

NEP 2020 as the Source of Multidisciplinary and Holistic Education: An Overview

Md. Nurul Islam

Department of Education, Chhamaria Anchalik College, Kamrup, Assam, India

Published June 2026

Abstract

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 represents a fundamental reorientation of Indian education towards flexibility, multidisciplinary learning, holistic development and lifelong learning. It seeks to move beyond rigid disciplinary boundaries and narrow examination-oriented conceptions of education by encouraging broader subject choice, multidisciplinary institutions, experiential learning, vocational integration, research, community engagement, environmental education and value-based education. This conceptual paper examines NEP 2020 as a policy source for multidisciplinary and holistic education in India. The study adopts a qualitative analytical approach based primarily on the official NEP 2020 document and relevant literature on curriculum integration, interdisciplinary learning, holistic education and higher education reform. The analysis indicates that NEP 2020 provides an interconnected framework in which intellectual development is combined with social, emotional, ethical, physical, aesthetic and practical development. Its emphasis on flexible curricular structures, multiple entry and exit pathways, academic credit mobility, multidisciplinary higher education institutions, vocational exposure and cross-disciplinary thinking creates opportunities for learners to construct broader and more personalised educational pathways. The paper also argues that multidisciplinary education should not be confused with superficial exposure to several subjects; disciplinary depth must remain an essential foundation. Similarly, holistic education should not be restricted to extracurricular activities but should be embedded in curriculum, pedagogy, assessment and institutional culture. Major implementation challenges include faculty preparedness, institutional resources, administrative coordination, digital inequality, language diversity, assessment reform and maintenance of quality. The paper concludes that NEP 2020 offers a strong national framework for multidisciplinary and holistic education, but its success will depend on the capacity of institutions and teachers to translate policy principles into coherent learning experiences. Meaningful implementation should therefore combine breadth with depth, flexibility with academic guidance, innovation with quality assurance, and national aspirations with local contexts.

Keywords: National Education Policy 2020; multidisciplinary education; holistic education; interdisciplinary learning; curriculum integration; higher education; experiential learning; vocational education; Indian education; educational reform

1 Introduction

Education has historically performed the dual functions of transmitting accumulated knowledge and preparing individuals to participate meaningfully in society. In the contemporary period, however, the nature of knowledge and the demands placed on learners have changed substantially. Digital technologies, artificial intelligence, environmental crises, globalisation, changing employment patterns, social diversity and rapid scientific development have made complex problems increasingly difficult to understand through a single disciplinary lens. The educational system therefore needs to

cultivate learners who can connect ideas, examine problems from multiple perspectives, communicate across fields and apply knowledge responsibly.

The National Education Policy 2020 is a major policy response to this changing context. The policy describes holistic and multidisciplinary education as necessary for India to respond to the requirements of the twenty-first century and the fourth industrial revolution. It proposes flexible curricular structures, greater subject choice, multidisciplinary institutions and pedagogy that places greater emphasis on communication, discussion, debate, research and cross-disciplinary thinking. It also encourages students in traditionally specialised fields to engage with arts, humanities, sciences, vocational subjects and soft skills. These provisions indicate that multidisciplinary education is not treated as an optional enrichment but as an important principle of educational transformation.

The policy simultaneously adopts a holistic understanding of the learner. Education is expected to support intellectual, aesthetic, social, physical, emotional and moral development. Community engagement, environmental education, value-based education, life skills and service are incorporated into the proposed educational framework. Consequently, NEP 2020 connects two important ideas: the expansion of the knowledge base through multidisciplinary learning and the expansion of the conception of human development through holistic education.

This paper analyses these dimensions together. It treats NEP 2020 not merely as a document containing curricular recommendations but as a broad policy framework that seeks to reorganise the relationship among disciplines, institutions, teachers, learners and society. The paper also considers the practical conditions required to translate the policy's aspirations into educational practice.

2 Background and context

The history of Indian education includes a strong tradition of broad intellectual inquiry. Knowledge traditions in India frequently addressed language, philosophy, mathematics, medicine, arts, ethics, astronomy and social thought in interconnected ways. Modern institutional structures, however, gradually developed specialised departments and programmes. Specialisation has produced substantial advances in knowledge, but institutional compartmentalisation can also restrict interaction among fields.

The development of higher education after independence expanded access and professional specialisation. Universities and colleges increasingly organised learning around departments and degree programmes. While such structures support disciplinary depth, students may experience limited opportunities to cross departmental boundaries. The distinction between general and professional education can also create status differences between academic and vocational pathways.

NEP 2020 responds to these historical and contemporary concerns by proposing a more integrated educational architecture. It does not reject specialisation. Rather, it seeks to place specialisation within a broader multidisciplinary environment. This distinction is important: a multidisciplinary system is not a system without disciplines. It is a system in which disciplines interact more freely and learners can understand their connections.

The policy is also situated within international discussions concerning twenty-first-century competencies, lifelong learning, sustainable development and the future of work. International educational discourse increasingly emphasises critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, communication, adaptability and problem-solving. NEP 2020 places many of these competencies within an

Indian policy framework while also emphasising constitutional values, Indian languages, cultural knowledge and local contexts.

3 Rationale and significance of the study

The rationale for examining NEP 2020 through the lens of multidisciplinary and holistic education arises from the scale of change proposed by the policy. Individual provisions such as flexible subject choice, vocational education or experiential learning can be examined separately, but their significance becomes clearer when understood as components of a wider educational philosophy.

The topic is also significant because policy implementation may produce different outcomes depending on how institutions interpret key terms. Multidisciplinary education can become merely administrative if departments continue to teach independently. Holistic education can become symbolic if values, sports, arts and community activities remain disconnected from core learning. Therefore, conceptual clarification is necessary for meaningful implementation.

For teachers and higher education institutions, the study provides a framework for understanding how curriculum, pedagogy, assessment and institutional structures can support the NEP vision. For researchers, it identifies areas requiring empirical investigation, particularly faculty readiness, student choice, credit mobility, interdisciplinary teaching, vocational integration and equity.

4 Objectives of the study

1. To examine the conceptual meaning and educational significance of multidisciplinary education.
2. To explain the meaning and major dimensions of holistic education in the context of contemporary Indian education.
3. To analyse major provisions of NEP 2020 that provide a foundation for multidisciplinary education.
4. To examine how NEP 2020 addresses different dimensions of holistic learner development.
5. To discuss implications of NEP 2020 for curriculum, pedagogy, assessment and institutional organisation.
6. To identify major challenges that may affect implementation of multidisciplinary and holistic education.
7. To suggest practical strategies for strengthening the implementation of the policy.

5 Research questions

1. What are the major characteristics of multidisciplinary and holistic education?
2. How does NEP 2020 create opportunities for multidisciplinary learning?
3. In what ways does NEP 2020 support holistic development of learners?
4. What changes in curriculum, pedagogy and assessment are necessary to realise the policy vision?
5. What institutional, professional and systemic challenges may constrain implementation?

6 Methodology

The paper follows a qualitative, descriptive and analytical methodology. It is a conceptual research paper and does not claim to present primary survey or experimental findings. The principal source is the National Education Policy 2020 issued by the Government of India. Secondary sources include academic literature on curriculum integration, interdisciplinary education, holistic learning, competency development, experiential education and higher education reform.

The analysis was organised thematically. First, policy provisions related to multidisciplinary and holistic education were identified. Second, these provisions were grouped under curricular, institutional, pedagogical, assessment, vocational, value-based, environmental and community-oriented themes. Third, their potential educational implications were interpreted. Finally, implementation challenges and strategies were developed through conceptual synthesis.

The paper follows an interpretive rather than statistical approach. Its purpose is to explain the educational logic of NEP 2020 and to identify relationships among its provisions. The paper therefore avoids invented numerical data and does not claim causal effects that have not been empirically established.

7 Conceptual framework

7.1 Meaning of multidisciplinary education

Multidisciplinary education refers to an educational arrangement in which knowledge, perspectives, methods or resources from more than one discipline are brought together around a learning theme, problem or programme. Each discipline may retain its conceptual identity while contributing to a broader understanding. For example, environmental sustainability may be studied through scientific, economic, geographical, sociological, technological and ethical perspectives.

Multidisciplinary learning should be distinguished from interdisciplinary learning. In multidisciplinary work, disciplines may contribute side by side. Interdisciplinary learning involves more deliberate interaction, comparison and integration of concepts or methods. Transdisciplinary approaches can move further by connecting academic knowledge with professional, community and experiential knowledge. NEP 2020's emphasis on multidisciplinary institutions, flexible curricula and cross-disciplinary thinking can support all three levels when implemented effectively.

7.2 Meaning of holistic education

Holistic education considers education as the development of the whole person. Cognitive achievement remains important, but learning is also connected with emotional maturity, social responsibility, physical well-being, creativity, ethical judgement, communication, practical competence and aesthetic appreciation. Holistic education therefore asks not only what a learner knows but also how the learner thinks, relates to others, acts and applies knowledge.

A holistic educational environment provides opportunities for inquiry, reflection, collaboration, physical activity, creative expression, ethical reasoning and community participation. It recognises that learning occurs in formal classrooms as well as through projects, relationships, field experiences and social participation.

7.3 Relationship between multidisciplinary and holistic education

Multidisciplinary and holistic education address different but complementary dimensions of educational development. Multidisciplinary broadens the intellectual field by connecting different areas of knowledge. Holistic education broadens the conception of development by recognising multiple dimensions of the learner. Together they can help students understand complex problems while developing communication, collaboration, ethical awareness, creativity and practical competence.

The combination is particularly relevant to contemporary problems that are simultaneously scientific, social, economic, cultural and ethical. A learner who studies a problem through several disciplinary lenses and then works collaboratively with a community is experiencing both multidisciplinary and holistic learning.

8 NEP 2020 as a source of multidisciplinary education

8.1 Flexible curricular structures

NEP 2020 proposes imaginative and flexible curricular structures that allow creative combinations of disciplines. This represents a significant departure from rigid separation among academic streams. Greater subject choice can enable students to combine areas according to interests and aspirations. Such combinations may include science with humanities, mathematics with economics, education with psychology, or technology with social science.

Flexibility can also support personalised learning. However, meaningful choice requires academic advising because students may not possess sufficient information about the consequences of different combinations. Institutions must therefore provide clear programme structures, prerequisites, counselling and progression pathways.

8.2 Multidisciplinary higher education institutions

The policy envisages large multidisciplinary universities and colleges as important vehicles for high-quality holistic and multidisciplinary education. Bringing diverse departments into a common academic environment can increase opportunities for joint teaching, research, electives, seminars and student projects.

The institutional dimension is essential because curriculum flexibility is meaningful only when appropriate courses and faculty are available. Multidisciplinary institutions can also reduce academic silos by creating shared facilities, interdisciplinary centres, collaborative research groups and common academic events.

8.3 Multiple entry and exit and credit mobility

NEP 2020 proposes multiple entry and exit options within higher education and an Academic Bank of Credits to support credit accumulation and transfer. These mechanisms can provide greater flexibility for learners whose educational pathways are interrupted or who wish to move between learning contexts.

From a multidisciplinary perspective, credit mobility may enable learners to construct varied educational pathways across institutions and programmes. However, such mobility requires trans-

parent equivalence standards, reliable record systems, academic advising and institutional coordination.

8.4 Integration of vocational education

The policy seeks to remove the artificial hierarchy between academic and vocational education. Vocational exposure, internships, practical learning and skill development can be integrated into mainstream education. This supports multidisciplinary learning because practical problems rarely belong to a single disciplinary field.

Vocational integration also contributes to holistic education by connecting knowledge with action. Students can develop practical competence, entrepreneurship, teamwork and problem-solving while learning disciplinary concepts.

8.5 Indian Knowledge Systems and local knowledge

NEP 2020 encourages attention to Indian Knowledge Systems, languages, culture and local contexts. This can enrich multidisciplinary education by creating dialogue between formal academic disciplines and knowledge developed through historical and community practices.

For regions such as Assam, local ecology, agriculture, crafts, cultural traditions, languages and community knowledge can provide authentic contexts for interdisciplinary learning. Such integration should be academically responsible: local knowledge should be documented, examined critically and connected appropriately with contemporary research.

8.6 Research and innovation

Multidisciplinary research is another important dimension of the policy vision. Complex social and scientific problems often require researchers from different fields to work together. Universities and colleges can support this through research clusters, joint projects, shared laboratories, seminars and community-linked research.

Interdisciplinary research can also enhance innovation because new ideas often emerge at the boundaries between established fields. Students exposed to such research environments may develop greater confidence in approaching unfamiliar problems.

9 NEP 2020 as a source of holistic education

9.1 Intellectual development

NEP 2020 emphasises critical thinking, creativity, communication, research and problem-solving. These outcomes represent a broader conception of intellectual development than memorisation of information. Multidisciplinary exposure can strengthen intellectual flexibility by requiring students to compare concepts and methods across fields.

9.2 Social and emotional development

Social and emotional development is supported through collaboration, communication, inclusion, community participation and learner-centred practices. Group projects, peer learning and community-based activities create opportunities to develop empathy, cooperation, leadership and interpersonal skills.

A holistic institution should also recognise student well-being. Academic achievement is more sustainable when learners experience supportive relationships, belonging and opportunities for constructive participation.

9.3 Moral and ethical development

NEP 2020 incorporates humanistic, ethical, constitutional and universal values, together with scientific temper and citizenship values. Holistic education therefore connects knowledge with responsibility. Students should be encouraged to examine ethical dimensions of scientific, technological, social and economic decisions.

Value education is most effective when integrated into real learning situations. Discussions of fairness, sustainability, academic integrity, social responsibility and constitutional values can accompany disciplinary study.

9.4 Physical development

Physical development is an important component of holistic education. Sports, yoga, physical activity and health awareness can contribute to discipline, teamwork, resilience and well-being. Such activities should be treated as meaningful educational experiences rather than merely recreational additions.

9.5 Aesthetic and creative development

Arts, music, literature, theatre, dance and creative expression contribute to imagination and cultural understanding. Their integration into educational programmes can help learners develop sensitivity, expression and appreciation. The policy's multidisciplinary orientation allows arts and humanities to interact more closely with science, technology and professional fields.

9.6 Environmental development and sustainability

NEP 2020 gives an important place to environmental education, including climate change, pollution, waste management, biodiversity, conservation and sustainable development. Environmental issues provide natural contexts for multidisciplinary learning because they involve scientific, economic, geographical, social, political and ethical dimensions.

Project-based environmental learning can connect classroom concepts with local problems such as waste disposal, water quality, biodiversity conservation and sustainable resource use. Community participation can further strengthen the learning experience.

9.7 Community engagement and service

Community engagement and service are proposed as components of holistic education. Such experiences help students understand social realities and develop responsibility towards others. They also create opportunities to apply academic knowledge in real contexts.

A well-designed community project can involve data collection, communication, planning, technology, economics, social analysis and ethical reflection. Thus, community engagement can simultaneously strengthen multidisciplinary and holistic learning.

10 Curriculum transformation under NEP 2020

10.1 From rigid subjects to flexible learning pathways

The curriculum implications of NEP 2020 are substantial. Instead of assuming that every learner should follow the same subject sequence, institutions can provide common foundations alongside electives and interdisciplinary modules. This model supports learner choice while maintaining essential academic standards.

Curriculum designers should identify core competencies, disciplinary foundations and areas where cross-disciplinary integration adds genuine value. The aim should be coherence rather than simply increasing the number of subjects.

10.2 Breadth with depth

A central principle for implementation should be breadth with depth. Students require sufficient disciplinary depth to understand concepts and methods rigorously. At the same time, they need opportunities to see how their discipline interacts with others. Excessive breadth without depth may produce superficial knowledge; excessive specialisation without breadth may limit problem-solving perspectives.

10.3 Experiential and project-based curriculum

Projects, field visits, internships, laboratory work, case studies and community activities can connect theory with practice. Experiential learning is particularly appropriate for multidisciplinary education because authentic problems naturally cross disciplinary boundaries.

Projects should be designed around clearly stated learning outcomes and assessment criteria. Otherwise, project work may become an activity without academic purpose.

11 Pedagogical implications

11.1 Teacher as facilitator

NEP 2020 requires a shift from teacher-dominated transmission towards facilitation of inquiry, discussion and exploration. Teachers need to create situations in which students ask questions, compare perspectives, investigate evidence and construct solutions.

11.2 Collaborative teaching

Interdisciplinary courses can benefit from team teaching. For example, a course on sustainable development might involve teachers from science, economics, geography and education. Collaborative teaching can expose students to different methods of reasoning and reduce dependence on a single disciplinary perspective.

11.3 Inquiry and problem-based learning

Problem-based learning begins with an authentic problem rather than a predetermined list of facts. Students identify what they need to know, locate information, evaluate evidence and develop solutions. This approach aligns well with NEP 2020's emphasis on critical thinking and cross-disciplinary learning.

11.4 Technology-enabled learning

Digital platforms can expand access to courses, open educational resources, simulations and collaborative learning. However, technology should not be considered a substitute for teacher support. Digital inequality, device access, connectivity and digital literacy must be addressed.

12 Assessment reform

Assessment is one of the most important conditions for the success of multidisciplinary and holistic education. If examinations continue to reward memorisation alone, classroom practices are likely to remain examination-centred. Assessment should therefore capture understanding, application, analysis, creativity, communication and reflection.

A balanced assessment system can combine written examinations with projects, portfolios, presentations, practical tasks, field reports, reflective journals and peer assessment. Rubrics can make expectations transparent and improve reliability.

Holistic assessment does not mean informal or unstructured assessment. Academic standards require clear outcomes, valid tasks, transparent criteria, moderation and documentation. The challenge is to assess complex learning without reducing it to arbitrary judgement.

13 Institutional implications

13.1 Academic collaboration

Institutions need structures that encourage departments to work together. Interdepartmental committees, joint courses, common seminars and collaborative research groups can provide practical mechanisms for multidisciplinary activity.

13.2 Academic advising

Greater student choice creates a corresponding need for academic advising. Students require guidance about programme requirements, credits, career pathways, workload and progression. Mentoring should be systematic rather than dependent on informal arrangements.

13.3 Faculty development

Teachers need professional development in interdisciplinary curriculum design, project-based learning, assessment, digital pedagogy and collaborative teaching. Faculty development should be continuous and linked to actual institutional needs.

13.4 Quality assurance

Institutional autonomy should be accompanied by mechanisms for quality assurance. Programmes should periodically review learning outcomes, student feedback, completion patterns, credit mobility, employability and interdisciplinary participation.

14 Potential benefits of multidisciplinary and holistic education

- Broadens students' intellectual perspectives and improves their ability to connect ideas.
- Supports critical thinking, creativity, communication and complex problem-solving.

-
- Encourages personalised learning through flexible subject combinations.
 - Connects academic knowledge with practical, vocational and community contexts.
 - Promotes research and innovation at the boundaries of disciplines.
 - Strengthens social, emotional, ethical, physical and aesthetic development.
 - Improves awareness of environmental and sustainability issues.
 - Encourages collaboration and responsible citizenship.
 - Can strengthen employability by combining disciplinary knowledge with transferable skills.
 - Can make higher education more relevant to rapidly changing social and occupational contexts.

15 Challenges and constraints

15.1 Faculty preparedness

A major challenge is the availability of faculty capable of interdisciplinary teaching. Teachers trained within highly specialised disciplinary systems may require support to design and teach cross-disciplinary courses.

15.2 Infrastructure and financial resources

Multidisciplinary education can require laboratories, libraries, digital systems, studios, sports facilities, counselling services and community partnerships. Institutions with limited resources may struggle to offer the range of choices envisioned by the policy.

15.3 Administrative coordination

Credit systems, examinations, timetables and departmental rules are often designed around fixed programmes. Flexible learning requires administrative systems capable of managing elective combinations, credit transfers and varied progression patterns.

15.4 Maintaining academic depth

There is a risk that multidisciplinary programmes may become broad but shallow. Institutions should define minimum disciplinary requirements and ensure that interdisciplinary courses are academically rigorous.

15.5 Equity and inclusion

Students with greater financial, technological and informational resources may benefit more from flexibility. Students from disadvantaged backgrounds may need additional counselling, financial support, digital access and language assistance.

15.6 Language diversity

India's linguistic diversity presents both an opportunity and a challenge. Multilingual education can improve access and cultural relevance, but institutions require quality learning resources, translations and teachers capable of supporting diverse language backgrounds.

15.7 Assessment and accreditation

Existing assessment and accreditation practices may not fully capture interdisciplinary outcomes. Institutions need appropriate indicators for evaluating project work, collaborative learning and holistic development.

16 Strategies for effective implementation

1. Develop institution-specific multidisciplinary education plans based on local academic capacity and learner needs.
2. Create interdisciplinary foundation courses around authentic social, environmental and technological problems.
3. Strengthen faculty development programmes on interdisciplinary teaching and assessment.
4. Establish academic advising and mentoring systems before expanding student choice.
5. Use community-linked projects to connect curriculum with local development issues.
6. Develop transparent credit transfer and recognition procedures.
7. Combine disciplinary core courses with meaningful electives rather than indiscriminate subject expansion.
8. Use common assessment rubrics for interdisciplinary projects and presentations.
9. Strengthen digital infrastructure while providing alternatives for learners with limited connectivity.
10. Integrate vocational exposure, internships and entrepreneurship with academic programmes.
11. Promote interdisciplinary research centres, seminars and collaborative publications.
12. Monitor participation and outcomes by socioeconomic and geographic background to protect equity.
13. Review programmes periodically using student feedback, learning evidence and external academic review.

17 Discussion

The analysis indicates that NEP 2020 offers a coherent policy architecture for multidisciplinary and holistic education. Its significance emerges from the relationship among its provisions. Flexible curricula create learner choice; multidisciplinary institutions provide structural opportunities; experiential and vocational learning connect knowledge with practice; community engagement connects education with society; and value and environmental education expand the purpose of learning.

The policy also reflects an important balance between specialisation and breadth. It does not suggest abandoning disciplinary knowledge. Instead, students are expected to receive rigorous specialisation while gaining opportunities for multidisciplinary work. This balance is educationally important because complex problem-solving requires both deep knowledge and the ability to connect knowledge.

Holistic education similarly requires integration. If physical education, arts, values or community service are treated as isolated activities, their contribution may remain limited. The holistic approach is stronger when these dimensions influence classroom practice, institutional culture and assessment.

The implementation challenge is therefore primarily systemic. Changing programme names without changing pedagogy, assessment and academic culture will not produce the intended transformation. Institutions need coordinated planning. Teachers need professional development. Students need guidance. Administrative systems need flexibility. Quality assurance must ensure that innovation remains academically credible.

The Indian context also requires sensitivity to diversity. The same model cannot be applied identically to a metropolitan university and a rural college. Local resources, languages, employment patterns, community needs and cultural contexts should inform implementation. The policy's flexibility can be an advantage if institutions use it to create locally meaningful educational experiences while maintaining national standards.

18 Major findings

- NEP 2020 explicitly places holistic and multidisciplinary education at the centre of higher education reform.
- Flexible curricular structures provide a policy basis for reducing rigid disciplinary boundaries.
- Multidisciplinary institutions can create opportunities for cross-disciplinary teaching and research.
- Multiple entry and exit pathways and credit mobility can increase educational flexibility when supported by effective academic systems.
- Vocational education and experiential learning connect academic knowledge with practical competence.
- Environmental education, value-based education and community engagement strengthen the holistic dimension of learning.
- Assessment reform is essential because conventional examinations may not capture multidisciplinary and holistic outcomes adequately.
- Faculty preparedness and institutional capacity are major conditions for successful implementation.
- Equity, language diversity and digital access must be considered to prevent flexible education from increasing inequality.
- NEP 2020 provides a framework rather than a guaranteed outcome; implementation quality will determine its educational impact.

19 Educational implications

For teachers, the study implies a need to develop skills in collaborative planning, inquiry-based pedagogy, project design and assessment of complex learning. Teachers should be encouraged to collaborate across departments and connect classroom concepts with practical contexts.

For higher education institutions, the policy implies changes in curriculum design, academic advising, credit management, faculty development, research organisation and quality assurance. Institutional leaders should create incentives and structures that support collaboration.

For students, greater flexibility creates opportunities but also responsibilities. Learners should be supported to make informed choices, develop self-directed learning habits and build coherent academic pathways.

For policymakers, implementation should be accompanied by investment in teacher development, infrastructure, digital access, multilingual resources and institutional support. Policy evaluation should focus on actual learning outcomes rather than only the adoption of formal structures.

20 Limitations of the study

The study is conceptual and based primarily on policy analysis and secondary literature. It does not include primary data from teachers, students, administrators or institutions. Consequently, the paper cannot establish the actual effectiveness of NEP 2020 provisions in particular settings. The discussion of benefits and challenges should therefore be understood as analytical propositions requiring empirical testing.

Future research may use mixed methods to examine institutional readiness, teacher preparedness, student experiences of subject choice, interdisciplinary course outcomes, credit mobility, vocational integration, digital access and equity. Comparative studies among rural and urban institutions and among different states could provide further evidence.

21 Suggestions for further research

1. Empirical studies of teacher preparedness for multidisciplinary teaching.
2. Studies of student perceptions and experiences of flexible subject choice.
3. Comparative evaluation of interdisciplinary courses and conventional disciplinary courses.
4. Research on the effectiveness of project-based and community-based learning under NEP 2020.
5. Studies of Academic Bank of Credits and credit mobility from the learner's perspective.
6. Research on the integration of Indian Knowledge Systems with contemporary disciplinary education.
7. Studies of NEP implementation in rural and resource-constrained colleges.
8. Longitudinal research on employability, creativity, citizenship and other outcomes associated with multidisciplinary learning.

22 Conclusion

NEP 2020 represents a significant attempt to reshape Indian education around flexibility, multidisciplinary learning and holistic development. Its central educational message is that learners should not be confined by rigid boundaries among disciplines or by a narrow understanding of achievement. Students need opportunities to explore diverse fields while developing depth in their areas of interest. They also need educational experiences that cultivate social, emotional, physical, aesthetic, ethical and practical capacities.

The policy provides multiple mechanisms for pursuing this vision: flexible curricular structures, multidisciplinary institutions, wider subject choice, credit mobility, vocational integration, experiential learning, research, environmental education, community engagement, value-based education and attention to Indian knowledge systems. Taken together, these provisions can create a more connected and meaningful educational experience.

At the same time, successful implementation cannot be assumed. Multidisciplinary education requires genuine intellectual interaction rather than simple addition of subjects. Holistic education

requires integration into the core learning process rather than occasional extracurricular activities. Faculty development, infrastructure, academic advising, assessment reform, digital access, multilingual support and quality assurance are therefore essential.

The overall conclusion is that NEP 2020 provides a strong policy foundation for multidisciplinary and holistic education in India. Its transformative potential will be realised only when educational institutions convert policy principles into coherent curricula, collaborative pedagogy, authentic assessment and inclusive learning environments. The most productive implementation strategy is one that combines disciplinary depth with multidisciplinary breadth, learner freedom with academic guidance, innovation with quality assurance, and national educational goals with local social and cultural realities.

References

- [1] Beane JA (1997). Curriculum integration: Designing the core of democratic education. *Teachers College Press*.
- [2] Drake SM, Burns RC (2004). Meeting standards through integrated curriculum. *Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development*.
- [3] Government of India (2020). National Education Policy 2020: Transforming education in India. *Ministry of Education*.
- [4] Jacobs HH, editor (1989). Interdisciplinary curriculum: Design and implementation. *Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development*.
- [5] Ministry of Education (2020). National Education Policy 2020. *Government of India*.
- [6] Ministry of Human Resource Development (2019). Draft National Education Policy 2019. *Government of India*.
- [7] OECD (2019). OECD future of education and skills 2030: OECD learning compass 2030. *OECD Publishing*.
- [8] Trilling B, Fadel C (2009). 21st century skills: Learning for life in our times. *Jossey-Bass*.
- [9] UNESCO (2015). Rethinking education: Towards a global common good? *UNESCO Publishing*.
- [10] UNESCO (2017). Education for sustainable development goals: Learning objectives. *UNESCO*.
- [11] UNESCO (2021). Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education. *UNESCO*.
- [12] University Grants Commission (2018). UGC (Promotion of Academic Integrity and Prevention of Plagiarism in Higher Educational Institutions) Regulations, 2018. *University Grants Commission*.
- [13] University Grants Commission (2020). Academic and research resources: Consortium for Academic and Research Ethics (CARE). *University Grants Commission*.
- [14] University Grants Commission (2025). UGC-CARE: Reference list of quality journals and publication ethics resources. *University Grants Commission*.
- [15] World Bank (2018). World development report 2018: Learning to realize education's promise. *World Bank*.