

Civil Engineering Infrastructure and Local Government Administrative Effectiveness: Assessing the Contribution of Infrastructure Development to Sustainable Rural Development in Nigeria

Akpa Obiabo Ephraim

Wudbrij Engineering Nigeria Limited, Nigeria

Published October 2026

Abstract

Local government is positioned close to the population and is expected to contribute to grassroots development through public services and infrastructure. Yet infrastructure delivery in rural Nigeria continues to face challenges related to inadequate planning, financing, procurement, technical capacity, maintenance and accountability. This paper examines the relationship between civil engineering infrastructure and local government administrative effectiveness and develops an analytical model for understanding how infrastructure can strengthen rural development. The paper uses a qualitative conceptual and integrative literature-review approach, drawing on recent scholarly publications, policy documents and institutional reports, together with the author's professional perspective as a civil engineer with more than a decade of experience in roads, bridges, drainage, culverts and building projects in urban and rural settings, particularly in North-Central Nigeria. The analysis argues that infrastructure has a dual character: it is an output of local administration and, when properly designed and maintained, an enabler of more effective administration and service delivery. Functional roads improve administrative reach and economic connectivity; bridges and culverts improve accessibility; drainage protects public assets and settlements; public buildings support service institutions; and water infrastructure improves basic service conditions. However, infrastructure does not automatically produce development. Its effects depend on relevance, quality, accessibility, maintenance, institutional ownership and community use. The paper identifies six major constraints: weak project prioritisation, inadequate technical capacity, procurement and contract-management weaknesses, poor maintenance, limited monitoring and evaluation, and weak community participation. It proposes an Infrastructure-Enabled Local Government Effectiveness Model linking infrastructure functionality to administrative reach, service accessibility, local economic activity, social inclusion and institutional trust. The paper recommends stronger technical units, infrastructure asset registers, lifecycle budgeting, transparent procurement, professional supervision, participatory planning and performance-based monitoring. It concludes that civil engineering infrastructure should be treated as a strategic instrument of local governance and rural development rather than merely as capital expenditure.

Keywords: civil engineering infrastructure; local government; administrative effectiveness; rural development; service delivery; infrastructure governance; Nigeria

1 Introduction

The effectiveness of local government can be observed partly through the quality and accessibility of services experienced by citizens. In rural communities, many of those services depend on physical

infrastructure. A health centre without reliable access roads may be difficult to reach; an agricultural community without all-weather roads may struggle to access markets; a settlement divided by a river may require a bridge or culvert to connect it with schools, markets and administrative centres; and a community without adequate drainage may experience recurring disruption during rainfall.

This creates a reciprocal relationship between infrastructure and administration. Local government needs administrative capacity to plan, finance, procure and maintain infrastructure. At the same time, functioning infrastructure expands the practical capacity of local government by improving access to communities, reducing service-delivery barriers and enabling public officials and service providers to reach citizens.

The World Bank's 2024 rural-road programme illustrates this relationship. The programme aims to improve 6,500 kilometres of rural roads and strengthen institutional capacity for planning and maintenance, while connecting rural residents to markets, services and jobs ([World Bank, 2024a](#)).

The author's professional experience in civil engineering provides the practical context for this study. More than a decade of work on roads, bridges, drainage, culverts and buildings in urban and rural areas has provided direct exposure to the ways in which technical decisions interact with administrative systems. In rural projects, the difference between a functioning asset and a failed intervention can be determined by project selection, site information, drainage provision, supervision, contractor management, payment processes and maintenance arrangements as much as by engineering design.

1.1 Statement of the problem

Local governments can invest substantial public resources in infrastructure without necessarily achieving proportional improvements in rural welfare. The problem is partly one of infrastructure functionality: an asset may exist physically but provide limited service because of poor siting, inadequate design, low construction quality, lack of maintenance or weak institutional ownership.

Infrastructure is also frequently treated as a series of individual projects rather than as a network of assets supporting administrative and socioeconomic systems. A road without drainage may deteriorate rapidly. A bridge without connecting road improvements may have limited impact. A public building without adequate access, utilities or maintenance may provide poor service.

Recent Nigerian studies reinforce this concern. Research on infrastructure procurement identifies governance and procurement constraints ([Ebekozen, 2022](#)), while a 2024 study of Nigerian road projects links delivery performance with procurement route and project management ([Ndace & Adindu, 2024](#)). Studies of rural service delivery also point to governance responsiveness as an important dimension of inclusion ([Towards rural inclusion, 2022](#)).

1.2 Aim and objectives

The aim is to assess conceptually how civil engineering infrastructure can strengthen local-government administrative effectiveness and contribute to sustainable rural development in Nigeria. The objectives of the study are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Objectives of the study.

Objective	Purpose
I	Examine the relationship between infrastructure functionality and administrative effectiveness.
II	Identify infrastructure types and their governance/development functions.

Objective	Purpose
III	Examine barriers that reduce infrastructure's developmental impact.
IV	Develop an Infrastructure-Enabled Local Government Effectiveness Model.
V	Recommend administrative and engineering measures for infrastructure-led rural development.

1.3 Research questions

The study addresses the following research questions:

1. How does functional civil engineering infrastructure influence local-government administrative effectiveness?
2. How do roads, bridges, culverts, drainage and public buildings contribute to rural development?
3. What factors limit the ability of infrastructure to generate sustainable public value?
4. How can infrastructure functionality be incorporated into local-government performance assessment?
5. What reforms can improve infrastructure-led rural development?

2 Methodology

The study adopts a qualitative conceptual research design and integrative literature-review method. Scholarly articles, government legislation, international development reports and recent policy literature were examined for evidence concerning infrastructure, local government, procurement, project management, rural development and service delivery. The literature search prioritised recent sources from 2021 to 2025 while retaining foundational legal and institutional sources where necessary.

The author's professional experience is used as a practice-informed interpretive perspective. It is not presented as primary survey evidence. No claims about prevalence or statistical relationships are attributed to personal observation. Instead, professional experience is used to identify practical mechanisms that the literature can subsequently support, challenge or refine.

The analysis is organised around infrastructure functionality, administrative reach, procurement, maintenance, service accessibility, local economic activity, social inclusion and institutional accountability.

3 Conceptual review

3.1 Civil engineering infrastructure as a public good

Civil engineering infrastructure includes physical assets that enable mobility, environmental management, public services and economic activity. Infrastructure becomes a public-development asset when it provides reliable service over time. This distinction is important: the presence of a physical structure is not identical to effective infrastructure.

For rural communities, connectivity is especially important. Roads and bridges reduce travel barriers and connect production areas with markets and services. Drainage protects road corridors, public buildings and settlements from water-related damage. Culverts provide hydraulic continuity and

preserve access across drainage channels. Public buildings provide physical space for administration, education, health and other services.

3.2 Local government administrative effectiveness

Administrative effectiveness refers to the capacity of a public institution to translate mandates, resources and decisions into timely, relevant and sustainable services. It includes planning, coordination, financial management, procurement, personnel capacity, monitoring, accountability and responsiveness.

Infrastructure can influence administrative effectiveness through direct and indirect pathways. Directly, a functioning administrative building, water supply system or access road can improve the physical conditions under which public services are delivered. Indirectly, improved connectivity can reduce travel time, facilitate supervision, expand outreach and support local economic activity.

3.3 Rural development

Rural development is broader than agricultural production. It encompasses economic opportunity, access to markets and services, mobility, social inclusion, health, education, environmental resilience and institutional participation. The [United Nations \(2024\)](#) emphasises the continuing importance of addressing rural poverty within the Sustainable Development Goals. Infrastructure is one component of that effort, but it must be integrated with human development and institutional policies.

4 Theoretical framework

4.1 Public value theory

Public value theory shifts attention from government activity to the value created for citizens. Constructing a road is not itself the final outcome. The relevant question is whether the road produces improved mobility, market access, safety, service access and economic opportunity at acceptable lifecycle cost.

4.2 Systems theory

Systems theory explains why individual infrastructure projects should be viewed as components of larger service systems. Road, drainage, bridge and public-building interventions can interact. A failure in one component may reduce the value of others.

4.3 Institutional capacity theory

Institutional capacity theory highlights human resources, rules, organisational structures, information and financial resources. A local government may receive funding for infrastructure but fail to convert it into durable assets if it lacks technical personnel, procurement capacity, contract-management skills or maintenance systems.

5 Civil engineering infrastructure and local government effectiveness

5.1 Roads and administrative reach

Roads are an essential mechanism of administrative and economic connectivity. They enable public officials, teachers, health workers, emergency responders, contractors and traders to reach

communities. The World Bank's 2024 rural-road investment programme explicitly links road improvements with access to agricultural markets, social services and employment ([World Bank, 2024a](#)).

For local government, road connectivity can improve monitoring of public facilities and enable service personnel to move between headquarters and peripheral communities. However, road impact depends on year-round functionality, drainage, maintenance and connection to other roads and facilities.

5.2 Bridges and culverts

Bridges and culverts can have disproportionately large effects in rural environments because a single water crossing may isolate a community during the rainy season. Engineering assessment must consider catchment characteristics, hydraulic capacity, scour, foundation conditions, flood levels, debris and climate variability. From an administrative perspective, connectivity allows government services and community participation to continue across difficult terrain.

5.3 Drainage infrastructure

Drainage is frequently treated as a secondary road component even though inadequate drainage can accelerate road deterioration and flooding. Proper drainage protects pavement, embankments, buildings and communities. Local governments should integrate hydrological and drainage assessment into road and settlement infrastructure planning rather than treating drainage as an optional add-on.

5.4 Public buildings

Administrative buildings, health centres, schools and community facilities provide the physical platform for public services. Their value depends on accessibility, structural safety, functional layout, utilities, environmental conditions and maintenance. Engineering design should therefore be informed by the service that the building is expected to provide.

5.5 Infrastructure and local economic development

Infrastructure can reduce transaction costs by improving movement of people, goods and information. Rural roads can connect farmers to markets; public facilities can support local employment; drainage can reduce losses associated with flooding; and reliable public buildings can support administrative and social services. These effects can contribute to local economic resilience, but they depend on infrastructure being functional and aligned with actual community needs.

5.6 Infrastructure functionality versus infrastructure existence

A central distinction in evaluating local-government infrastructure is the difference between existence and functionality. A structure may be physically present while failing to deliver the service for which it was constructed. This can occur because of poor design, incomplete ancillary works, lack of maintenance, inappropriate location, inadequate capacity or failure to connect the asset to the wider service network.

For public administration, this distinction is critical. Project-count indicators can reward the completion of structures without demonstrating that citizens actually benefit. Administrative effectiveness should therefore incorporate functional indicators. For roads, these may include year-

round passability, travel-time changes, accessibility of markets and service centres, and maintenance response. For public buildings, indicators may include availability, safety, accessibility and service utilisation.

The principle is transferable across infrastructure categories. A drainage project should reduce or manage the targeted water problem. A bridge should maintain safe connectivity. A culvert should provide adequate hydraulic passage and preserve the road. A public building should support the function for which it was designed. These outcomes are more meaningful measures of administrative effectiveness than construction counts alone.

5.7 Infrastructure networks and the problem of isolated projects

Local-government infrastructure should be planned as networks. A short rural road segment may have limited economic effect if it does not connect to a market route. A bridge may be underutilised if feeder roads remain inaccessible. A public building may remain difficult to reach if surrounding drainage and access infrastructure are inadequate.

Network thinking also improves prioritisation. Local governments can map existing infrastructure and identify missing links, bottlenecks and vulnerable points. This allows limited resources to be concentrated on interventions that unlock wider benefits. The approach is consistent with the broader development principle that infrastructure should support connected systems rather than isolated assets.

For engineers, network planning encourages consideration of cumulative effects and interface conditions. For administrators, it improves the ability to explain why a technically modest project may have significant development value if it closes a critical connectivity gap.

5.8 Infrastructure and social inclusion

Infrastructure has an important social dimension. Poor rural connectivity can affect access to health services, education, markets, administrative offices and emergency response. The impact may be greater for households with limited transport options, older persons, children and people living in remote settlements.

Infrastructure planning should therefore consider who benefits, who may remain excluded and whether design choices create barriers. Accessibility, location, safety and continuity of service should be considered alongside engineering cost. The objective is not to build everywhere regardless of feasibility, but to allocate public resources transparently according to need, expected benefit and technical viability.

Community participation can help local government identify underserved areas. However, participation should be combined with objective criteria so that highly vocal communities do not systematically displace communities with greater but less visible infrastructure needs.

5.9 Climate resilience and local infrastructure

Climate variability creates additional requirements for infrastructure planning. Increased rainfall intensity, flooding, erosion and high temperatures can affect roads, culverts, drainage systems and buildings. Resilience therefore needs to be considered at the design and maintenance stages rather than after damage occurs.

Climate-resilient engineering does not necessarily mean expensive construction in every location. It begins with appropriate site investigation, hydrological assessment, material selection, drainage capacity, erosion protection, maintenance planning and understanding of local environmental conditions. Administrative systems must allow engineers sufficient time and resources to undertake these assessments.

The rural-road programme supported by the World Bank explicitly includes climate resilience and institutional capacity for road management, demonstrating the connection between engineering resilience and governance capacity ([World Bank, 2024a](#)).

5.10 Public procurement as an administrative performance indicator

Procurement performance can provide an important indication of local-government administrative capacity. Delays in tendering, weak documentation, frequent variations, poor contract records and unresolved disputes may indicate institutional weaknesses that extend beyond individual projects.

At the same time, procurement should not be judged only by speed. A fast procurement process that produces poor-quality work is not effective. The appropriate balance is lawful, competitive and transparent procurement combined with adequate technical preparation and contract management. The Public Procurement Act's emphasis on transparency, competitiveness, cost effectiveness and professionalism provides a useful legal benchmark ([Federal Republic of Nigeria, 2007](#)).

Recent research demonstrates why this matters. Nigerian infrastructure studies identify procurement capacity, transparency, bureaucratic bottlenecks and governance quality as factors affecting infrastructure outcomes ([Ebekozi, 2022](#); [Adekoya, 2024](#)). These findings support the inclusion of procurement quality within assessments of administrative effectiveness.

5.11 A practical performance scorecard

A local government seeking to evaluate infrastructure-enabled effectiveness can use a balanced scorecard rather than a single project-completion measure. The first dimension can measure project preparation: percentage of projects with needs assessments, surveys and designs. The second can measure procurement: transparency, timeliness and completeness of documentation. The third can measure construction: time, cost, quality and safety. The fourth can measure functionality: service availability, accessibility and reliability. The fifth can measure maintenance: inspections, response times and condition.

Such a scorecard can be used internally without creating a complex statistical system. It can also support public reporting when information is suitable for disclosure. The advantage is that the scorecard links administrative processes to physical outcomes and encourages learning from completed projects.

The scorecard should be adapted to the size and capacity of the local government. The purpose is to improve decisions, not to create a reporting burden that overwhelms already limited administrative resources.

5.12 From infrastructure spending to infrastructure outcomes

Public budgeting frequently focuses on the amount allocated or spent. Infrastructure governance should go further by asking what outcomes are produced by that expenditure. Outcome-oriented

budgeting does not eliminate the need for financial control; rather, it adds a development perspective to financial management.

For example, the relevant question for a rural road is not only how much was spent per kilometre but whether the investment improved connectivity and remained serviceable. For drainage, the question is whether the targeted flooding or erosion problem was reduced. For a public building, the question is whether the facility supports the service it was intended to house.

This shift can also improve political and administrative accountability because it creates a clearer connection between public expenditure and citizen experience.

6 Governance problems limiting infrastructure impact

The main governance problems and their effects on infrastructure and development are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Governance problems limiting infrastructure impact.

Governance problem	Infrastructure effect	Development effect
Weak project prioritisation	Investment in low-impact assets	Limited public value
Poor technical preparation	Design changes and defects	Higher costs and lower service life
Procurement weaknesses	Delays, quality and cost risks	Reduced value for money
Political/administrative interference	Changes in scope or priorities	Misalignment with community needs
Weak supervision	Non-compliance and defects	Premature deterioration
Insufficient maintenance	Asset degradation	Loss of service
Weak monitoring and evaluation	Poor detection of underperformance	Limited institutional learning
Limited community engagement	Low ownership and feedback	Poor sustainability
Fragmented responsibilities	Delayed decisions	Weak accountability

Table 2 illustrates why infrastructure policy should not be reduced to capital spending. Every infrastructure output is shaped by governance decisions before, during and after construction.

7 Procurement and contract management

Public procurement is a central administrative mechanism for converting budgets into infrastructure contracts. Nigeria's Public Procurement Act establishes objectives including probity, accountability, transparency, competitiveness, cost effectiveness and professionalism ([Federal Republic of Nigeria, 2007](#)). The relevance of these principles is especially high for civil engineering works because contracts involve complex technical specifications and substantial public expenditure.

Recent scholarship indicates that procurement problems remain important in Nigerian infrastructure. [Ebekozen \(2022\)](#) identified weaknesses involving transparency, competition, bureaucracy, skills, corruption and procurement capacity. [Adekoya \(2024\)](#) similarly discusses procurement as a mechanism for good governance and sustainable development while identifying risks from non-transparent and politicised processes.

Effective contract management requires clear scope, accurate bills of quantities, appropriate specifications, realistic programmes, competent supervision, measurement procedures, variation control, payment certification and dispute management. The local government should retain adequate records so that technical and financial performance can be independently reviewed.

8 Maintenance as a governance function

A recurring weakness in infrastructure governance is the separation of construction from maintenance. An asset that is not maintained eventually becomes a new construction problem. Earlier World Bank analysis of Nigeria's rural roads highlighted inadequate funding, limited local-government capacity and unclear ownership arrangements as factors affecting maintenance (World Bank, 2021).

Maintenance should therefore be incorporated at project inception. The local government should know who will own the asset, who will inspect it, how routine maintenance will be funded, what intervention thresholds apply and how deterioration will be recorded. An asset register should include location, type, year constructed, design service information, condition and maintenance history.

9 Proposed infrastructure-enabled local government effectiveness model

The proposed model explains infrastructure-led administrative effectiveness through five linked dimensions: functionality, accessibility, institutional ownership, maintenance and outcomes (Table 3).

Table 3. Dimensions of the Infrastructure-Enabled Local Government Effectiveness Model.

Dimension	Key indicators	Expected contribution
Infrastructure functionality	Condition, safety, reliability, capacity	Continuous service
Accessibility	Travel time, seasonal access, geographic coverage	Administrative reach and inclusion
Institutional ownership	Responsible unit, asset records, reporting	Accountability
Maintenance	Inspection frequency, funding, response time	Asset longevity
Development outcomes	Market access, service access, mobility, livelihoods	Rural development

The model proposes that infrastructure should enter local-government performance assessment through outcome indicators rather than through expenditure or project counts alone. For example, a rural road can be assessed through year-round passability, travel-time reduction, maintenance response and access to markets and services rather than only by kilometres constructed.

10 Professional practice insights

From a civil engineering practice perspective, rural infrastructure requires attention to local conditions. Material sources, haul distances, seasonal weather, groundwater, flood behaviour, soil characteristics, traffic patterns, security and contractor capacity can all affect project delivery. A standard design copied from another location may perform poorly if local conditions differ.

The professional role of the engineer extends beyond design calculations. Engineers involved in public projects should contribute to needs assessment, feasibility, cost estimation, procurement documentation, tender evaluation, construction supervision, quality assurance, certification, commissioning and maintenance planning.

The administrator, in turn, should create an environment in which professional advice can be considered and documented. Where technical concerns are overridden without adequate justification, risk is transferred to the public asset and ultimately to citizens.

11 Problems and preferred solutions

Common infrastructure problems in local government and the preferred solutions are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4. Infrastructure problems and preferred solutions.

Problem	Preferred solution
Infrastructure selected mainly for visibility	Use evidence-based needs assessment and service-gap mapping
Limited engineering input	Create multidisciplinary project appraisal committees
Unrealistic cost estimates	Require site investigation, current market assessment and professional cost review
Lowest price overriding lifecycle considerations	Evaluate responsive bids using lawful value-for-money principles
Weak supervision	Provide qualified supervision with documented quality testing
Poor drainage integration	Require hydrological/drainage assessment
No asset register	Establish and update infrastructure asset registers
Reactive maintenance	Introduce scheduled inspections and preventive maintenance
Limited citizen feedback	Create community reporting and feedback channels
Completion treated as success	Assess functional outcomes after commissioning

12 Discussion

The central argument emerging from the literature is that civil engineering infrastructure can strengthen local government administration only when infrastructure is functional, accessible and sustainably managed. The existence of a completed structure is insufficient. This distinction is important for public administrators because conventional project reporting can emphasise expenditure and physical completion while underreporting actual service outcomes.

The proposed model reframes infrastructure as a governance asset. A road is simultaneously an engineering structure, a mobility system, an economic enabler and an administrative-access mechanism. A drainage system is simultaneously a hydraulic structure, an environmental protection measure and a means of protecting public investments. A public building is simultaneously a structure and the operational platform for public services.

This integrated interpretation changes how local government should measure performance. Instead of asking only how many projects were constructed, the institution should ask whether the projects

improved access, reduced disruption, supported services, remained functional and generated value relative to lifecycle cost.

13 Recommendations

1. **Strengthen local-government technical capacity.** Establish or strengthen multidisciplinary technical units capable of project appraisal, design review, procurement support, supervision and maintenance planning.
2. **Institutionalise infrastructure needs assessment.** Projects should be supported by documented service-gap and technical assessments before budget commitment.
3. **Adopt lifecycle infrastructure planning.** Initial construction cost should be considered alongside maintenance, rehabilitation and expected service life.
4. **Strengthen procurement and contract management.** Procurement should comply with applicable law while ensuring adequate technical specifications, competition, documentation and contract administration.
5. **Create infrastructure asset registers.** Every major local-government asset should have an identifiable owner, location, condition record and maintenance plan.
6. **Measure outcomes, not only outputs.** Monitoring systems should include access, functionality, reliability, user experience and maintenance indicators.
7. **Increase community participation.** Communities should contribute to needs identification and provide structured feedback.
8. **Build interprofessional capacity.** Engineers and administrators should receive joint training in project governance, procurement, contract management, risk and public accountability.

14 Conclusion

Civil engineering infrastructure is a strategic component of local-government administration and rural development. Its contribution extends beyond the physical asset itself to the access, mobility, safety, economic activity and service-delivery capacity that the asset enables. However, these benefits depend on effective public administration before, during and after construction.

Nigeria's local governments therefore require an infrastructure-governance approach that integrates engineering competence with administrative effectiveness. Such an approach should strengthen project appraisal, procurement, contract management, professional supervision, monitoring, maintenance and community feedback.

For the author, the practical lesson from more than a decade of civil engineering practice is consistent with the literature: a technically constructed asset is only the beginning of public value. The ultimate measure is whether infrastructure remains functional and improves the ability of institutions and communities to live, work, move, trade and access public services.

15 Suggested empirical validation

Future research should test the proposed model through comparative case studies of selected local government areas. A mixed-method design could combine questionnaires for administrators and construction professionals, interviews with community leaders, physical condition assessments of selected infrastructure and analysis of project records. Variables could include technical capacity,

procurement quality, project-management effectiveness, infrastructure functionality, maintenance performance and administrative service outcomes. This would permit the conceptual propositions developed here to be subjected to statistical and case-based validation.

The conceptual model developed in this paper can be tested through comparative research in North-Central Nigerian local governments and subsequently across other geopolitical zones. Researchers could select representative roads, bridges, culverts, drainage systems and public buildings and assess their technical condition, administrative history and development outcomes.

Quantitative analysis could examine relationships among technical capacity, procurement quality, project-management effectiveness, maintenance performance and infrastructure functionality. Qualitative interviews could explain why certain projects perform better than others. Community surveys could measure perceived access, reliability and service improvement.

Such research would provide evidence for refining local-government infrastructure policy and could also produce a practical diagnostic tool for public administrators and engineers.

References

- [1] Adekoya AA (2024). Public procurement in local government: A tool for good governance, value creation, and sustainable development. *International Journal of Management and Economics Invention*, 10(8), 3474–3486. <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijmei/v10i8.09>
- [2] Ebekozen A (2022). Unravelling the encumbrances in procurement management of Nigeria's infrastructure development: Pitfalls and prospects of projects. *Property Management*, 41(1), 20–40. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PM-11-2021-0103>
- [3] Ndace MA, Adindu CC (2024). Assessing the impact of procurement route and project management on the delivery of road projects in Nigeria. *Nnamdi Azikiwe University Journal of Civil Engineering*, 2(3), 52–67.
- [4] Negedu JI (2021). Addressing the role of sustainable public procurement as a panacea for sustainable development in the local government areas: The episode of Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 12(8). <https://doi.org/10.7176/JESD/12-8-01>
- [5] Okolie AM, Nnamani KE, Nwangwu C, Agbo HN, Ike CC (2022). Public procurement law, political economy of the lowest responsive bidding, and the development of the water, sanitation and hygiene sector in Nigeria. *Review of African Political Economy*, 49(174), 550–568. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03056244.2023.2194164>
- [6] World Bank (2021). Nigeria: Second phase of the Rural Access and Mobility Project. *World Bank*.
- [7] World Bank (2024a). Nigeria to enhance road infrastructure to benefit four million in rural communities. *World Bank*.
- [8] World Bank (2024b). Nigeria Development Update: Staying the course – Progress amidst pressing challenges. *World Bank*.
- [9] World Bank (2025). Nigeria Development Update: Building momentum for inclusive growth. *World Bank*.
- [10] United Nations (2024). Eradicating rural poverty to implement the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. *Report of the Secretary-General*, A/79/248. United Nations.
- [11] United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat) (2020). World Cities Report 2020: The value of sustainable urbanization. *UN-Habitat*.
- [12] United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) (2022). Local governance and sustainable development: Strengthening institutions for inclusive development. *UNDP*.
- [13] Federal Republic of Nigeria (1999). Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria (as amended).
- [14] Federal Republic of Nigeria (2007). Public Procurement Act, 2007. *Federal Government Printer*.
- [15] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) (2023). Government at a glance 2023. *OECD Publishing*.
- [16] Asian Development Bank (ADB) (2022). Infrastructure for inclusive development. *Asian Development Bank*.