valuable environmental knowledge that has been developed over centuries through close interaction with nature. These systems include practices such as sacred groves, traditional farming methods, community-based forest protection, use of medicinal plants, and sustainable use of natural resources. Such practices have played an important role in protecting biodiversity and maintaining ecological balance. This paper explores how Indian Knowledge Systems can support and strengthen modern scientific approaches to biodiversity conservation. It highlights the benefits of combining traditional ecological knowledge with contemporary scientific techniques to achieve more effective and sustainable conservation outcomes. The paper also discusses the challenges and policy measures needed for integrating traditional knowledge into present-day conservation planning.

Keywords: Indian Knowledge Systems, Biodiversity Conservation, Traditional Ecological Knowledge, Indigenous Knowledge.

I. INTRODUCTION

India is one of the world's 17 megadiverse countries and is home to a rich variety of plant and animal species. Although the country covers only about 2.4% of the Earth's land area, it supports nearly 8% of the world's recorded biodiversity. India's biological richness is closely linked with its long history of traditional environmental practices and cultural values. (Gadgil & Vartak, 1976) These traditions,

collectively known as Indian Knowledge Systems, include knowledge related to agriculture, forests, water management, medicinal plants, biodiversity conservation, and the sustainable use of natural resources. Ancient Indian texts such as the Vedas, Upanishads, Arthashastra, Vrikshayurveda, and Charaka Samhita describe the importance of living in harmony with nature and using natural resources responsibly. (Berkes 2018) Modern biodiversity conservation relies on scientific techniques such as Geographic Information Systems, remote sensing, ecological modelling, conservation genetics, environmental DNA, artificial intelligence, and ecological restoration. These technologies help researchers monitor ecosystems, identify threats, and develop conservation plans. However, scientific methods become more effective when combined with indigenous and local knowledge, which is based on generations of observation and practical experience. This combination improves community participation, supports better decision-making, and strengthens biodiversity conservation efforts.

II. EVOLUTION OF INDIAN KNOWLEDGE SYSTEM

Indian Knowledge Systems developed over centuries and provide early ideas about ecology, health, and sustainable resource use.

Vedic Ecology-Vedic literature shows a deep respect for nature.

- Nature was considered sacred and part of spiritual life.
- Rivers, trees, animals, and forests were worshipped. (Singh, 2017)
- Humans were expected to live in balance with nature.

- Forests were protected because they supported life. (Vannucci, 1994)

Panchamahabhuta -This concept explains that all matter is made of five elements:

- Earth (Prithvi)
- Water (Jal)
- Fire (Agni)
- Air (Vayu)
- Space (Akasha)

These elements must remain in balance for life to exist. (Kumar, 2019; Sharma & Dash, 2001)

Ayurveda-Ayurveda is India's traditional system of medicine.

- Uses plants, herbs, and natural resources for healing.
- Health depends on balance between body, mind, and environment. (Sharma *et.al.*, 2001)
- Seasonal changes affect human health.
- Focus on prevention rather than cure. (Patwardhan *et al.*, 2005)

Charaka Samhita-One of the oldest Ayurvedic texts on medicine.

- Describes medicinal plants and natural treatments.
- Emphasizes prevention of disease.
- Highlights importance of clean air, water, and food.
- Links environment with health. (Sharma, 2010)

Sushruta Samhita-Ancient Indian text on surgery and medical science.

- Describes surgical tools and procedures.
- Includes anatomical knowledge based on observation.
- Uses natural materials in treatments.
- Shows early scientific thinking. (Mukhopadhyaya, 2012)

Arthashastra-A governance and economics text by Kautilya.

- Rules for forest protection and wildlife conservation.
- Classification of forests and land use systems.
- Punishment for deforestation and poaching.

- Importance of water and resource management. (Kautilya, trans. Rangarajan, 1992)

Traditional Ecological Ethics-Indian traditions promote strong environmental ethics.

- Ahimsa: Respect for all living beings.
- Vasudhaiva Kutumbakam: World is one family.
- Sacred groves protect biodiversity naturally.
- Respect for plants, animals, and rivers. (Gadgil *et.al.*, 1976)

III. BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION: SCIENTIFIC PERSPECTIVE

Biodiversity conservation is a branch of science that deals with protecting the vasty of living organisms on Earth, including plants, animals, and microorganisms, along with the ecosystems they form. It also studies how natural systems support human life and how human actions are affecting ecological balance. (Primack, 2014) Modern conservation science uses ecological principles and advanced tools to understand biodiversity loss and develop protection strategies. (IPBES, 2019)

Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services-Biodiversity is important because it provides essential ecosystem services that support human survival. These include provisioning services such as food, water, fuel, and medicines; regulating services such as climate regulation, flood control, and pollination; supporting services like soil formation and nutrient cycling; and cultural services such as recreation, education, and spiritual values. A healthy level of biodiversity ensures ecosystem stability and long-term sustainability of life on Earth. (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005)

Threats to Biodiversity-Biodiversity is rapidly decreasing due to several human-driven factors. The main causes include destruction and fragmentation of habitats due to deforestation and urban expansion, climate change, environmental pollution, overuse of natural resources such as hunting and fishing, and the spread of invasive alien species. These pressures disturb natural ecosystems and increase the risk of species extinction (Sala *et al.*, 2000; IPBES, 2019).

Modern Scientific Approaches to Biodiversity Conservation

Modern conservation strategies include both in-situ and ex-situ approaches. In-situ conservation protects species in their natural habitats through national parks, wildlife sanctuaries, and biosphere reserves. (Groom *et al.*, 2019) Ex-situ conservation involves protecting species outside their natural environment, such as in zoos, seed banks, and botanical gardens. In addition, modern technologies like Geographic Information Systems (GIS), remote sensing, environmental DNA (eDNA), and artificial intelligence (AI) are widely used for monitoring biodiversity and predicting ecological changes. (Primack, 2014)

IV. ROLE OF INDIAN KNOWLEDGE SYSTEMS IN BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION

Indian Knowledge Systems provide a strong foundation for biodiversity conservation through traditional practices, cultural beliefs, and community-based resource management. (Gadgil & Berkes, 1991) These systems emphasize harmony between humans and nature and support the sustainable use of natural resources. Many of these traditional practices are now recognized in ecological science as effective conservation strategies. (Berkes, 2018)

Sacred Groves as Community-Based Conservation Models-Sacred groves are forest patches protected by local communities due to religious and cultural beliefs. Because these areas are not disturbed, they preserve rich biodiversity, including rare and endemic species. (Gadgil & Vartak, 1976) They also help in maintaining ecological processes such as water regulation, soil conservation, and habitat protection. Scientific studies show that sacred groves act as important in-situ conservation sites and biodiversity hotspots. (Bhagwat & Rutte, 2006)

Traditional Agricultural Practices and Agro-Biodiversity-Traditional farming systems in India use mixed cropping, crop rotation, and indigenous seed varieties, which help maintain agro-biodiversity. (Altieri, 2004) These practices reduce dependency on chemical fertilizers and pesticides and improve soil fertility and ecosystem resilience. Conservation of

local crop varieties also ensures genetic diversity and food security, which is important for adapting to climate change (Thrupp, 2000).

Ethnobotany and Medicinal Plant Conservation-Ethnobotanical knowledge involves the traditional use of plants for medicine and daily life. Indian systems like Ayurveda are based on extensive knowledge of medicinal plants. (Balick *et al.*, 1996) This knowledge supports identification, sustainable use, and conservation of plant species. Studies show that traditional knowledge plays a key role in protecting medicinal plant diversity and promoting sustainable harvesting (Heinrich *et al.*, 2006).

Indigenous Water Resource Management-India has developed several traditional water management systems such as tanks, stepwells, johads, and bamboo drip irrigation. These systems efficiently harvest and store rainwater, recharge groundwater, and support agriculture in dry regions. They represent sustainable water management practices that support both human needs and ecological balance (Agarwal *et al.*, 1997).

Community Forest Management-Community Forest management involves local communities in the protection and sustainable use of forest resources. In India, systems like Joint Forest Management encourage cooperation between forest departments and villagers. Such participation helps reduce deforestation, improves forest regeneration, and supports biodiversity conservation (Poffenberger, 1990; Berkes, 2004).

Wildlife Conservation in Indigenous Communities-Several indigenous communities in India protect wildlife through cultural values and traditional beliefs. For example, the Bishnoi community is well known for protecting blackbuck and khejri trees. (Gadgil *et al.*, 1976) These conservation practices are based on the principle of non-violence (Ahimsa) and respect for all living beings. Such traditions contribute significantly to wildlife protection and biodiversity conservation. (Sharma, 2010)

V. INTEGRATION OF INDIAN KNOWLEDGE SYSTEMS WITH SCIENTIFIC CONSERVATION STRATEGIES

The integration of Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS) with modern scientific conservation approaches is increasingly recognized as an effective way to address biodiversity loss. (IPBES, 2019) IKS provides long-term ecological understanding and community-based practices, while modern science offers advanced tools for monitoring, modelling, and managing ecosystems. Together, they create a more holistic and adaptive conservation framework. (Berkes, 2018)

Conservation Biology - Conservation biology is a scientific discipline that focuses on protecting species, habitats, and ecosystems. It studies population decline, genetic diversity, and extinction risks. When combined with IKS, conservation biology benefits from traditional ecological knowledge such as sacred groves, indigenous farming systems, and community forest protection. (Primack, 2014) These practices provide real-world examples of sustainable resource use that support scientific conservation models. Integrating both approaches improves species protection and ecosystem stability. (Groom *et al.*, 2019)

Ecosystem-Based Management-Ecosystem-based management focuses on managing entire ecosystems rather than individual species. It considers ecological relationships, human activities, and environmental processes together. Indian Knowledge Systems naturally follow a similar holistic approach by viewing nature as an interconnected system. (Christensen *et al.*, 1996) Traditional practices such as water conservation systems, agroforestry, and community-based forest management support ecosystem balance. Combining EBM with IKS improves sustainable resource use and strengthens ecological resilience (Berkes, 2004).

GIS and Remote Sensing-Geographic Information Systems and remote sensing are modern technologies used to map and monitor biodiversity. These tools help in tracking deforestation, habitat changes, and wildlife distribution. When integrated with IKS, traditional knowledge about sacred groves, forest boundaries, and seasonal ecological patterns can be spatially mapped and scientifically analyzed. (Turner *et al.*, 2003)

Artificial Intelligence and Biodiversity Monitoring-Artificial Intelligence is increasingly used in biodiversity research for species identification, image recognition, and predictive modelling. AI tools can analyze large ecological datasets quickly and accurately. (Tuia *et al.*, 2022) When combined with IKS, community observations and traditional ecological knowledge can be digitized and used for training AI models. This improves real-time biodiversity monitoring and helps detect environmental changes early. (Wäldchen & Mäder, 2018)

Climate Change Adaptation-Climate change adaptation involves adjusting ecological and human systems to reduce the negative impacts of climate change. Indian Knowledge Systems contribute significantly through traditional water harvesting systems, drought-resistant crop varieties, and seasonal farming practices. These methods are highly adaptive to local environmental conditions. Integrating them with scientific climate models improves resilience and helps communities respond effectively to climate variability. (Agrawal, 2008)

Nature Based Solutions-Nature-based solutions refer to actions that use natural processes to address environmental challenges such as climate change, disaster risk, and biodiversity loss. (Seddon *et al.*, 2021) Sacred groves, agroforestry systems, wetlands conservation, and community-managed forests are examples of traditional Indian practices that align with NbS principles. When combined with modern ecological restoration techniques, these systems enhance ecosystem services, carbon sequestration, and biodiversity protection. (IUCN, 2020)

VI. CHALLENGES IN INTEGRATING INDIAN KNOWLEDGE SYSTEMS WITH MODERN SCIENCE

The integration of Indian Knowledge Systems with modern scientific approaches in biodiversity conservation is promising, but it faces several practical, conceptual, and institutional challenges. These challenges limit effective collaboration between traditional knowledge holders and modern scientific systems. (IPBES, 2019)

Lack of Proper Documentation and Standardization- A major challenge is that much of traditional ecological knowledge is passed orally from generation to generation. It is not systematically documented in scientific formats. Because of this, valuable information about species, ecosystems, and conservation practices is being lost over time. The absence of standardized data also makes it difficult to compare IKS with modern scientific datasets (Gadgil *et al.*, 1993).

Scientific Validation Issues- Modern science requires experimental evidence, statistical validation, and repeatability. However, many IKS practices are context-specific and based on long-term observation rather than controlled experiments. This difference creates difficulty in scientifically validating traditional knowledge using modern research methods (Berkes, 2018).

Loss of Traditional Knowledge- With urbanization, modernization, and lifestyle changes, younger generations are less connected to traditional ecological practices. As a result, many valuable conservation practices are disappearing. This cultural erosion reduces the transmission of biodiversity-related knowledge within communities (Pretty *et al.*, 2009).

Policy and Institutional Gaps- Although India has policies like the Biological Diversity Act (2002) and People's Biodiversity Registers, implementation is still weak in many regions. There is limited coordination between government institutions, scientists, and indigenous communities, which restricts effective integration of IKS into conservation planning (National Biodiversity Authority, 2013).

Intellectual Property and Ethical Concerns- One of the major challenges is the protection of traditional knowledge from misuse or biopiracy. Indigenous communities often face risks of exploitation when their knowledge is used for commercial purposes without proper consent or benefit sharing. Ethical frameworks for knowledge protection are still developing (Shiva, 1997).

Communication Gap Between Scientists and Local Communities- There is often a communication gap between modern scientists and traditional knowledge

holders. Scientists may focus on technical data, while communities rely on cultural and practical knowledge. This difference in understanding creates difficulties in collaboration and knowledge integration (Agrawal, 1995).

Technological Integration Challenges- Although modern tools like GIS, AI, and remote sensing are powerful, integrating them with traditional knowledge systems is complex. Translating qualitative traditional knowledge into quantitative scientific data requires careful interpretation and interdisciplinary approaches (IPBES, 2019).

VII. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Future research on Indian Knowledge Systems and biodiversity conservation should focus on developing interdisciplinary and evidence-based approaches that combine ecology, technology, and traditional knowledge. Many IKS-based conservation methods, such as sacred groves, agroforestry, and water management systems, need to be studied using ecological indicators, biodiversity indices, and long-term environmental data (Berkes, 2018). Another important area is the integration of advanced technologies with traditional knowledge systems. Tools such as GIS, remote sensing, artificial intelligence (AI), and environmental DNA (eDNA) can be used to document, map, and analyze biodiversity linked to indigenous practices. This can help create digital databases of traditional ecological knowledge and improve conservation planning (IPBES, 2019).

Future studies should also focus on climate change adaptation using IKS. Traditional farming systems, drought-resistant crops, and water conservation techniques offer valuable insights for building climate-resilient ecosystems (IPCC, 2022). Another key direction is community-based participatory research. Indigenous communities should be actively involved in biodiversity research to ensure accuracy, cultural sensitivity, and ethical knowledge sharing (Pretty *et al.*, 2009).

Finally, future research should explore the development of an integrated biodiversity governance framework that combines scientific conservation

biology with Indian Knowledge Systems. This will support sustainable development goals and global biodiversity targets while preserving cultural and ecological heritage (CBD, 2022).

VIII. CONCLUSION

Indian Knowledge Systems provide valuable ecological knowledge that has supported biodiversity conservation through practices such as sacred groves, indigenous agriculture, ethnobotany, community forest management, and traditional water conservation (Berkes, 2018; Gadgil & Berkes, 1991). These practices complement modern conservation tools like GIS, remote sensing, eDNA, and AI by contributing long-term ecological observations and community-based resource management (Berkes et al., 2000; IPBES, 2019).

Integrating IKS into biodiversity governance can strengthen conservation policies and help achieve the Kunming Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. (Convention on Biological Diversity 2022, United Nations, 2015) Mechanisms such as Biodiversity Management Committees and People's Biodiversity Registers support the documentation and application of traditional knowledge. Future research should integrate ecological science, geospatial technologies, environmental policy, and indigenous knowledge to enhance ecosystem resilience, climate change adaptation, and sustainable biodiversity conservation.

Acknowledgement - I would like to express my sincere gratitude to everyone who supported and guided me throughout the completion of this paper. I am especially thankful to my guide/supervisor for their valuable guidance, continuous encouragement, and constructive suggestions, which greatly contributed to the successful completion of this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] Agrawal, A. (1995). Dismantling the divide between indigenous and scientific knowledge. *Development and Change*, 26(3), 413–439. [Wiley](#)
- [2] Agrawal, A. (2008). The role of local ecological knowledge in climate change adaptation. *International Forestry Review*, 10(3), 366–377.
- [3] Agarwal, A. (1992). *The wisdom of the elders*. Centre for Science and Environment.
- [4] Agarwal, A., & Narain, S. (1997). *Dying wisdom: Rise, fall and potential of India's traditional water harvesting systems*. Centre for Science and Environment.
- [5] Altieri, M. A. (2004). Linking ecologists and traditional farmers in the search for sustainable agriculture. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 2(1), 35–42. [Wiley](#)
- [6] Balick, M. J., & Cox, P. A. (1996). *Plants, people, and culture: The science of ethnobotany*. Scientific American Library.
- [7] Berkes, F. (2004). Rethinking community-based conservation. *Conservation Biology*, 18(3), 621–630. [Wiley](#)
- [8] Berkes, F. (2018). *Sacred ecology* (4th ed.). Routledge.
- [9] Berkes, F., Colding, J., & Folke, C. (2000). Rediscovery of traditional ecological knowledge as adaptive management. *Ecological Applications*, 10(5), 1251–1262. [Wiley](#)
- [10] Bhagwat, S. A., & Rutte, C. (2006). Sacred groves in the Western Ghats: Biodiversity significance. *Environmental Conservation*, 33(4), 321–332.
- [11] Christensen, N. L., Bartuska, A. M., Brown, J. H., Carpenter, S., D'Antonio, C., Francis, R., Franklin, J. F., MacMahon, J. A., Noss, R. F., Parsons, D. J., Peterson, C. H., Turner, M. G., & Woodmansee, R. G. (1996). The report of the Ecological Society of America committee on ecosystem management. *Ecological Applications*, 6(3), 665–691. [Wiley](#)
- [12] Convention on Biological Diversity. (1992). *Convention on Biological Diversity*. United Nations. [Convention on Biological Diversity](#)
- [13] Convention on Biological Diversity. (2022). *Kunming–Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework*. [Convention on Biological Diversity](#)
- [14] Gadgil, M., & Berkes, F. (1991). Traditional resource management systems. *Resource*

- Management and Optimization*, 8(3–4), 127–141.
- [15] Gadgil, M., Berkes, F., & Folke, C. (1993). Indigenous knowledge for biodiversity conservation. *Ambio*, 22(2–3), 151–156.
- [16] Gadgil, M., & Vartak, V. D. (1976). Sacred groves of India. *Economic Botany*, 30(2), 152–160.
- [17] Groom, M. J., Meffe, G. K., & Carroll, C. R. (2019). *Principles of conservation biology*. Sinauer Associates.
- [18] Heinrich, M., Ankli, A., Frei, B., Weimann, C., & Sticher, O. (2006). Ethnobotany and medicinal plant conservation. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 103(1), 1–2.
- [19] Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2022). *Climate change 2022: Sixth assessment report*. Cambridge University Press.
- [20] Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. (2019). *Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services*. IPBES Secretariat. [IPBES](#)
- [21] International Union for Conservation of Nature. (2020). *Global standard for nature-based solutions*. IUCN. [IUCN](#)
- [22] Kakati, D., & Barwant, M. M. (2025). Integrating tradition and ecology: The role of Indian Knowledge Systems in biodiversity conservation. *Journal of Plant Science and Research*.
- [23] Kautilya. (1992). *The Arthashastra* (L. N. Rangarajan, Trans.). Penguin Books.
- [24] Khiewtam, R. S., & Ramakrishnan, P. S. (1993). Sacred groves of Meghalaya: Biodiversity importance. *International Journal of Ecology*, 34, 1–9.
- [25] Kumar, S. (2019). Panchamahabhuta concept in environmental science. *Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, 18(3), 455–460.
- [26] Kumar, S., & Palita, S. K. (2024). Conservation priorities for Indian biodiversity: Spatiotemporal analyses. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 33, 1234–1256.
- [27] Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. (2005). *Ecosystems and human well-being: Synthesis*. Island Press.
- [28] Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change. (2024). *National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)*. Government of India.
- [29] Mukhopadhyaya, G. N. (2012). *Sushruta Samhita (English translation)*. Chaukhambha Publications.
- [30] Nair, M. K., & Gupta, P. (2020). Biodiversity conservation through traditional ecological knowledge in the Indian Himalayas. *Global Environmental Change*, 63, 102091.
- [31] Nair, P. K. R. (2011). Agroforestry systems in India. *Agroforestry Systems*, 83(2), 123–134.
- [32] National Biodiversity Authority. (2013). *People's Biodiversity Registers: Guidelines*. Government of India.
- [33] Patwardhan, B., Warude, D., Pushpangadan, P., & Bhatt, N. (2005). Ayurveda and traditional medicine. *Current Science*, 89(8), 1252–1255.
- [34] Poffenberger, M. (1990). *Keepers of the forest*. Kumarian Press.
- [35] Pretty, J., et al. (2009). Traditional ecological knowledge and sustainability. *Science*, 302(5650), 1912–1914.
- [36] Primack, R. B. (2014). *Essentials of conservation biology*. Sinauer Associates.
- [37] Pradhan, R. S., & Sahoo, R. K. (2022). Indigenous knowledge systems and sustainable management of forest resources in India. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, 21(1), 45–60.
- [38] Ramakrishnan, P. S. (2001). *Ecology and sustainable development of the Indian Himalayan region*. UNESCO.
- [39] Sala, O. E., Chapin, F. S., III, Armesto, J. J., Berlow, E., Bloomfield, J., Dirzo, R., Huber-Sanwald, E., Huenneke, L. F., Jackson, R. B., Kinzig, A., Leemans, R., Lodge, D. M., Mooney, H. A., Oesterheld, M., Poff, N. L., Sykes, M. T., Walker, B. H., Walker, M., & Wall, D. H. (2000). Global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. *Science*, 287(5459), 1770–1774. [Science](#)
- [40] Seddon, N., et al. (2021). Nature-based solutions in climate change mitigation. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 46, 1–28.
- [41] Sharma, P. V. (2010). *Charaka Samhita (English translation)*. Chaukhambha Orientalia.

- [42] Sharma, P. V., & Dash, B. (2001). *Ayurveda: Fundamentals and principles*. Chaukhambha Publications.
- [43] Sharma, S., & Singh, G. (2012). Traditional farming systems of Nagaland. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, 11(3), 450–456.
- [44] Shiva, V. (1997). *Biopiracy: The plunder of nature and knowledge*. South End Press.
- [45] Singh, R. (2017). Vedic ecology and environmental ethics. *Indian Journal of History of Science*, 52(2), 145–158.
- [46] Singh, R. K., Adhikary, P. P., & others. (2010). Zabo system: Traditional water management in Nagaland. *Water Resources Management*, 24(5), 987–1001.
- [47] Thrupp, L. A. (2000). Linking agricultural biodiversity and food security. *World Development*, 28(12), 2001–2014.
- [48] Tiwari, B. K., Barik, S. K., & Tripathi, R. S. (1998). Sacred groves of Meghalaya: Ecological importance. *Current Science*, 75(8), 756–760.
- [49] Tuia, D., et al. (2022). Artificial intelligence for biodiversity monitoring. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 4, 125–130.
- [50] Turner, W., Spector, S., Gardiner, N., Fladeland, M., Sterling, E., & Steininger, M. (2003). Remote sensing for biodiversity science and conservation. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 84(3), 305–317.
- [51] United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. [United Nations](#)
- [52] Vannucci, M. (1994). *Ecological readings in the Vedas*. D.K. Printworld.
- [53] Wäldchen, J., & Mäder, P. (2018). Machine learning for image-based species identification. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 9(11), 2216–2225. [Wiley](#)